ONE GOD ONE LORD ONE SPIRIT

On the Explication of the Apostolic Faith Today

EDITED BY HANS-GEORG LINK

Faith and Order Paper No. 139
WCC PUBLICATIONS, GENEVA



The texts "Fullness of Faith" (Link), "We Believe in One Lord Jesus Christ" (Ritschl), and "The Prophetic Spirit" (Link), were translated from the German by the WCC Language Service.

Cover photo: Peter Williams

ISBN 2-8254-0906-5

© 1988 World Council of Churches, 150 route de Ferney, 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Typeset by Macmillan India Ltd., Bangalore 25 Printed in Switzerland.

In gratitude to LUKAS VISCHER

on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Table of Contents

8		3
NAME OF STREET	COLL.	10.000000000000000000000000000000000000
C. 376/148000	S	OF COMME
on tearment	COLL. S.J. LOVAN	C00/9/3400
Total Park		_

2519587

APPRINDICES 1. The Participants	PART III. THE THIRD PARTICLE We Believe in the Holy Spirit, the Church and the Life of the World to Come: an Ecumenical Explication The Prophetic Spirit, the Church as a Community and Living Our Hope: Ecumenical Aspects of the Third Article of the Creed House-Georg Link 1	; Christ: an Ecumenical Explication Christ: Interpretation of the Second Article of Christ: Interpretation of the Second Article of ottayam to Potsdam Dietrich Ritschi	PART I: THE FIRST ARTICLE We Believe in One God: an Ecumenical Explication We Believe in One God, Father Almighty, Maker of Heaven and Earth: Aspects of an Ecumenical Approach to the First Article of the Creed Dam-Ilie Ciohotea.	Preface Günther Gassmann The Ecumenical Creed: Text of 381 A.D. Fullness of Faith: the Process of an Ecumenical Explication of the Apostolic Faith Hans-Georg Link
135 138 139	101	86	17	2 1

Preface

How do ecumenical statements actually come into being? Is it not important for their assessment to have a certain idea of the several steps within a complex dialogue process which lead to the formulation of comenical reports and declarations? This is why we have developed this volume, as a first attempt to glimpse into the "workshop" of the Faith and Order Commission of the World Council of Churches.

In this book we present the initial stages of the elaboration of a common cumunical explication of the Creed of Nicae-Constantinople of 3ll. This explication from a central part of our study "Towards the Common Expression of the Apostolic Fath Today". Other publications related to this study are: The Rostol Our Common Faths: Fath Today" a Handbook for Study Cell by H.G. Link; et al. H.G. Link; Apostolic Fath Today" a Handbook for Study Cell by H.G. Link; et al. H.G. Link; Apostolic Fath Today a Handbook for Study Cell by H.G. Link; and the four volumes of the series Confessing Our Fath Around the World (all published by the W.C., Geneva).

This volume is deducated to Lucza Veszers, unecor or the ratur and vious commission from 196 to 1979, on the occasion of his 60th brittable. It is an expression of our garitude for the formative and lasting contributions which Luckas Vischer has rendered to Faith and Order with his great threological and Luckas Vischer has rendered to a faith and Order with his great threological to conceptual gifts and his untiring energy in the service of the unity of Christ's thurch.

We are grateful to the compilers of this volume who had to stuggle with an unusual and difficult lasts, Our thanks are also due to our colleagues in the WCC.

We are grateful to the compilers of this volume who had to struggle with an unusual and difficult task. Our thanks are also due to our colleagues in the WCC Publications, the Faith and Order Secretariat and the Language Service of the WCC.

Geneva, June 1987

GUNTHER GASSMANN

Director, Faith and Order Commission

The Ecumenical Creed Text of 381 A.D.

We believe in one God,
the Father, the Almighty,
maker of heaven and earth,
of all that is, seen and unseen.

We believe in one Lord, Jesus Christ, the only Son of God, eternally beguten of the Father, Light from Light, true God from true God, begotten, not made, of one Being with the Father. Through him all things were made. For us men and for our salvation

by the power of the Holy Spirit
he became incarnate from the Virgin Mary,
and was made man.
For our sake he was crucified under Pontius I

he came down from heaven:

For our sake he was crucified under Pontius Pilate; he suffered death and was buried.

On the third day he rose again

On the third day he rose again in accordance with the scriptures; he ascended into heaven

and is seated at the right hand of the Father.

He will come again in glory to judge the living and the dead, and his kingdom will have no end.

We believe in the Holy Spirit,
the Lord, the giver of life,
who proceeds from the Father,
who proceeds from the Father,
who proceeds from the some is worshipped and glorified
He has spoken through the Prophets.
We delive in one lody eatholic and apostolic Church.
We acknowledge one baptism for the forgiveness of sins.
We look for the resurrection of the dead,
and the life of the world to come. Amen.

Fullness of Faith

The Process of an Ecumenical Explication of the Apostolic Faith

HANS-GEORG LINK

An examental explication of the apostoic faith aims at a worldwide agreement on the contents of the Christian shift. It is intended as a contribution to the reflection of all Christians on the foundations of their common faith and as an interpretative contemporary presentation of the rich heritage of Christianity, and contribution in examental broadth and depth. Its breadth depends on the participation in this explication of Christianity, and expert, women and men—from all parts of the world its depth is reflected in its three-sleep process: the creed of the ancient church, the biblical binardation, the contemporary presentation. In this way the fullness of the common substantive faith is to find expression in all its way the fullness of the common substantive faith is to find expression in the special common expression of the apostolic faith today, "begins, therefore, with a comprehensive reflection on what is central to the faith, from which fresh light is thrown on its effects in every conner of the globe."

Earlier stages

At its meeting in Crete in April 1984, the Standing Commission on Faith and Order decided to begin its derailed work on the study project. "Towards the Common Expression of the Apostolic Faith Today" by undertaking an explication of the Christian faith as presented in the Nicene Creed. The question to be answered here is "to what degree and in which from the main thrust of the three articles of the Creed can, in the wider context of the apostolic faith, be commonly understood and expressed by churches of different confessional identity and living in different cultural, social, political and religious contexts".

In the winter of 1984/85, as a first step in this process, three international consultations were held in different continents, with about twenty participants each case, to combine the explication of the contents of the Creed with regional standpoints and contemporary challenges. Each of the consultations adopted the same procedure: a first stage, consisting of a basic presentation and a regional comment on each main theme, followed by deathed discussion in pleanary, a second stage in which three working groups produced initial draft interpretations which were flushed in pleanary sessions.

The first consultation was held in the Malankara Syrian Orthodox Church, Seminary in Kouroyam, Kerala, South India, from 14 to 22 November 1984. It began work on the explication of the second article of the Creed: "We believe in

one Lord lesus Christ." Consultation members made the acquaintance of a situation in which Christians are in a minority, in a country where the overwhelming majority of the population is of the Hindu religion. The mystery of the incarnation of the one God, the sufferings of Christ in relation to the misery and bunger of millions of human beings, and the inspiring lope of resurrection against a background of various kinds of fatalism—these were some of the aspects shown

up in a special light in the Indian context.

The second consultation, held in the La Fontaine Cultural Centre in Chantilly

France, from 3 to 10 January 1985, tackled the third article of the Creed: "We believe in the Holy Spirit. . the chunch. . and the life of the world to come." Here the themes which emerged as of special importance for the European context included the creative diversity of chartsms, the summbling block of divided churches, and forebodings about the future.

The third consultation took place in the Roman Catholic Nganda Retreat Centre in Kinsiduaz, Saine, from 14 of 22 Manten 1985, and tacked the explication of the first article of the Creed: "We believe in one God ... "In Kinshas, members of the consultation gained first-hand experience of the strength of the young churches. In this context, there was special emphasis on joy in creation, power structures inside and outside the churches, and the conflict between Christians and Muslims over the l'imitianan view of the one God.

These three consultations in different parts of the world undoubtedly helped to ensure the combination of vertical and horizontal standpoints in the explication of the apostolic faith from the very beginning. Since each of the three consultations produced its own draft explication, a complete first version of an ecumenical explication of the apostolic faith was already in existence at the conclusion of this first round of meetings (*Draft l*: Kottayam report, Chantilly report, Kinshass

This first version of the explication was harmonized, expanded and annotated in accord series of consultations from April to August 1985. First, a small editional committee, composed of representatives of the consultations and staff of the Geneva, from 9 to 11 April 1985, mainly for the purpose of standardizing the structure of the three consultation reports (Dpd. 2. Geneva revision). Next, the enemenber international steering group for the study project on the apostolic faith met in the Cpd.-Retrawl Reformed Retreat House near Lassaman from 28 wo 1. June 1985, to revise and supplement the contents of the draft interpretation (Dpdf.) 2 Creit-Retrawl Retraw House near Lassaman from 28 with a color of the contents of the draft interpretation (Dpdf.) 2 Creit-Retrawl draft). This version was then sent to all members of the Retrawl to the contents of the draft interpretation (Dpdf.) 2 Creit-Retrawl draft). This version was then sent to all members of the Retrawl (Dpdf.) 2 Creit-Retrawl draft). This version was then sent to all members of the Retrawl (Dpdf.) 2 Creit-Retrawl draft). This version was then sent to all members of the Retrawl (Dpdf.) 2 Creit-Retrawl draft). This version was then sent to all members of the Retrawl (Dpdf.) 2 Creit-Retrawl draft). This version was then sent to all members of the Retrawl (Dpdf.) 2 Creit-Retrawl draft). This version was the content and criticals in three group exports which were presented and discussed in pleanay and healty passed on to the Standing Commission for further revision. After a much-needed pause for treath, a third orquad of meetings was held from the properties of the contents of the draft of the draft.

March to July 1986. Firstly, the five executive staff members of the Faith and Order-Scenteriatin trait of Cel-Beard Grienn 17 to 21 March 1986s and at Le Canalel, Genera on 17 and 18 April 1986s, to revise the draft text of the explication in the light of the Salvanger group reports (Draft A: Cel-Beard Geneva te vision). Then the international scening group me in Berlin (West from 9 to 12 July and immediately afterwards, from 13 to 19 July 1986 in Pensiam (GDR), along with the Standing Commission, to produce the latest provisional version (Draft 5: Berlin/Potssáam Explication).

may therefore be summarized as follows: The earlier stages of the work on an ecumenical explication of the apostolic faith

(c) First Article, 14-22 March 1985, in Kinshasa, Zaire Draft 2: 9-11 April 1985, in Geneva, Switzerland Draft 1: (a) Second Article, 14-22 November 1984, in Kottayam, S. India (b) Third Article, 3–10 January 1985, in Chantilly, France

Draft 5: 9-12 July 1986, in Berlin (West), FRG Draft 4: 17-21 March 1986, in Crêt-Bérard, Switzerland Draft 3: 28 May-1 June 1985, in Crêt-Bérard, Switzerland 17-18 April 1986, in Geneva, Switzerland

13-19 July 1986, in Potsdam, GDR

draft. The Standing Commission of Faith and Order decided in August 1987 that a the apostolic faith. Needless to say, work has continued on the revision of the responded to the invitation of the Geneva secretariat to study questions related to explication" of the apostolic faith. In addition, local groups and study circles have preliminary version of the text would be published as a study document. years' work (November 1984 to July 1986) so far devoted to an "ecumenical Over 150 people from all parts of the world have participated in the almost two

advanced the study project far enough to be able to present its findings at that time now and its next major conference in 1989, the Commission expects to have explication of the apostolic faith, e.g. atheism, Mariology, ecclesiology. Between certain problem areas of special importance in connection with the ecumenical officially to the churches. Three more international conferences are planned for 1987/1988 to deal with

II. The role of the Nicene Creed (381)

ecumenical study project. problems of the twentieth century. To counter such misinterpretations it will be into the fortresses of the fourth century in order to deal with the doctrinal partners have voiced the fear that the Faith and Order Commission is retreating more than a study of the Nicene Creed. More serious still, some of our dialogue mistaken idea, especially in Protestant circles, that the entire project is really no in this ecumenical explication of the apostolic faith. This has occasionally led to the helpful to describe here as precisely as possible the role of the Nicene Creed in this There is no denying the fact that the Nicene Creed has an important role to play

role assigned to the Nicene Creed in the ecumenical explication of the apostolic indicated by the word "as". How is this "as" to be understood? Not in a normative one hand, and its expression in the Nicene Creed. The connection between them is Creed (381)". A clear distinction is made here between the apostolic faith on the Explication of the Apostolic Faith as Expressed in the Nicene-Constantinopolitan faith is primarily the recognition of an ecumenical fact. historical expression in the Nicene Creed. What is meant, therefore, by the guiding sense ("to the same extent that") but factually, i.e. as that faith has found actual First of all, we recall the sub-title of the present study: "An Ecumenical

content and the method of the ecumenical explication of the apostolic faith. In respect of content: the Nicene Creed serves as a guide to the key themes to be This basic decision in favour of the Nicene Creed has implications for both the

themes and at the same time limits it. This selective and limiting function of the discussed. As a fourth-century summary of the apostolic faith it suggests the list of

> in the introduction to the ecumenical explication of the apostolic faith as a "basis" this will only be possible in compelling cases. When the Nicene Creed is described themes, as happens in respect of Jesus' proclamation of the kingdom of God, but does not automatically exclude the possibility of going beyond this canon of choice. Indeed, it has proved to be the only possible solution to this problem. This apostolic faith, in face of the vast range of possible themes from which to make a Nicene Creed has already proved helpful in the ecumenical explication of the

explication for today, which is decisive both in content and comprehensiveness indication of the biblical foundation; these first two steps lead on to the third, the systematic steps; it is followed by the second, more detailed in most cases, i.e to the apostolic faith. Starting from the text of the Nicene Creed is the first of three "methodological tool". Its pithy and familiar clauses facilitate access (met-hodos interpretation. It is in this sense that it is described in the introduction as a what is meant is its role as a guide to the contents of this explication. In respect of method: here the Creed of 381 provides the starting point for

In this ecumenical explication, the Creed of 381 has its proper role and function as biblical documents and focused as sharply as possible on the questions of our time substantive assertions are in every case examined in the light of the witness of the criterion for the content of the apostolic faith. This is clear from the fact that its limitations. The Nicene Creed is not the only touchstone nor is it an absolute and method of the ecumenical interpretation of the apostolic faith also implies At the same time, this definition of the role of the Nicene Creed for the content

widely used creed among Christians. by all the main Christian traditions and continues even today to be by lar the most died with its words on their lips. Fifthly and finally, the Nicene Creed is recognized Christians throughout the centuries who have struggled and suffered for it and churches. Fourthly, this Creed has proved its value as a rule of faith for millions of carries the authority of an ecumenical council recognized by almost all the adopted by the Second Ecumenical Council - the faith of the 150 fathers - and i do not even find in the New Testament itself. Thirdly, it is a Creed which was exemplary summary of the basic contents of the apostolic faith, of a sort which we church creeds; it stands closest to the original sources. Secondly, it represents ar been decisive here. Firstly, the Nicene Creed is the oldest of the three ancient should be assigned to the Nicene Creed in particular and not for instance to the a relative, time-conditioned and context-conditioned regula fidei (rule of faith). Apostles' Creed or to some modern creed or confession? Various reasons have Even in respect of this limited role, it may well be asked why such a key function

apostolic faith, the ancient church creeds, the biblical foundations and the contemperform their limited function only in connection with the variety of biblica into account in the explication in the hope that it may also find a home in the time, the Western church's Apostles' Creed, though less widely used, is also taker intensively on the ancient church heritage common to all Christians. At the same starting point, this ecumenical explication of the apostolic faith confronts at least but on the contrary must together find a common voice. porary interpretations are not to be treated as rivals competing with one another testimonies and contemporary questionings. In this ecumenical explication of the Eastern church tradition. In the last analysis, however, both ancient church creed the Reformation of the sixteenth century and reflecting more seriously and the Reformation and post-Reformation churches with the task of looking beyond In appealing to the Nicene Creed as a guide to contents and as a methodologica

If the Nicene Creed were to find a firm place in the eucharistic celebrations of the western church faid the Apostles' Creed a firm place in the baptismal services of the eastern church, that would be a wonderful fruit of ecumenical reflection on the common heritage from the ancient church.

III. Features of this ecumenical-explication of the apostolic faith

Examenical texts are developing increasingly into a distinctive literary genre. Occasion, place, time, author, themse, conditions— in a word, the whole context in and out of which they emerge—play in them a disproportionately important role. This has been the ease since the modern examenical movement started at the beginning of this century. To begin with there were reports in which participants in cumenical conferences tired to explure that experiences, discoveries and questions. Then came joint statements on theological, exclesiological and political themes. In 1948 a basis was formulated for the World Council of Churches for the first time; in 1961 this was expanded to its present form. In Limin 1952 a convergence statement first saw the light of cumenteal day. Now we are working on an examenal to sylutation of the apostolic faith.

What confronts us in all these estimentical texts is a new form of theological literature in which the teerling troubles of its early years are frequently only to obvious. What they lack most is the maturity of conclina texts and they are often contemporary snapshots rather than long-term projections pointing to the future. They are, on the one hand, recognizably the products of a young movement. Fully to understand the languageand intentions of its written statements, one has to be a foundation of the other hand, from the 1948/1961 Basis via the convergence statement of 1982 down to the present explication, there is an emissionable movement in the direction of more far-reaching statements with exemencial validity.

It has become plain from the reception process of the convergence statements on baptism, exchantist and ministry bow difficult many people find it to grasp the character of this new commented genre, especially if, as is most cases, they have not been involved in its production. In order to adhlatae understanding for the "commencal explication of the apostolic faith", let me list a few of its characteristic features.

On the whole, the following five guiding principles as they are listed in the introduction have proved to be useful in the work of interpreting the apostolic faith ecumenically:

 The explication deals with essential aspects of the faith following the basic affirmations of the ancient creeks. This will leave room for more detailed interpretation, application and implementation according to the respective Christian traditions and cultural contexts.

The explication seeks to discover and formulate common insights which can be understood and accepted by Christians from different traditions.

 The explication does not pretend to solve all theological differences. It rather seeks to interpret the apostolic fields that she basic foundation of Christian that the control of the control of the control of the control challenges to the Circuit first and the control of the control of the 4. The explication tries to meet the requirements of today by showing as clearly as

possible the link between doctrinal altirmations and ethical problems.

The explication attempts to make necessary "chaptications" of the apostolic faith in critical response to certain developments of our time.

Tumeso of T

In detail, the following eight features call for emphasis:

1. The countenied explication of the apostolic faith takes place in a process which requires years and perhaps even decades. The version presented here is only its first fruits and in no sense its final product. The purpose of publishing it is to involve as *early* as possible of those who are interested in the process of comprehensive reflection on common foundations of faith. As explication, this commental process is not principle unemaining even if one day it will inflave and the provisionally end. It is the ambition of this commented explication of the apostolic faith to persuade as many participants as possible to accompany it on the way the faith to persuade as many participants as foresting the caccine process.

to new interpretative standpoints.

2. This ecumeniad explication is a common effort in which far more than a lundred theologians and laity inside and outside the Faith and Order Commission have already shared. Contributions have been made to this explication from all the main Christian traditions, from the non-Chalecdonian durches to the so-called mon-credal churches, and of course, including the Roman Catholic tradition pon-credal churches, and of course, including the Roman Catholic tradition Points of view representative of all regions of the earth have been expressed and feigested. To this extent it is an ecumenically representative interpretation. The weight it carries is not derived, however, from any formal authority of any kind but solely from the wisdom it contains and the conviction it carries in its own right, as

is the case with other commencial documents.

3. The participation of many confusional and regional representatives is reincecd in a multiplicity of views. But what we have here is no pallid lowest commendemonstrator. Onlie the contrary. An effort has been made to achieve the highest
demonstrator. Only effect contrary the effort has been made to achieve the highest
possible degree of agreed knowledge. The only effect of the limiting function of an
explication for which joint responsibility is assumed is that nothing has been
formulated which could not be accepted by a tradition or region. Apart from that,
the principle followed by the explication has been to expound the fullness of
cumentual knowledge of the faith.

4. Each of the main Christian traditions has contributed its distinctive emphasis to the explication the Orthodox tradition, the guideline of the Nicene Creed; the Roman Catholic tradition, charifications from doctrinal developments in the ancient church and subsequently. the Protestant churches, the basic hibitant foundations. Our common task of interpreting the apostolic faith for our times received the brenfit of all three emphasis. To a certain extent, the structure of the explication—creed, biblic, today—tasks these different emphases into account. The explication has thereby gained generally in tension and depth. till of the different participants to 5. In any centremal explication it is natural to prive different participants in contrast.

emphasize what unites then. The explication then helps people to recognize that, in respect of the substance of the Christian faith, what the churches have in common unweighs what divides them. In an explication in which many persons cooperate in this way, what unites them has necessarily to be formulated at a certain heave when the common that way, what unites them has necessarily to be formulated as a certain for each united that the same threshold in which evel, but this is something altogether different from a pallid generalization which exhausts itself in empty turns of phrase. Characteristic of the basic linguistic form is a spaciousness in which different concrete adaptations have their place. This is a speciousness in which different concrete adaptations have their place. The cumenical explication and implementation according to the respective Christian readitions and cultural connects," as the first of the five guiding principles requires.

6. This raises the question of the relationship of fundamental doctrinal states.

6. This raises the question of the relationship of fundamental doctrinal statements to concrete ethical applications. The fourth of the guiding principles insisti

all the more argument that the commercian between them be shown "as clearly as possible". This becomes all the more arguments since ethical questions today play an incomparably more important role in individual, social, political and economic matters than ever before but also because differences on ethical questions sometimes divide the obunches more than their doctrinal differences. Much work is still needed on the explication before it really does justice to the many bodilenges of the present on the furnishmental limits to its astual ethical applications are also set by different church and regional traditions. These limits may only be overcome, indeed, if the chical approaches indicated here are developed independently in the different

7. This is not a theological convergence document like that on baptism, eucharist and ministry. It primary purpose is not so much to settle individual points of conflict between the churches as to present the apostolic faith "in all its rich diversily" as a common commental blass in contemporary terms. In a certain sense it contradies the very nature of an explication to make any endorsement of its explanations obligatory. The purpose of the explanations, on the contrary, is the engander pleasure at the ecumenical concern for the contents and consequences of the apostolic faith and to point the way to an ecumental reformation in head and the properties of the apostolic faith and to point the way to an ecumental reformation in head and members. To regard them as obligatory doctrinal statements would be to mis-

8. It could be helpful here to remember that this is only the first step in the project "towards the common expression of the apostolic faith today." With a future common confession of the apostolic faith in prospect, there is a great deal to be said for summarizing later the basic biblical, historical and contemporary approaches of this explication in short concluding paragraphs. These could form the substance of a future convergence text on the apostolic faith aiming at universal agreement.

IV. Suggestions for future emphases

From what has already been said, it is clear that the commencial explication of the apostolic faith is only in its initial stages and has certainly not yet found final shape either in form or content. As the Faith and Order Commission secretary certainsted with responsibility for the advancement of the study project to with the spatialization from 1980 to 1986, to yould like now to indicate fix appears to with the sufficient attention has not yet been given in the work to date. The purpose of these reflections, of course, is to help to ensure an even more comprehensive and relevant expression of the apostolic laith."

I. Our approach to the ecumenical interpretation of the apostolic lith must be broad enough and deep enough to enhance also the 04I Testment and I-wish tradition of faith, without which as a foundation no agreement on the Christian Itali can be complete. Thus already in Rome in 1983 it was affirmed: "We rist and cross the proceeding of the church and Jewish people is an essential aspect of the apostolic faith, and that any convergence document must deal adequately with this relation." The Droutrajing exceptical and systematic theological efforts to include this dimension have already been made in the work on the examenical explication so laft. To give due weight to our Old Testament and Jewish heritage seems to me of special importance at three points:

a) In emphasizing the one God, the one Lord, the one church, the Nicene Creed adopts the fundamental Old Testament confession of the uniqueness of the God ol Israel. After a long period in Christian theology in which attention has concen-

trated mainly on the different persons of the Trinity—almost to the point of tritheism—it is high time today to put the emphasis, as the Old Testament does no the onemes of God and on the implications of this for the people of God. In Some in 1983, Michael Myschogod, the levish theologian from New York, made this statement: ... Christian licenture on the triune nature of God is fur larger than that on his oneness Can it be that the time has come to investigate more deeply the oneness as well as the threness of God in Christian teaching? It cannot deeply the oneness as well as the threness of God in Christian teaching?

be expected that the oneness thus developed will be uninfluenced by the threeness

by The internation of the Son of God as confirmed will be found."

b) The internation of the Son of God as confirmed by the Nicree Creed by The internation of the Son of God as confised by the Nicree Creed entheroses not only his general humanity but also has its full force in the Joveth context (family, people, geography and tradition) in which Jesus of Nazarath lived and died God's internation in the Jesus is "a light for revelation to the gentles and for glory to thy people Israel" (Luke 2.32). In confessing our faith in the divinc incernation, therefore, we give thanks to God the Fighter not just for the incarnation, therefore, we give thanks to God the Fighter not just for the incarnation, therefore, we give thanks to God the Fighter not just for the incarnation of his Son as a human being in general but also for the glory of the "peculiar

people" from which the light has gone forth to lighten the Gentlies.

o) Finally, confession of faith in the Holy Smit" "who has spoken through the prophets" has implications for the relationship between the churd nead Israel. Kat prophets "has implications for the relationship as "the one really rid at commenting Barth described this always difficult relationship to sween the Catholic Church and the Israel and question". "I her elationship between the Catholic Church and the Israel so that the Second having been put on a new footing by the Declaration Nostra Astate at the Second having been put on a new footing by the Declaration Nostra Astate at the Second Having been put on a new footing by the Declaration Nostra Astate the Technology of the Month of the Nostra Council" and at clear sign having been given by Pope John Paul II with the recent visit to a synagogue in Rome, the time has also come for the member churches of the World Council of Churches to consamine their relationship to probe of the Jewish faith and to put this relationship on a solid theological basis: the one

2. So far the commenical explication of the apostolic faith has taken in all parts of the Creed in roughly equal measure. In future, closer attention is to be paid to the themes which divide the churches. For the real commenical task of the Faith and Order Commission is to deal with the theological difficulties which is the part of the divide the churches in the past. In the light of the fordamentals summarized in the Nicene Creed, there are, it seems to me, three such themes which still divide the churches the role of the Virgin Mary, the problem of the filique, and the eatholisty of the church.

people of God of both Jews and Gentiles.

a) In reaction against a usually exaggerated Meriology and exaggerated forms of Marian piety in Roman Catholician, most of the Protestant churches have gone to the opposite extreme of not venturing to say anything about Mary at all. It cannot be ignored, however, that Miriam has ber firm place in the Old Testament just as Mary has here in the New Testament and in the ancient church creeds. It is time for all Christians to discover and define this place. Fermists thereinoi in Jatim America and in Europe has for some time now been on the track of the hidden covolutionary encouragement in the biblical portrait of Mary to you an end to a purtanchalism with Christian thrumings and a tyramy cannoultaged as religion. It is high time for Protestination to rediscover Mary.

of the commission in 1978/79 and the examined in detail by the Faith and Order Commission in 1978/79 and the commission approved the Klingenthal memorandum on this question¹¹, though this has so far found no echo worth speaking of in the churches. In the context of the interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the third article of the Creed, we need, I believe, to differenthe interpretation of the creed, we need the context of the Creed, we need the context of the Creed, we need the creed the creed the context of the Creed, we need the creed the creed

ecumenical statements of faith. church tradition, the Orthodox churches can hardly be expected to welcome the the Creed. Without such an official step towards reconciliation with the Eastern Son, yet at the same time, on the other hand, to return to the original wording of the one hand, to emphasize the ultimate and complete resting of the Spirit on the sion of the Spirit from the Father. This would allow the churches of the West, on sity) and canonical illegitimacy of the addition "and from the Son" to the proces-Western Apostles' Creed into their church tradition and still less to accept new tiate much more clearly between the Christological legitimacy (I do not say neces

of faith in the one, holy, catholic and apostolic church. important, on the other hand, is the overcoming of sectarian particularism and with "Romanity" but with the rich diversity of the body of Christ. Equally links unity not with uniformity, but with conciliar fellowship, and catholicity not What we need today is an ecumenical explication of the marks of the church which God, when one church claimed to be exclusively the one true and catholic church accompanied by the condemnation of other churches. The days are over, thank not been the differences between the churches as such but their absolutization which have developed over the centuries. The main offence in church history has ecumenical ecclesiology which allows room for the different church traditions Protestant provincialism, if there is to be any ecumenical renewal of the confession c) What is most urgently needed today is, it seems to me, the development of ar

earth and its interpretation for today, it is not just our understanding of heaven Christ or of the future of the kingdom of God to the experiences of daily life. it could for instance also manage to relate confession of the lordship of the Risen be drawn. Great strides forward would be made in this ecumenical interpretation if Christian faith and the ethical consequences of the fundamental credal clauses must burning issues of our day must, in fact, be related to the essential content of the for progress to be made today in the ecumenical exegesis of the apostolic faith. The indicated the direction to be taken — and taken substantially further than so far integrity of creation in light of the apostolic faith". 12 In doing so, it at least the working groups in Stavanger 1985 launched on an examination of "the and earth but also our practical dealings with them which are challenged. One of example: when it comes to the confession of faith in the One Creator of heaven and respects too far in the direction of consonance and apologetics. To give one But the "explication for today" in each case still seems to me to have gone in most individual chapters also begin by listing in greater or less detail the relevant issues challenges to the Christian faith" (cf. the third of the five guiding principles). The apostolic faith was to examine and, as far as possible, respond to "contemporary 3. One of the specific tasks assumed by this ecumenical explication of the

comprehensive and fundamental character of this enterprise also sets limits to it does it meet a felt-need in the different parts of the world. For undoubtedly the how much real interest is taken in this theme and the study of it to date and how far meeting in Stavanger, only 15 responses from groups had been received, mostly from Europe, none from Africa, Asia or Latin America. This raises the question groups in the work, though only with modest success so far. Down to the plenary 4. Since 1983, we have made various attempts to involve local and regional

supplemented by regional statements on doctrinal questions?13 For some years now ary contextual relevance, at least at certain flashpoints such as Central America we have been collecting such statements and can clearly recognize their contempor-Would it help if the work of the Commission at world level were to be

> the Apostolic Faith in Our Part of the World". perhaps under the general title of "Challenges and Opportunities for Confessing European Churches) were to adopt the theme and produce appropriate statements, Christian councils and regional church conferences (such as the Conference of present work on basic questions of faith at the international level if the nationa South Africa or South Korea. In my view, it would be a real enrichment of the

declarations acknowledging our common Christian heritage has been officially could be cited from the world conferences on Faith and Order in Edinburgh 1937, spiritual experience of the church of Christ."14 Similar and even fuller statements and is witnessed to and safeguarded in the ecumenical creed commonly called the are united in a common Christian faith which is proclaimed in the holy scriptures these attempts stated: "Notwithstanding the differences in doctrine among us, we account of what all Christians are already able to agree in accepting. The first of Since Lausanne 1927 a number of attempts have been made to establish a written question of the connection with the common recognition of the apostolic faith (III,8), I would like now, in bringing this present section to a close, to raise the common credal confession was envisaged in the conclusion of the previous section this will continue to be so for the foreseeable future. Since a possible bridge to a trated almost exclusively on its central part, the explication, and, for good reasons, more recent declarations, such as "A Common Account of Hope" (1978), "The accepted by any Assembly of the World Council of Churches. Nor have any of the Lund 1952, and Montreal 1963.15 The problem is that, up to now, none of these Nicene, and in the Apostles' Creed, which faith is continuously confirmed in the Ministry" (1982). Filioque Clause in Ecumenical Perspective" (1979), or "Baptism, Eucharist and 5. The substantial work on the study of the apostolic faith has so far concen-

century later, for an ecumenical quadrilateral, possibly along the following lines Communion. The question I ask is whether the time has not now arrived, one was formulated almost a century ago, in 1888, as the basis of the Anglican Faith and Order Commission, we come across the "Lambeth Quadrilateral" which When we trace the distant beginnings of joint declarations as developed by the

- event, recognize, despite the doctrinal differences which still remain between us, our We, the official representatives of our churches, meeting together at this ecumenica
- -as witnessed to in the scriptures of the Old and New Testaments; unity in the one apostolic faith as summarized in the ancient church creeds, the Nicene-Constantinopolitan Creed
- of 381 and the Apostles' Creed;
- —as interpreted by the ecumenical councils of the church. as celebrated in the church's liturgy, i.e. in the eucharist; and

can nevertheless serve as a platform solid enough to bear the weight of and should not be regarded as a substitute for the reappropriation of the content; it common Christian heritage - especially for relationships with the Orthodox and not underestimate the importance of such an explicit official recognition of our solid basis for surmounting the doctrinal differences that still remain. 16 We should statement at one of the forthcoming Assemblies, this would undoubtedly provide a reinterpretation and contemporary confession of our common Christian faith Roman Catholic traditions. Of course, a formal recognition of this kind cannot If the member churches of the World Council were officially to adopt such a

V. The contents of the book

behind the initial approach to the ecumenical interpretation of the apostolic faith. ations are reflected in the length, exegetical methods and style of these drafts. To a ations. Differences in respect of the membership and location of these consult apostolic faith as produced at the Kottayam, Chantilly and Kinshasa consultgreater extent than later revisions, they reveal the contexts and questions which lay We publish in this book the first drafts of an ecumenical explication of the

the themes developed and the limited space available in this book. has now reached. This could only be done by selected examples, given the wealth of vicissitudes of the ecumenical explication in its different stages down to the form it function is to act as a guide to help the reader to follow, at least to some extent, the participants have provided profiles of the drafting process in terms of selected starting point, and to show them the course the explication has since taken, three and intentionally underline the character of this publication as a workbook. Their hemes. These profiles are to be taken as reports of ecumenical work-in-progress To provide readers with some insight into this initial ecumenical situation and

book much joy in the rich heritage of our common apostolic faith. examine receptively, critically and creatively the themes touched on here. The possible, working groups, pastors' conferences, and such like associations, documents on baptism, eucharist and ministry. We invite as many groups as for consideration in the subsequent work of explication. We wish all readers of this Geneva Faith and Order secretariat invites both positive and negative comments the hope that this theme will find as wide an audience as have the convergence We publish these first drafts of an ecumenical explication of the apostolic faith in

- 1. The title "Nicene Creed" is used for simplicity's sake; the reference is always to the text of the Nicene-Constantinopolitan Creed of 381
- Faith and Order Paper No. 121, Geneva, WCC, 1984, p.15.
 Cf. my report "We believe in Father, Son and Holy Spirit", the Stavanger discussion on Minutes of the Meeting of the Standing Commission of Faith and Order, Crete 1984,
- Stavanger 1985", Faith and Order Paper No. 131, Geneva, WCC, 1986, pp.127ff. "Faith and Renewal: Reports and Documents of the Commission on Faith and Order, the proposed ecumenical explication of the apostolic faith today, in T.F. Best ed.,
- On this cf. the "Proposals and Questions for Study Groups on Apostolic Faith Today" Paper No. 124, Geneva, WCC, 1985, pp.278-280 in "Apostolic Faith Today: a Handbook for Study", H.-G. Link ed., Faith and Order
- 5. Cf. the corresponding formulation of the so-called "Toronto Statement", "The Church Documentary History of the Faith and Order Movement 1927-1963, St Louis, Missouri the Churches and the World Council of Churches" (1950), in L. Vischer ed., A 1963, pp.167ff
- "The Roots of Our Common Faith: Faith in the Scriptures and in the Early Church", A written version of these reflections was in the hands of the members of the Standing H.-G. Link ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 119, Geneva, WCC, 1984, p.18. ment of the Apostolic Faith Study. Commission on 19 July 1986 in Potsdam: Theological Considerations on the Develop-
- Christian-Jewish Relationship for the Unity of Church), in Bibel und Kirche, 1974, p.44 hung für die Einheit der Kirche" (The Common Bond: the Significance of the In C.A. Rijk, "Das gemeinsame Band. Die Bedeutung der christlich-jüdischen Bezie-

8. Op. cit., p.31.

- In Austin P. Flannery ed., Documents of Vatican II, pp.738-742 "The Filioque Clause in Ecumenical Perspective", in "Spirit of God, Spirit of Christ-Beumenical Reflections on the Filioque Controversy", L. Vischer ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 103, Geneva, WCC, 1981, pp.3-18. "Apostolic Faith Today", op. cit.
- 13. Cf. the series "Confessing Our Faith Around the World", I-IV, Faith and Order Paper. In "Faith and Renewal", op. cit., pp.146ff.
- 14. "The Church's Common Confession of Faith", report of Section IV of the Lausanne conference 1927, in L. Vischer ed., A Documentary History of the Faith and Order Movement, op. cit., p.33. "Apostolic Faith Today", op. cit., p.69. 104, 120, 123, 126, 1980-1985.
- "Apostolic Faith Today", op. cit., under the heading of the several conferences 16. It would be a remarkable ecumenical gesture if, during its commemoration of the centenary of the Lambeth Quadrilateral in 1988, the assembled bishops of the Anglican Communion were to take the initiative in proposing such a step

PARTI

The First Article

We Believe in One God

Zaire, 14-22 March 1985 An Ecumenical Explication Report of a Faith and Order Consultation held at Kinshasa,

A. The one God

- I. The theme
- III. The biblical witness II. The Creed
- IV. Today

B. The Father Almighty

- The theme
- a) God the Father II. The Creed
- IV. Today III. The biblical witness
- b) The Almighty
- II. The Creed
- IV. Today III. The biblical witness

C. The Creator and his creation

c) Conclusion: the Father Almighty

- II. The Creed I. The theme
- IV. Today III. The biblical witness
- a) The Triune God as the Creator
 b) The Creator acts in redemption and revelation

c) God's good creation—and evil

- d) Humanity in creation
- e) Consequences
- Human responsibility in a world sanctified by the Triune God 1) Humanity's mandate over nature and the destruction of our environment

A. THE ONE GOD

religion is the serious and sincere search for the good and for fullness of life what constitutes for an individual or a group their ultimate value. At its best, Phenomenologically speaking, a god is an object of worship, prayer, and trust; it is Human sinfulness, however, introduces distortions that lead to idolatry, this being 1. In the world, "there are many 'gods' and many 'lords'" (1 Cor. 8:5)

is rather a threat to the freedom and dignity of humankind; belief in God would those who consider that belief in God, far from being the way of life and salvation. sense, there are no atheists. On the other hand, there are atheists in the sense of finally the magnification of self (Rom. 1:19ff.; Phil. 3:19). "Where your heart is, there is your god" (Luther, Large Catechism). In this

rather be an illusion springing from psychological, sociological or economic

grounds. In the early centuries, Christians were accused of atheism because they

believers to purify their notion of God. abandoned the pagan gods. Contemporary atheism may today be challenging

betterment in humankind itself. Others have abandoned the quest in a nihilistic any transcendent or ultimate reference in life. Some of these place their hope for In face of the problems of existence in our world, some people are unable to find

all who through Christ put their trust in him. to Israel, has revealed himself supremely in "the one whom he has sent", namely Jesus Christ (John 17:3); that, in Christ, God has reconciled the world to himself the one God. Christians believe that "the one true God", who made himself known meaning and worth to created reality. The crucial question concerns the identity of creator, sustainer and goal of everything else that is. That transcendent god gives (2 Cor. 5:19); and that, by his Holy Spirit, God is bringing new and eternal life to Many peoples and several religions profess faith in a single, universal god, the

of God both for those outside the church and for those within will best proceed perceptions of the divine. Even within the church, many people today consider that the Christian doctrine of the Triune God stands in need of fresh interpretation and conceived it; or, in the other direction, it excluded their own more multiple terminological revision. It may be that the work of clarifying the Christian doctrine Triune God has been a stumbling-block. Either it threatened monotheism as they 3. For many religious people outside the Christian community, the notion of the

God: "There is one body and one Spirit, just as you were called to the one hope baptism. The foundation for all authentic ecclesial and human unity is the one 4. The Nicene Creed confesses belief in one God, one Lord, one church, one

> us all, who is above all and through all and in all" (Eph. 4:4-6). that belongs to your call, one Lord, one faith, one baptism, one God and Father of

is no God but one" (1 Cor. 8:4). The church of the second century affirmed against and who with the Father and the Son together is worshipped and glorified". In all (381) declared also the lordship of the Holy Spirit, "who proceeds from the Father (325) affirmed the Son to be homoousios to Patri, understood as ek tes ousias to salvation was given, belonged essentially to the Godhead. The Council of Nices Nicenes believed that the Christ who was worshipped as Lord, and in whom axiomatic unity of God could be maintained only at the expense of Christ, the determinative moment came with the Arian controversy. While Arius held that the Christ, through whom are all things and through whom we exist" (1 Cor. 8:6). The Father, from whom are all things and for whom we exist" and the "one Lord, Jesus church gave a fully reasoned account of the relation between the "one God, the Marcion the unity of the God who creates and redeems. It took time before the Baptism continued to take place in the single name of Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. on the basis of his redemptive activity in history, was understood as Triune this, the church had no sense of destroying the unity of God; rather the one God, Patros. After a subsequent and similar controversy, the Council of Constantinople 5. From their Jewish heritage Christians have known since the start that "there

in worship that the personal character of the Triune God is most apparent. both the unity of God and the distinction of persons with equal insistance; and it is which belong in any case more to speculative theology than to the fundamental confession of the faith. In both East and West, doxological language confesses the Godhead may, as we shall see, suggest a reconciliation between these views, lowing Augustine, tend in the former direction. Recent thinking on the koinonia of the Cappadocian fathers and the Eastern churches. The Western churches, folwe are dogmatically and liturgically familiar), and it is particularly characteristic of lation (although ek tes ousias tou Patros was not taken up into the creed with which deity". The latter view would appear to be closer to the original Nicene formuunderlie all three persons, and those who see in the Father the personal "source of those who found the unity of God upon the divine essence which would, as it were 6. In the development of Trinitarian theology, a tension has existed between

communion (koinonia) in the one divine being. Today care needs to be taken with God who reveals himself throughout the history of salvation, and the dimension of stressed at the same time the individuality of each of the three hypostases of the one secure, therefore, the unity of the three divine Persons. Nicene theology thus the general concern of the early church was to guarantee the oneness of God and to the word homoousios itself was subject to a multiplicity of possible interpretations, contemporary terminology explicating for today the precise meaning of the merely arithmetical. It remains an urgent task for the church to develop together a sciousness). It is also necessary to show how the relation between 3 and 1 is not "person" (which may evoke an individual subject, an atomistic centre of conmental measurement), "essence" (which may recall a discredited metaphysic) or such words as "substance" (which now often suggests material, subject to instrutormulas of antiquity 7. There is no easy access to the understanding of the credal formulas. Although

III. THE BIBLICAL WITNESS

The Councils of Nicea and Constantinople sought to ground their teaching in the scriptures. Biblically, the true God is "the living God". Genesis begins with the

e Oou, One Hora, One Span

first act of God ad extra in the creation of the world, which remains the object of his interest and affection, the "theater of his glory" (Calvin), Israel had learnt to this wow this greator God in his redemptive history with them. In his dealings with his dect people Israel, God is known as YHWH, the Lord I. His the Lord who brought Israel out of Egypt, and on that account he alone is emittled to the people's worship; all idols are therefore to be rejected: "I am the Lord your God, who brought you out of the hand of Egypt, out of the house of bondage. You shall have no other gods before me. You shall not make for yourself a green image, or any licenses of anything that it is in bearen bowen, or that is in the earth bearacht, or that is in the value of the band of the lord of "Es." 201-2-30.

In the promised land, a contunies-long straggle was needed before Israel, always threatened by a postasty, won its way, at least as a remmant, to accept the exclusive fine Lord over the gods of the land. The prophetic witness was especially important in this regard. The Deuteronomist declares the faith of Israel: "Hear, O Israel, the Lord is our God, the Lord adone, You shall love the Lord your God with all your rentry and all your might." (Deut. 6-4f.). The greatest clarity concerning the uniqueness of the Lord and the all-encompassing extent of his owneringit was—miraculously—attained at the time of most severe testing: it is no severingity was—miraculously—attained at the time of most severe testing: it is in Second Israelh, prophet of the Balyojonian exile, that Yahweh is acclaimed as in Second Israelh, prophet of the Balyojonian exile, that Yahweh is acclaimed as in Second Israelh, prophet of the Salyojonian exile, that Yahweh is acclaimed as in Second Israelh, prophet of the Salyojonian exile, that Yahweh is acclaimed as righteous God and Saviour, there is none besides me. Turn to me, and the saved, all the ends of the earth, for I am God, and there is no other; (Isa. 45:21—21), the ends of the earth, for I am God, and there is no other; (Isa. 45:21—22).

9. Assa affirmed the faith of Israel concerning the one God. He dismissed Stata by citing the scripture: "You shall worship the Lordy our God, and him only shall said gous erve" (Matt. 4:10; cf. Daut. 6:13). He endorsed the "Hear, O Israel" as the first and great commandment and the way to eternal life (Mark 12:25 Matt. 23:57; Like 10:27). The God of Israel called Jesus his Son (Luke 13:23, 3:22=Matt. 4:17). Lesus addresses this God as "Father", using the infinante word "Abbie" (Mark 4:36), etc. Jesus is the Father's own, belowed, the only Son (John 11:8, 3:16, Rom. 14:36), etc. Jesus is the Father's own, belowed, the only Son (John 11:8, 3:16, Rom. 18:22, Col. 1:13). Whoever has seen the Son has seen the Father (and 14:9) for the Father and the Son "dwell" in each other (John 11:23). At the prayer of the excled Christ, and the Son "dwell" in each other (John 11:24). The matter of the Market and the Son "dwell" in each other (John 11:24). The most of the Henther and the Son "dwell" in which "and shall seen and the Son "dwell" in one of the Henther and the Son "dwell" in which "and shall seen and the Son as seen the Hoty Spriri into the world, to be for believes "the other Paradise", the Spriri who "makes alive" and guides into all the truth.

V. Today

10. The one God reveals himself as a communion of life and love. The progressive revelation of the Trinity in the New Testament coincides with God's self-communication to humanity. The Father makes a radical gift of himself through the incarnation of his eternal Son, who shares the human condition even to the point of feath, in order to offer to humanity the resurrection and eternal life (1) of human beings, reveals that in God himself, life is mutual self-giving and communion. All that is summed up in the declaration "God is love" (1 John 4:8). The cross of Christ is a revelation in history of the kenotic bove of God which gives itself or order that life may be manifested as fillness of communion. The cross cannot be understood apart from the Tinity, nor the Tinity apart from the cross. Window the God who is love, the cross is both scandal and foolishness, just as the doctrine of the Tinity, without the cross, remains indelectual acrobatios; find an absurder of the Tinity, without the cross.

by As the emblem of the incarnation, death and resurrection of the Son of God bosone man, the cross is the affirmation of a low which is stronger than sin and death. This low which recease itself in the history of salvation is the God who is other of Trime. If God reveals and communicates himself as lowe, it is because he first of all is in himself lowe and konomia.

The fullness of the biblical revelation consists precisely in the fact that the one God is an eternal communition of life. The eternal source of that living communion is God the Father, who ciernally begets the only Son (John 1:1, 18) and eternally beathes out the Hoy Sprint (John 1:2, 102). This does not mean that the one God is the free gift of self in communition. The ternal communition of life created itself ard error as the Creator of the world (Gen 1:1, 2-4, 2-4). The state of the state o

That the Creator of the world and of humankind is this eternal communion of life and love is what gives the world a meaning and a purpose. Created in the image of this eternal communion of life and love, humankind has as its final end the continuous of the and love, humankind has as its final end the vocation to become ever more truly the image of the Timitarian communion, vocation to become ever more truly the image of the Timitarian love in the world-direleting and wintessing to the active presence of the Timitarian love in the world-of life the church's life. According to

join [72], the numai indwelling of Father and Son (periclioresis) is the source, model and locus for the unity of the disciples of lease: "that they may all be one, model and locus for the unity of the disciples of lease: "that they may all be one, or even as thou, Father, art in me, and I in thee, that they may also be in us. "To even as thou, Father, and of a free fellowship in love, the "communion of the Holy Spirit" (2 Cor. 13.13); its concreteness and visibility rests upon the word made flesh, into whose body believers are integrated as members. It is the one God, Lord and Spirit who is the source of all the varied gifts, which are to be harmoniously directed towards the common good (1 Cor. 12.4-7).

a unity of koinonia. Both the unity and the difference of the divine Persons are of equal value in the constitution and manifestation of koinonia. Trinitarian unity, the mutual indwelling of the Persons, is revealed in scripture as the only unity that the church is to manifest in its life "in order that the world may believe" (John subordination or totalitarianism, and the liberty never becomes isolation or selfish and the liberty of each and of all, in such a way that the unity never becomes content of each, and of all together. This Trinitarian koinonia ensures both unity each, while yet keeping their specific gifts. The same faith and spiritual life is the Trinitarian koinonia will each live the life of the others, and all will live the life of the others, and each divine Person lives not only with the others (co-existence) but ism, separation or indifference towards others. Each divine Person lives the life of therefore, is the negation of all subordinationism and the refusal of all isolation-17:21). This unity transcends all unity of a purely logical or arithmetical kind: it is humanity to the mystery of the God who is love. individualism. Thus the life of the church and the churches will bear witness among also for the others (pro-existence). Local churches united in the image of the The pattern of "conciliar fellowship" to which the churches are called today

should be a reflection of this Trinitarian life. It should allow a more transparent should be a reflection of this Trinitarian life. It should allow a more transparent manifestation of the communion of life and love that Christians have with God the Trinity, amid a world marked by sin, divisions, injustice, and lack of communion.

That implies more fraternity and solidarity in and among the Christian communities, more collegiality at the structural level, more collaboration in diakonia.

ttes, more collegiality at the structural level, more collaboration in diakonia.

12. Christian fatth in the one God who reveals himself as a supreme communion of life and love has profound significance not only for the life of the church but also

for the church's engagement in the service of the world.

Faith in the one God, Creator, Saviour and Judge of the world, should always inspire relusal of every human effort to replace the true god by false gods created in the image of absolutized selfish passions (the desire to possess, to dominate, to destroy, to deceive). At the same time, faith in the one God should never serve site pretext for actions contrary to the will of God as this is expressed in the commandments of love towards the neighbour, invocation of the one God can never sanction injustice or oppression.

Faith in the one God who is supreme communion of life and love should inspire Christians to grasp, encourage and sustain all efforts towards justice, peace and respect for persons or peoples marginalized, oppressed, threatened by suffering, sin and death, or any form of life that is not authentic communion.

If the world is regarded in the light of fath in the Trinity, then every aspiration towards justice, puese, the sharing of resources, the democratic distribution of power, the freedom of persons and peoples, can be precised as conscious or unconscious aspiration to live in the image of the supreme communion of life and love, in which humanistind was created (Gen. 12:0) and which mysteriously obeclous to human beings in various and ever new ways at every moment and period of history.

That is why the search for visible Christian unity cannot be undertaken without the interest of the churches in the renewal of the human community, a renewal permanently desired and sustained by the one God.

13. Whoreas polytheisms present a plethora of numband or warring dimintis, the onenses of God—Father, Son, and Holy Spirit—offers the coherence of all the created reality, at least in the final kingdom, when all sin and disruption will have been overcome and "God will be all in all" (I. Go. 15:24–28). This Christian doctrine of God also provides a framework for locating those semina Feel' which are the signs of the presence and activity of the one God "who is not far from each one of us, for in him we live and move and have one being" (Loss 17:27–28). What others still "worship as unknown "the church now prodaints (17:23) when it preaches the Saviour whom God has appointed to judge the world (cf. 17:33–13). This is none other than the Josus who is "the way, the truth, and the life" our lacess to the Father (John 14:6).

[4. Belief in one God (monotheism) is a shared patrimony of several religious traditions, notably Christiantiy, Indiam, ladar, and even African traditional religion. Whereas the first three, said to be religious of the "Book", base their belief on an explicit revelation, African traditional religion derives its belief rather from a spontaneous intuition of a being, who must be belind the entire created order, as its primary source and ultimate foundation.

Christians are sensitive to the fact that the other monotheistic traditions do not share their faith in a Triune God and indeed often accuse Christians either of polytheism (Islam) or idolatry (Iudaism).

In answering the accusation of polythetam, it is important to stress that, historically, no Christian writer of note (whether "orthodox" or "heretic") has ever been known to espouse trithetam. If anything, the over-riding concern unle known Trinitarian controversies has been to preserve the unity of God intact.

Nevertheless, whereas the Christian belief in a Triune God is the affirmation of a God who is kotnomia and, therefore, personal, the Islamic affirmation is rather of a God who is an absolute and eternal solitude. Such a God does not communicate this very self to humanity nor invite humanity to enter into communicate this very self to humanity one described in a God, who is love (1 John 4). The other himself. Christians professe faith in a God, who is love (1 John 4). The other bidever in a God who is absolute will. With such a God there can be no communion as Christians indefessand that word.

The roof of the Judaica accusations of liabilary lies in the incarnation, and only then addresses the Trinity. If the incarnation is a fishel or a near en wyth, then indeed, it would be idolatrous to adore and worship Christ. But the Old Testament is as much the Book of Judaism as it is of Christianiaity. The same Old Testament is on prophecies of an age in which God himself would erupi into human history, and be "limananel" ("God with us") (Isa. 37.4). If, as very Jew Ferently believes, God's word is never sterile, but always accomplishes what it promises, then the interarnation is entirely a possibility. Cristians believe that the Old Testament prophecies have been realized in Christ, and then, therefore, the dual sense of "logss" and promise—has taken flesh: the Logss has become incarnate and the promise has found historical realization. Therefore, Christians do not consider it industrous to profess faith in Christ as God, and to adoor and worship him as such, always within the context of the Trinitaria faith.

So far as African traditional believers are concerned, they would wish for a justification of the Christian faith in a God who is both three and one, without being polythesite or "diffusely monothesite", as some lave described the African traditional type of monothesim. The difficulty encountered here could be resolved in an eventual dislogue between both faiths. Christianity and African traditional in as towards of the second of the counterparts of the cou

For the rest, notwithstanding the apparently insurnountable differences between the various monothetistic traditions, the door should be open for dialogue between them. Such dialogue, carried out in an atmosphere of openness to divine guidance and inspiration, can be expected to bear fruits of greater mutual understanding and mutual respect among the various traditions. We may all come to see new things in our own tradition.

Note: For their better understanding, many in the church oday are seeking charification concerning the doctrine of the Triume God. Needed, in the first place, can be seen of the triume God. Needed, in the first place, the scope and importance can be seen of the concilier desisions taken concerning the truth of the faith and the marks of Christian identity. Without an awareness of this historical background, the summany formulas risk either being reduced to mere budges of orthodoxy for parrol-like repetition or des rejected without yet being understood. The hallowed formulas of the early church are best kept alive in the litting and theology of the church when they are expounded in preaching that draws upon the whole striptural history of salvation and seeks to make the message intelligible to contemporary men and women.

B. THE FATHER ALMIGHTY

I. Тне тнеме

 The Creed goes on to identify the one God more specifically as "Father Almighty". Much else that might also be affirmed concerning the being and nature

eeding description, "One God", substantive content, and leads on to the following words, "Maker of heaven and earth ...", which draw out further one main of God—his eternity, wisdom, goodness, faithfulness and so on—is implicitly included in this personal nume, which lies at the heart of the first article as the dimension of its meaning. fundamental characterization of the God in whom we believe. It gives the pre-

sections will deal in turn with the terms "Father" and "Almighty" porary articulation of our common faith. With this aim in view, the following may be open to distortion and misunderstanding, and its bearing on the contemreason for this study to consider with care what this affirmation expresses, how it theological foundation of everything else in the Creed. There is therefore every this sense, to affirm the one God as Father Almighty is to denote the ultimate not stand alone but is followed by and integrally related to the second and third. In Trinity of Father, Son, and Holy Spirit; and, in general, that the first article does referring only to the Father in isolation but directs us instead to the undivided Father is supremely Father of the Son; that "one God" cannot properly be taken as the second article and to the uniquely-begotten Son. This makes clear that the 2. At the same time, to call God "Father Almighty" already points forward to

attend to the force of their conjunction, in particular to the mutual qualification of "Father" by "Almighty" and "Almighty" by "Father". common in the history of the church. Each has its place. The first invites us to consider the distinct meanings of "Father" and "Almighty", the second to Creed can be taken in either sense, and both interpretations have been adjectival sense ("the Father Almighty"). The wording of the Ecumenical tive in apposition to "Father" ("the Father, the Almighty") or in a more Commentary: The name "Father Almighty" may be analyzed grammati-cally in two ways according to whether "Almighty" is treated as a substan-

tions of God. They challenge us today to consider such questions as: indeed been directed against both "Father" and "Almighty" as suitable descrip issuing sometimes in rejection of the entire Christian faith. Such objections have colour its interpretation; these in turn may call forth protests and objections paternalistic and triumphalistic associations may consciously or unconsciously -Can the fatherhood of God properly be understood in a non-patriarchal and Christian sense of the first article may be lost from view; one-sidedly authoritarian relation to the rest of the Creed. That way lie serious dangers. The specifically original sense of the words, of the content and range of their meaning, or of their God as "Father Almighty" is often employed with little consideration of the 3. This analytical reflection is all the more necessary because the description of

non-authoritarian way? Does "feminine" as well as "masculine" imagery have a necessary place in

In what sense do we affirm that God is the Father "Almighty"? Mother" as well as "Our Father"? inclusive theological language? And, if so, can we go on to address God as "Ou

the Father Almighty and comparable expressions used in other religions? What are the similarities and differences between the Christian affirmation of the "powers" at work in the world? What is the relation or resemblance between the sovereign power of God and

a) God the Father

of all things. This was underlined in the first article of the Creed by the close God as creator of the whole universe. In the second and third centuries this was a dominant theme in Christian writings. God is Father by virtue of his being Creator religious peoples including African traditional religions. the Greek world of the early centuries, but is common in the belief of many hood of God as creator of all is a belief shared not only by Hellenistic Judaism and association of "Father" with "Creator of heaven and earth". Belief in the father-The confession of belief in God as Father undoubtedly carried with it belief in

added that the Holy Spirit "proceeds from the Father" Council of Constantinople, in the final formulation of the Ecumenical Creed with the Father") and fully God ("Light of Light, true God of true God"). The Father ("begotten, not made"), eternally one with the Father ("of one substance Arius, the Creed witnessed that Jesus Christ is uniquely and distinctively Son of the "established", but who at any rate was not divine as the Father is divine. Against primal creation, who might be described as "begotten" or "made" or "created" or that the Son did not share the being and nature of the Father, but was, rather, his Father, is the axis on which the Nicene confession of faith turns. Arius had held begotten Son of God". The relation of the Father to this Son, of this Son to the and yet profounder meaning: the Father is Father of "Jesus Christ, the uniquely-5. The description of God as Father in the Ecumenical Creed bears a further

dimension contained in the word Father itself deity, more a cause or principle than a person, thus overshadowing the personal authority over all creation. Too great an emphasis on these aspects of fatherhood, particularly in the Greek world of the early centuries, easily led to the distortion of belong to the fatherhood of God. The Father God is the one who rules and wields statement of the Creed tended to underline the idea that dominion and authority the notion of fatherhood. It helped to produce an all-powerful, impassible absolute 6. The close association of Creator and Almighty with Father in the opening 7. However, belief in the fatherhood of God, as it is confessed in the first article

of the Creed, was understood as referring particularly to the special relationship between the First and Second Persons of the Trinity. The Father is Father of the between the gods and mortal women and the birth of heroes connected with its divine impregnation. The Greek myths told of marriages was thought to have had a god as his father or where the earth's fertility was closely East, often with biological and sexual connotations where an ancestor of a tribe Christ. The use of the word father was common in the religions of the Ancient Near Son. The name Father belongs to God in virtue of his relation to the Son, Jesus 8. In contradistinction to these, Christian belief in the fatherhood of God was

otherness from any human begetting. Moreover, the words "God from God, Light from Light" made clear that the begetting of the Son by the Father was not to be Although the biological metaphor of begetting is used for the eternal relationship does not mean to imply that God is male. As a human being, Jesus Christ is male never intended to imply that God is male. "It is important to note that when the the Father not only indicates the uniqueness of this relationship but also its between the Father and the Son, the describing of Christ as the only-begotten of But within the persons of the Trinity, there is no gender" (Kottayam report, p.9). Creed speaks of 'Father' and 'Son' in their relation to each other in the Trinity, it

quasi-male, a human-like sexual father. understood in terms of human begetting. God the Father could never be seen as a

misconceptions of human fatherhood which have distorted and limited the image mysteriously in the suffering on account of his love for the Son. It was in his love truly apprehended. Although the Son alone was crucified, the Father participated radical difference from the all-powerful and impassible Father, and from the Father shares all the power and glory with his Son. Here God gives proof of a him from death to live. In the death, resurrection and ascension of the Son the that the Father abandoned Jesus to death on the cross and in his love that he raised fatherhood as it is confessed of God, the Father of the Son, Jesus Christ, is most Gethsemane and on the cross and was raised from the dead, the true meaning of dead. The cross is inseparable from the resurrection. When Jesus surrendered in gives himself in the power of the Spirit to the crucified Son by raising him from the dereliction and death. In response to the love and obedience of his Son, the Father will, he shows his love for the Father in bearing the suffering of the cross, same time the Son knows what it is to be Son when, in obedience to the Father's Father loving the world, when he allows his Son to be crucified (John 3:16). At the the centrality of the cross and resurrection. The Father is known most fully as resurrection of Jesus of Nazareth. The fatherhood of God is grasped in relation to only in that unique relationship between Father and Son seen in the life, death and The statement of belief in the fatherhood of God was, further, understood

10. Nor was it only the second article of the Creed that gave content to the notion of God the Father as confessed in the first article of the Creed. When the three Persons of the Trainty were seen as perfectly open to the other and inter-Father as Head of a divine household gave way to a picture of fatherhood based on eternal love (John 17:21), then the monarchical and patriarchal picture of God the love and not lordship, on mutuality and not domination, on common life and not dependent, mutually indwelling each other, living in one another by virtue of

each of these several relationships must be differentiated. God cannot be called grounded in the eternal Trinity. all who are joined with the Son. But all of these senses cohere in Christ and are Father of creation in the same sense as he is called Father of the Son, or Father of and are thus enabled to confess God as truly "Our Father". The sense of Father in incorporated into Christ, become adopted sons and daughters of the one Father, incarnate as Jesus Christ and Father of all who by the power of the Holy Spirit are 11. Confession of belief in God the Father thus affirmed a network of re-lationships: God is Father of the Son within the Trinity, Father of the Son

III. THE BIBLICAL WITNESS

caring, comforting and nurturing his young child (Jer. 31:9; 3:4). In Isaiah (Hosea 11:1,4). In two passages in Jeremiah, God's fatherhood is seen in his him . . . out of Egypt I called my Son . . . I led them with cords of compassion" redemption which brought the nation into being. "When Israel was a child I loved 32:6). The reference is to the deliverance from Egypt, the act of creation and stressed. The Lord is Israel's father because he "bought her with a price" (Deut images. What is however most striking is the character of God's fatherhood that is relation between Yahweh and the people of Israel, it is only one amongst many 12. Although the Father-Son image is used in the Old Testament to describe the

> Father is used in parallel to Redeemer (Isa. 63:16; 64:8 fatherhood is closely connected with God's compassion upon his son and the work

wrongly assigned only to women. The Old Testament picture of father might more accurately be described as that of a *motherly father*. This is further supported by powerful images of motherhood are used of Yahweh, Yahweh is never addressed mother (Isa. 49:45); the mother comforting her child (Isa. 66:13). Although these pregnant mother (Isa, 46:3); the mother giving birth (Isa. 66:13); the suckling bird (Isa. 31:5); a midwife (Ps. 22:9); the mother conceiving (Num. 11:12); the the motherhood images used of God. Yahweh is likened to a protective mother God's fatherhood are those which have been designated as feminine and quite picture of a repressive authoritarian father. Rather, the qualities associated with 13. Clearly what stands out is neither the maleness of God, nor a patriarcha

as "Mother" as God is addressed as Father.

14. In contrast to the Old Testament the notion of the fatherhood of God is central in the New Testament. Again and again Jesus uses the term Abba to address "Abba, Father" (Mark 14:36) and, finally, on the cross he commends himself into is "uniquely his Father". And at the end of his life in Gethsemane Jesus cries God the Father echoes throughout the gospel stories. At the beginning of his life, was more often addressed by children to their father. Jesus' relationship as Son to familiar relationship. Although by the time of Jesus the word was used by adults, it Father that he could say to Philip: "he that hath seen me hath seen the Father' his Father's hands (Luke 23:46). So close is the relationship between Jesus and his the story of the Virgin birth in Matthew and Luke points to the way in which Goo his Father. The unprecedented use of the term Abba for God evokes a close,

are led by the Spirit of God, these are sons of God. For ye received not the Spirit of in that unique Father-Son relationship. It is the Spirit who unites us with the Son our Father because he is first the Father of Jesus, who graciously allows us to share disciples to address God as "Abba", "Our Father". St Paul indicates that God is sons and daughters. and as the church we call God Father in, with and through Jesus Christ, as adopted Spirit of his Son into our hearts, crying Abba, Father" (Gal. 4:6). As individuals Abba, Father" (Rom. 8:14-15). "And because we are sons, God sent forth the bondage again unto fear; but ye received the Spirit of adoption whereby we cry with the father is open to all human beings without differentiation. "For as many What Paul says of "sons" he says also of daughters (2 Cor. 6:16-18); communion and who sets us free as his brothers and sisters by adoption, to call God "Abba". 15. It is not only Jesus who calls God "Abba", for he commands and permits his

of the human family. Christians are asked to share with and care for all who are of the world has profound consequences for, and repercussions upon, other parts openness to them. Moreover, God as Father of all gives gifts to all his children, not only to Christians. The givenness of the familial relationship demands that the each carries a rich connotation for Christians today. The confession of God as their brothers and sisters in their joys and sufferings inter-relatedness of the human family is taken seriously. What is done in one par relationship with all peoples. This demands an awareness of others and an Father of all human beings implies that Christians are called to live in a familial 16. The various meanings contained in the credal confession of God as Father

17. But Christians are also part of the inner family of Cod, made sons and daughters through their incorporation into the Son, Jesus Christ. They are coognize the way their father nurrures and cares for his children, and nowhere more truly than in the gift of hisself in the eucharist. In the eucharist Christians focus their brothers and sisters in their joys and sufférings.

ils. Just as the Father of Israel and the Father of Losus Christ required obedience, so Christins are called to a life of obedience. God the Father is not the occurieve, authoritarian, dominenting Father who holds his children to him by force. He stands back, allows his diffident space and freedom to become what he will be then to be. Just as when Israel was unfaithful God disciplined his callidren so Christians are disciplined in love and marcy as they grow, through the power of the Spirit, into the full stature of some and daughters of thest heavenly Father. But, the Son't obedience to his Father drew him along the road of suffering and obedience even to death, so Christians are called to Globw that same way, Incompt and that an infis fatherly love God riased his Son from death, and that in the same love the Father wills to gave to all his children the gift of eternal life.

9) In calling Cod Father neither the Bible nor the Creed intended to assign to God male gender or biotogisal fatherbood nor only the one-sided qualities we have enbraces and transcends imman differentiations and the limitations of role and gender. While now wishing to surrender the confession of faith in God the Father, nor the address given by Jesus to his disciples, "Our Father", we recognize a need to uncover the sineth two complementary part of the tradition of a familine face of God. Further we believe that Christians are free to explore tanguage, symbols and imagery which celebrate the feminine in God. It is this God who compnesses and transcends male and female, masculine and feminine, who liberates both men and women from the false stereotypes of masculine and feminine which have entrapped us all.

20. As we learn to celebrate the "mothetly Father" we revalue the feminine in human life and are offered new possibilities for adhering integration within each person as well as complementarity between women and men. The "motherly Father" is moreover the God who cares for and nurtures all his children, the one concerned for the weak, oppressed and the most vulnerable, the children. And it is this God who loves and shares all that the has, who judges hierarchical structures when they oppress and dominate in the church and in the world. To confess God as Father is to acknowledge a wholeness in God which we are called to rethee in ourselves, in our relationships with each other, in the life and structures of church.

Commentary: While we all agree both that we must continue to confess our belief in 'Goth the Father Almighty' as a given part of our Tradition and also that we need to recover a feminine face to Got, there is as yet no agreement amongst is as to whether we may go on to address God as 'Our Mother'. However, the fact that saints and mystics in the past have fell free to address Goth both as Father and Mother gives many the confidence to use both forms of address in their payer to God.

"As truly as God is our Father, so just as truly is he our Mother" (Julian of Norwich).

b) The Almighty

21. The almightness of God has often been understood and presented as sheer, absolute omnipotence, naked, irresistible power, the capacity to do whatever one wants. Such omnipotence seems to exclude the possibility of genuine freedom for wants. Such omnipotence seems to exclude the possibility of genuine freedom for sulfreing and death, whether an omnipotent God can possibly also be good and loving. As an ancient dilemma puts it: "As the world is manifestly imperfect, its creator cannot be both good and all-powerful, for if the were both, the world for center cannot be oth good and all-powerful, for if the were both, the world of the possible would be perfect. If he is omnipotent, he cannot be good; if he is good, he cannot be all-powerful. For almost on that the father is almight thus seems to face us with an unresolvable problem of theodies; But is the idea of complotence presupposed here the same as what the Creed affirms? The Creed riself suggests not.

1

22. The Greek term used here in the Creed is Pamiokrator, literally, "the one who holds and governs all things". It does not mean, in an abstract way, "one who can do anything he wants", but rathler "one in whose hands all things are". It is less a description of absolute omnipotence than of universal providence. To call the Father Pamiokrator is to affirm that the whole universe is in his grap, that he does not and will not left if go.

23. At the time of the framing of the Ecumenical Creed in the fourth century, the wording and content of the first article were not controversial (though its upheld in the face of the determined opposition from those who could not and one God=Father=Pantokrator=Maker of heaven and earth was successfully conflicts with Gnosticism, which drew a radical distinction between the Father of theology. That tradition, however, had to be maintained and defended in earlier pivot of the entire debate with Arianism). Its elements were already well-estab relation to the second and third articles and their connection with it formed the understood. setting that the particular force of the inclusion of Pantokrator in the Creed is to be universe was indeed guided and directed by his sovereign providence. It is in this not recognize that the God of Israel was the Father of Jesus Christ, that the would not believe in a Creator who was also Redeemer, who could not and would would not accept the goodness of creation or the Creator, who could not and Jesus Christ and the Creator or cause of this material universe. The identification lished common ground, solidly anchored in the tradition of faith, worship and 24. At the same time, the affirmation that the Father is also Pantokrator

28. At the state that, the suitable of all other idiaments to brought with it (at least in principle) the dethroning of all other idiaments to mavestal sovereignty, to government and mastery over the world and its history and destiny. There were many such claimmar: the Hellenistic partition, determine a destiny. There were many such claimmar to the Mover; the impersonal static Fates in the Patonice Follows, Aristotle's University of the Mover; the impersonal world and the Case of the Patonic Possis are the World-Reason of the Society and the Case of th

III. THE BIBLICAL WITNESS

25. The Old Testament is full of testimonies to the power, majesty, sovereignty and faithfulness of God as displayed in creation (e.g. Ps. 93), in the ordering of the

faithfulness: "But now thus says the Lord, he that created you, O Jacob, and he that formed you, O Jarael. Fear not, for I have redeemed you, I have called you by name, you are mine" (Isa. 49:1). Thus says the Lord, the King of Israel and his it in the existing state of affairs. Its ground lay in Yahweh's strength and in the time of the Exile after the destruction of Jerusalem and the Temple, after the other powers. The circumstances of this proclamation are of significance. It came revealed in and through the suffering of his servant (e.g. Isa. 49) no god . . . Fear not, nor be afraid" (Isa. 44:6-8). His might is paradoxically Redeemer, the Lord of hosts: "I am the First and I am the Last; besides me there is was one of promise, mercy and assurance in spite of all that appeared to contradict his purposes, and, not least, that he remained faithful to his covenant. The message history, that the gods of the nations were mere idols and their rulers instruments of then that Deutero-Isaiah announced that this God ruled over the world and its days when Israel's trust in him might seem to have lost all basis. It was precisely apparent humiliation and defeat of Yahweh by Marduk, the god of Babylon in the it came to proclaim the God of Israel as Creator of the world and sovereign over all tence, but of God's power manifested in action. It was also only relatively late that Exodus from Egypt. But the Old Testament never speaks of an abstract omniponatural world and - above all - in the history of Israel, most particularly in the

of faith, confidence and trust - and also of our calling and obedience. calling of God (with rich echoes of Old Testament prophecy) and ends: "and I will struck in the single New Testament passage outside the Apocalypse in which hosts" (Adonai Zeba'oth). In the New Testament it occurs only a few times, all but one in the Apocalypse. Characteristic is Revelation 1:8: "I am the Alpha and the logical, testifying to the faithfulness and ultimate sovereignty of God as the ground be a father to you, and you shall be my sons and daughters, says the Lord Pantokrator" (v. 18). This points us to the authentic sense of the affirmation of the which appears to be in the hands of Antichrist. The same note of confident trust is hope in the midst of a dark and profoundly ambiguous world, a world indeed and an apocalyptic colour. It is a solemn, longing and jubilant cry of praise and Omega, says the Lord God, who is and who was and who is to come, the scure, but which are usually rendered as "Almighty" (El Shaddai) or "Lord of Septuagint to translate Hebrew expressions whose original meaning is now ob-Father as Pantokrator in the New Testament itself: it is doxological and eschato-Pantokrator is used -2 Corinthians 6:16-18. This hymns the faithfulness and the be. As such, he is God and Lord. The affirmation has both a clear liturgical ring Pantokrator." The Pantokrator is the First and the Last, he who was and is and will It is a relatively rare word in Greek, but was used on a number of occasions in the 26. The use of the word Pantokrator in the New Testament is strikingly similar.

of God's sovereign power. For that power is not simply a projection, magnified to God's sovereign power, subsequently followed by its triumphant vindication in the incarnate Son. It is not enough to regard the cross as a kind of temporary eclipse of incarnation, and there victoriously assert his claim upon it in and through what and a sovereignty so transcendent that God could enter into his own creation in the the nth degree, of worldly authority and imperial pretensions. Nor is it simply a which more than all that has been mentioned so far discloses the nature and quality Resurrection. In the apparent defeat, in the powerlessness of the cross and the death appeared to be the absolute and final negation of his power, the crucifixion of the kind of universal cosmic energy or an irresistible force of history. It is a freedom 27. The affirmation has, however, a yet deeper ground in the gospel, and one

> of God and the wisdom of God. For the foolishness of God is wiser than men, and maintain its hold through death to resurrection. The crucified Christ is "the power creation, but in the Son assumed human nature and held fast to it into the jaws of providence over and in a world estranged from him. God did not let go of his of the Crucified lies the assertion and realization of God's sovereignty and the world and its destiny are securely grasped in spite of the reality of evil, sin, the weakness of God is stronger than men" (1 Cor. 1:24-25). This is the sense in destruction. Only supreme freedom, love and power could so express itself and which God is supremely Pantokrator, the one who holds all things, in whose hands

out to include the whole created universe and in its intention drives towards the and providence are spelt out in Jesus Christ as those of a love which claims, holds second, for it is there that the inner quality and character of the divine sovereignty suffering, and death. the profoundest intensity of the divine lordship which in its full extension stretches fast, redeems and restores, and which is stronger even than death. Here is revealed reign of God in the new heaven and new earth. To return to the Creed: the full depth of the first article is only disclosed in the

God's sovereignty over, in and for the world he has made of which we are part both appropriate and fruitful for our common confession to recover and articulate celebrates and proclaims. But the challenges to faith today mean that it could be it is the same yesterday, today and tomorrow, as is the One whom it recognizes questions and concerns of the present time. The confession itself has not changed the Creed remains as relevant today as ever before, indeed bears directly on urgen afresh the sense, simply yet powerfully expressed and conveyed in the Creed, of 28. The affirmation of the Almightiness of the Father in the sense intended by

and for the whole created universe. The church is called to affirm and proclaim this Creator of heaven and earth, and a sign and testimony of hope for each individual every form of enslaving bondage, a celebration of the liberating strength of the Almightiness, is itself subversive of all other claims to sovereignty, a challenge to relativizes and judges them all. The confession of faith in that Lordship, that concerning the destiny of the world and humankind. The Lordship of the Almighty ideological or indeed religious—do not control and will not have the last word the present age"-whether political, economic, scientific, industrial, military endure. In particular, it draws from it the confident assurance that "the powers of distinguish between what is still hidden, but will be, and what is now, but will not kingdom in a new heaven and a new earth. Faith learns from that anticipation to for the world to their consummation and realization through the establishing of his that God will carry through his gracious and merciful purposes for humanity and as the promise and word of the Lord. faith against all appearances to the contrary, not only as its own deep longing, bu 29. First, the affirmation today is, as in every previous age, one of faith-faith 30. Second, this proclamation and commission is one of hope in the face of all

material resources and their economic exploitation between different countries and generates; the ecological crisis; the catastrophic imbalance in the distribution of nuclear arms-race between the super-powers with the apocalyptic nightmares it inhuman tyranny, oppression, injustice and persecution. Whatever the future may regions of the world; the appalling reality of violent conflict, senseless war that now ominously threatens the future of the world and the human race: the

of all areas of life, both individual and societal. bring, whatever evil may be inflicted or suffered, none can fall out of God's hand action, to resist the forces of destruction, to recognize and proclaim that he is Lorc This hope gives confidence to witness to the sovereignty of God in word and in

same strength, the love of God poured out in their hearts by his Spirit. Christian life and service. The Almightiness affirmed in the Creed is that of the and redeem the children of God, and liberating them to grateful service in that Almightiness of the Father as the reality of eternal love, strong to create, maintain and control over its members or society at large. The gospel proclaims the understanding and projecting its own mission and calling as an exercise of power temptation to approve or support authoritarian oppression in his name; against be on guard against presenting him as if he were a tyrannical despot; against the Father who so loved the world that he gave his Son. The church needs constantly to love evokes and enables love for God and neighbour as the motive and dynamic of 31. Third, the character of God's sovereignty as other-affirming and self-giving

c) Conclusion: the Father Almighty

projecting a demonic vision of sheer arbitrary power as if that corresponded to the reality of God. Only when the two aspects are both seen together, and their 32. Implicit in all that is said above is that "Father" and "Almighty" mutually qualify each other. It is the Father, and no other, who is the Almighty; and as the these dangers guarded against. interpretation controlled by the revelation of their meaning in Jesus Christ, are forgetting that he is Almighty, risks trivializing and sentimentalizing the divine Father he is indeed Almighty, and nothing less. To speak only of the Father, fatherhood; to speak only of the Almighty, as if he were not also Father, is to risk

subject to him. As such, it is a power of a radically different order and quality from His power transcends all other powers which are created by him and remain to fulfill and complete his good purpose for humankind and the whole creation. 33. The Father is indeed almighty. He is not powerless to achieve what he will

reject him. Yet even there he accompanies, supports and reaches out to redeem relative freedom and independence, allowing them even to revolt against him and who seeks only to exploit and dominate his creatures. His love establishes them in them as, we may say, the "motherly Father" 34. The Almighty is indeed Father. He is not an indifferent or uncaring ruler

he is the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, the God of the Exodus and the for if the decisively Christian element is missing the entire perspective is altered too quickly assume that the first article of the Creed is common ground with them some religions and philosophies. In dialogue with such views the church should not 35. The Father is not the God projected by deism, absent from and unaffected by his creation. Nor is he the God of theism who engages with the world only The One God affirmed in the Creed is not only Maker of heaven and earth "from above". Nor is he merely creative power or absolute will as proclaimed in above all the good Father of Jesus Christ-and as such also the

C. THE CREATOR AND HIS CREATION

being but also the continuing source of its life and final goal of its existence.

2. This belief in a Creator God and a created cosmos is confessed in the first world in which they live is not an autonomous entity, having its origins, life and seen and unseen, are the work of a Creator God, who is not only the cause of its destiny in itself. Rather they believe that the world and the whole cosmos, things 1. Together with people of other faiths, e.g. in Africa, Christians believe that the

Christian belief its specific content over against that of other religions. How then is article of the Nicene Creed on the basis of the biblical witness which gives the this fundamental Christian confession of a Creator and a creation and the relation

between the two to be interpreted?

comprehensive Trinitarian perspective, e.g. for the relation between creation and the creation leading it to its final consummation. What are the implications of this part of creation in the incarnation. God the Holy Spirit is continuously active in the Father created the world from nothing through his word. God the Son became 3. Christians confess a Trinitarian God in relation to the world as creation. God

common human concern for the integrity of creation? Creator and of the world as his creation for a Christian contribution to the which can be developed on the foundation of the Christian confession of God the and the survival of the whole world and of humanity in face of the nuclear threat preservation, responsible use and just distribution of the resources of this world has become an issue of life or death in our times. What are then basic orientations 4. The concern for the "integrity of creation" (Vancouver 1983), for the

of creation became again controversial when heretical teachings drove a sharp ancient Israel, it had to face questions about the goodness of God's creation and of heaven and earth, the visible and invisible world" (Gen. 1; cf. Col. 1:15f.), and like confesses, "all things were made", and not an evil world hostile to God. God is the Father and, at the same time, of the Son "through whom", as the second article (e.g. Marcionism and Gnosticism). Faith in the creation of all there is as God's denied the identity of the creator and the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ was formulated at the Council of the fourth century, it was necessary to realfirm Testament writings and the New Testament scriptures. At the time when the Creec Father of Jesus Christ on the other, between Israel and the church, between the Old God of the Old Testament and of creation on the one hand and the God and wedge between the visible and the invisible world, between matter and spirit, the the mystery of evil in the world. In the first centuries after Christ the interpretation who redeems humanity out of the world and from the world. Creator who created a good world and also a good humanity. He is not just the one handiwork once again affirmed the created world as the good work of God the the faith that God the Father is also the Creator, in order to exclude heresies which 5. The church inherited the Old Testament faith that God is "the Maker o

and humanity's existence in it, the church thus emerged not with a world-denying God and not from the devil. In its struggle for a proper understanding of the world also the invisible world. The visible world, too, is good and not evil; it comes from 6. God made not only the heavens but also the earth, not only the visible but

universe. God the Creator alone is divine; the invisible world too, even the heavens things, the subconscious and suprasensible realities, are part of the created forces—all that which the ancient world worshipped as divine—is not divine but belongs to the world created by the one true God. Even the invisible and spiritual but with a world-affirming faith. Likewise, the invisible intermediate world, the "metaphysical" realm, the "things between heaven and earth", the numinous

III. THE BIBLICAL WITNESS

creation, Israel has drastically demythologized the ancient understanding of all Eph. 3:9; Rev. 4:11). Thus distinguishing clearly between the Creator and the as its Maker (Gen. 1-2; Ps. 8; Isa. 44:24; Matt. 5:34-35; Acts 4:24; 14:15; 17:24 world - has come into being through God and is completely dependent upon him creation—the heavens as well as the earth, the visible and also the invisible God that is not "made" and does not owe its existence to him. The whole to the event of creation. By contrast, Israel confessed that there is nothing besides assumed a pre-existent matter or partner or opponent outside God which is party theories of the origin of the world which were known among Israel's neighbours moulded to express its own response to God's revelation. The dualistic myths and However, what Israel has received, it has also drastically transformed and reancient peoples of the Near East, the Assyrians, Babylonians, and the Canaanites known creation stories of Genesis 1-2, owes much to the religious traditions of the 7. Israel's creation faith which has found its classical expression in the well

4:12). All creation, including inanimate nature, is praising its Creator (Ps. 148). history and shapes the lives of women and men (Jer. 1:9-10; Isa. 55:10-11; Heb animals (Gen. 1:11-12, 24-25). Through his word God directs the course of 104:29-30). It is at God's command that the earth produces vegetation and 31:35-36), and his continuing power upholds and renews the creatures (Ps determines its order (Gen. 1:14-19; Job 38:33; Ps. 104:9; 148:6; Jer. 5:24: covenantal (Gen. 8:22; Jer. 33:20) relationship to his creation: his divine decree trusting and obeying him (Ps. 95; Isa. 40:27-31). God stands in a personal. reflected in his works of creation, is the basis for worshipping and thanksgiving for God's steadfast love and care (Ps. 136:4-9; Matt. 6:26-32). The majesty of God, power (Ps. 104:24; Prov. 3:19-20; Jer. 10:12-13; Rom. 1:20-21); it bears witness to creation bears witness to God's incomparable majesty which he shares with no other (2 Kings 19:15-19; Neh. 9:6; Isa. 40:25-26); it shows forth God's wisdom and The confession of God the Maker of heaven and earth rings full of praise, for

(Rom. 8:19-23; cf. Isa. 11:6-9; 41:17-20; 43:18-21; Hos. 2:18) well and nature itself will be transformed and will participate in God's new world new creation (2 Cor. 5:17; Gal. 6:15). But not only this, non-human creatures as Christ—the agent of God's creation (1 Cor. 8:8; Col. 1:17)—become signs of the Spirit is experienced by God's people (Ez. 36:26-28; Jer. 31:31-34) who in earth" (2 Pet. 3:13; Rev. 21:1; cf. Isa. 66:22). Creative renewal through God's end God will be all in all (1 Cor. 15:28) and there will be "a new heaven and a new beginning, he creates now, and he will create in the luture (Rev. 1:4, 8; 4:8). In the the Creator is the First, and he is also the Last (Isa. 44:6; 48:12). He created in the upon in the context of the work of Jesus Christ and of the Holy Spirit: God creation, already broached in the Old Testament, comes to the fore and is reflected 9. In the New Testament the soteriological and eschatological significance of

> world to come. called, firmly believing in the future resurrection of the body and in the life of the of the sovereign purpose of the Creator and Redeemer whom to serve it has been 2 Cor. 3:18; 2 Cor. 4:4; Col. 1:15; 3:10), the church has a new and deepened vision continuously transformed into the image of God's Son (Rom. 8:29; 1 Cor. 15:49 Living under Christ as its head (Col. 1:18; Eph. 1:22-23) and its members being Christ who is the centre of all there is (Col. 1:15-17; Eph. 1:9-10; Heb. 1:2-3). John 1:1-18). God creates, sustains, redeems, and perfects his creation through New Testament writers see the design of creation in Jesus Christ (1 Cor. 8:6

6:16) and that creation serves a divine purpose history, that all human existence has a historical character (1 Cor. 15:42-50; 1 Tim the conviction that everything has a beginning, that creation is the starting point of cf. Matt. 3:9) are as characteristic of the Christian faith in God the Creator as is the confidence that God is able to create "out of nothing" (Rom. 4:17; Heb. 11:3: Belief in the creative power of God's word (Gen. 1; John 1:1-3; Heb. 11:3) and

IV. Today

cation of the first article is a timely and urgent task "The notion of God as Creator needs further work." We agree that the expli 1981 on the doctrinal implications of BEM1 and in Lima in 1982 it was stated remain simply implicit." This was a conclusion of the Princeton consultation in "The first article of the Creed suggests that the doctrine of creation should

a) The Triune God as the Creator

Almighty, is here confessed as "Maker of heaven and earth". doctrine of creation has its original, primal and proper place. The one God, Father about God the Son or God the Holy Spirit. It is here, in the first article, that the possible and meaningful to speak about God the Creator without first speaking The relative independence of the first article of the Creed implies that it is

act of the Irunity. Christologically, incarnationally, spiritually and sacramentally—as the ongoing creation must be understood no longer in animistic or pantheistic terms but rather Spirit also is at work from the beginning as the creative giver of life. Thus the Son the Creator became himself part of the creation, the God in creation. The the beginning created everything out of nothing, in Christ, "through whom everything is made", and in the Spirit, hovering over the waters. Already in and is indispensable from the beginning. The Triune God is the God who created all that is, the God above creation, the God before all time who through his word from creation only from the point of view of the first article. The Trinitarian perspective second and third. It would be just as impossible to develop a Christian theology of through the word of God, he entered into the creation. In the incarnation of the 12. It is not possible to exhaust the meaning of the first article by referring to the

b) The Creator acts—in redemption and revelation

theological significance also for the understanding of redemption and revelation to revelation, redemption, sanctification, the new creation -all as acts of the same doctrine of the Creator/creation per se. The question rather is how creation relates Triune God. That the Creed begins with the confession of the Creator has its 13. While the first article deserves its own place, the ecumenical issue is not the

14. In the faith of Israel God was the God of history who made himself known in decisive events. Srael knew, too, that this same God is the Lord of all history and of all other nations. But first of all Israel came to know him as the God of the convenuant long refore any clear understanding of God as Creator of hawen and earth was formulated. It is important to recognize the theological relevance of the fact that the Creed first contesses fraith in the Creator. At the same time it is God's saving act in the historical establishment of the covenant which is the revealing presupposition for the biblical faith in creation. "The covenant is the internal basis of the creation, but the creation is the creation."

15. God acts by his word, his word accompanies and interprets his acts. The Triune God 'that not left himself without witness' (Acts 14:17), but revealed and continues to reveal himself in many and vertious ways in the world and in history. God reveals himself in his works, in creation, 'in the things that have been made' (Rom. 1:30), and espocially in the human beings who were created in his image and likeness, who "show that what the law requires is written in their hearts" (Rom. 2:15).

16. The knowledge of God given in his creation must not be isolated from the whole of the biblical revelation. Creation is as effectised over 60 God (Rom. 1:18) attainable through creation and the safetised source of the mystery through the station which culminates in the event of Jesus Christ as a new unique and final disclosure. If he framework of the unity of creation, and there, history and disclosure in the framework of the unity of creation, anter, history and consummation is maintained, at the same time as this whole concept is deepened and elaborated through the experience of God's incomparable action in history lesus Christ, It is in this wider perspective that the doctrine of creation has its proper place and significance.

c) God's good creation—and evil

17. God saw it was good—this is the chorus at every evening of the days of God's creation (Gen. J). Also today it is important to Christian faith to emphasize the positive factors of life, the goodwars of creation and its inherent possibilities for the future of humankind. Life is a gift of God. When God gave his mandates—already in the Garden of Eden, at Sinal, but also in the form of "the law written in their hearts" (Kom. 2:15)—it was to protect and promote life and the goodness of creation.

18. To believe in God the Creator is to begin to understand and to appreciate his creation. The more human beings come to recognize the marvels and the dynamic openness of the macrocosmos and the microcosmos, the more evident is the greatness and glory of the Creator. The Creator is still at work. The creation is going on around us and in and with us. This is existentially relevant there and now "Helieve that God has created me and all other creatures; that he has given me my body and soul... and still preserves them..." (Martin Luther). The creation is a dynamic reality, it is a creatio continua, going on towards its consummation.

19. But the goodness and wholeness of creation are constantly threatened by death and decay which are characteristic of the persistable life of the cention (cf. 1 Cor. 15.42II), and also by causarcophies innature and the afferings inflicted upon people by people. All nature and the whole of history are marked by that ambiguity, which is characteristic of this world as we know it in our daily experience. Relationships between God and his careatures are imparted—it was and only the Creator himself who could recreate relations in Jesus Christ. The Creator only the Creator himself who could recreate relations in Jesus Christ.

was the one who reconciled the world to himself (2 Cor. 5:19), and so affirmed the world as his creation and manifested his faithfulness to it. In him a new creation was revealed, a transformation started, beyond sin and brokenness.

20. The ambiguity and discontinuity are shown not only in man's rebellion against God, but in all creatures in all nature (Rom. 8:272). The whole creation is against God, but in all creatures and redemptive work will not be complete until all powers of darkness are definitely brought under the tube of God as the wants the winds earth to be full of the glory of his covernant. The creation is from twents the pointing forwards, dynamically, exchanologically, to the day who Christ is origin pointing forwards, dynamically, exchanologically, to the day who Christ is origin positing and the property of the consummate the whole creation in the eternal kingdom of God when Christ is origin positing the whole exciton in the eternal kingdom of God when Christ is origin positing the property of the control of the c

d) Humanity in creation

21. The creation of men and women is described as the high point of the Creator's work. God also immediately employs the human beings as his cooper actor of the stewards (Gran. 1-26f), even rulers (Ps. 8). He puts them in charge to take care of, use and develop his creation. Faith focuses not only on my Creator alone, but rather on the God who created everything, my environment, nature, the but rather on the God who created everything, my environment, nature, the cosmos. And yet, the Creator gave special responsibility to all men and women in all times to be his prepresentatives—to care for his "garden".

22. However, humanity has also refused to obey God's mandate, refused to be his representatives, and instead abused God's good creation. The freedom God had given was used, not to pursue his high ealthing, but to act contrary to God's will. Humankind, created in God's image (Gen. 1:27), turned away from God, and became the slaws of their own sin. And yet, he still holds an almost divine position (Ps. 8), even as sinner and in spite of his rebellion.

23. In Jesus Christ, as the firstborn of a new creation, God has renewed not continues to renew humanity. Women and men are continuously set free again to discover their Creator, released from the bonds of enslavement in order to be truly

human, to live in "a new solidarily with all God's creatures" of 24. The first article emphasizes the creaturelines of all that is, and the humanness of our life in God's world. This has consequences for the whole Christian
faith, including our understanding of the humanily of Christ, the view of the
church as his body, the notion of the resurrection of the body—both Christ's and
ours, the concept of sacraments with their use of water, bread and wine. In baptism
we make use of water as a symbol of cleaning and renewal, and as part of the
eucharistic eselvation" "thanksgiving is offered for creation as well as for redemption and sanctification" with bread and wine, "fruits of the earth and human
habour", as "signs of the final trenewal of creation";

e) Consequences

Humanity's mandate over nature and the destruction of our environment
 The dominion over nature and creatures given to humanity (Gen. 1:26

25. The dominion over nature and creatures given to humanity (Gen. 1:26-28) and our special status as 'image of God' (Gen. 1:27) as God's tepresentative and steward above and over against creation, our nearly divine position, with nature 'mader our feet' (Ps. 8:6-7), are being made responsible for the exploitation of 'mader and the destruction of the environment. Blames gut at least you the effect nature and the destruction of the survoiment by an element of the properties of the which these Old Testament thoughts have had due to their misunderstanding by man who has emancipated himself from God. Undoubtedly the appraision of the deviation o

deputy, man is not the brother of nature but its lord and master. the origin and development of the sciences and technology - and thus also to the comprehension and an emancipating mastery over the world, have contributed to Christian faith in God the Creator, together with the human drive for rationa Creator and his position above creation, in which man participates as God's destructive results for our environment. Because of the transcendence of the

ship", is in danger of being interpreted in an anthropocentric sense. According to accountable to God. The human being may use creation but must not exploit it according to Gen. 2:15 God's creation is a garden which man is told "to till and to called to responsibility for God's creation, into which they remain embedded. Also contrary, in his commission as God's deputy and steward, men and women are means the exploitation of nature and the destruction of the environment. Quite the keep". The creation belongs to the Creator, and as its steward the human person is 26. If the Old Testament is rightly understood, it does not legitimize by any However, an ethic of creation and environment, based solely on "steward

advantages which have been assumed because of their control over nature vation of the creation demands of men and women the surrender of privileges and centrically based ethic of the environment no longer suffices because the preserknow it and the annihilation of humankind through atomic warfare. An anthropo their impact upon nature, especially the threatening destruction of the world as we today when one considers the tremendous potential of science and technology and based merely upon the concept of human responsibility, does no longer suffice means and possibilities which go far beyond responsible "stewardship". An ethic, the environment. Furthermore, modern science and technology have given us order to protect themselves from the dreaded consequences of the destruction of not protect nature for its own sake, not because it is God's creation, but rather in consequences like the millions of experiments made with animals today. People do their sake. Then the permission to eat meat (Gen. 9) can be misused as to lead to such an understanding nature must serve human beings, it exists exclusively for

Human responsibility in a world sanctified by the Triune God

however, he has entered into his creation, has himself become a suffering creature and is thus present in creation. The Christological basis provides faith in God's from his creation which was expressed in the spatial symbol of the "beyond" of the creation is avoided, namely the distance and the separation of the Creator not only above the creation but also in creation: thus the theistic misunderstanding come. And in Christ, the Creator is not only transcendent but also immanent; he is that the temporal distance between the Creator and his present creation is overpresence and future: thus the deistic misunderstanding of the creation is avoided in Viewed Christologically, the Creator is not relegated to the past, rather he has creation, into which the Creator has entered, is sanctified through the incarnation himself become present in a world threatened by exploitation and destruction. A Creator no longer simply stands over against or above his creation, rather he has Christ and to him, all things hold together in him (Col. 1:17f.). In Jesus Christ the creation with the element of purpose and goal: the cosmos was created through departure. For God the Creator thrones above his creation; in Jesus Christ with a creation-centred ethic, provided one does it from a Trinitarian point of 28. It is possible to supplement an anthropocentric ethic of the environment

of the third article, i.e. from a pneumatological perspective. Also the "creation in Furthermore, the preservation of God's creation is imperative also in ligh

> towards his new creation. of the world. Through the Spirit God continues to give life and completes his work the spirit" links God's transcendence of the world with his immanence in it. As Holy Spirit God is present and at work creating and preserving life in the processes Creation is sanctified through the presence and activity of the Triune God in

continues to be at work in it. because, through man as his co-builder, God rules over it, enters into it and The creation must not and cannot be destroyed through war or exploited ruthlessly beginning, who stands above it and who has entrusted man with dominion over it supported inwardly as it were through faith in God, who has made the world in the Thus human responsibility for creation, which is commanded, is confirmed and more and more sophisticated armaments, nor even use those to threaten them with justice; he/she will not arrogantly destroy God's creation and creatures by also keep it holy, preserve and protect it. He/she will share the fruits of creation it. Whoever understands creation as sanctified through Christ and the Spirit, will

assurance of the restoration of all creation 31. All human responsibility in and for creation is exercised in the hope and

- The potential contribution of "Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry" to the wider project FO/81:9, November 1981, p.16. "Towards the Common Expression of the Apostolic Faith Today", Princeton report
- "The Community Study and Apostolic Faith: Memorandum from the Working Group Vol. II, M. Kinnamon ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 113, Geneva, WCC, 1982, p.48 on the Community of Women and Men in the Church", in "Towards Visible Unity"
- Cf. Bristol 1967, Faith and Order Paper No. 50, pp.91.
 Karl Barth, cf. Church Dogmatics III/1: The Doctrine Karl Barth, cf. Church Dogmatics III/1: The Doctrine of Creation, para. 41,2: Creation as the External Basis of the Covenant; para. 41,3: The Covenant as the Internal Basis of Creation; Edinburgh, 1958, pp.94ff., 228ff.
- Cf. Bristol 1967, op. cit., pp.11f.
 Cf. "The Report from Montreal 1963", P. C. Rodger & L. Vischer eds, Faith and Order
- Princeton report, op. cit., pp.15f Paper No. 42, London, 1964, p.43.

Maker of Heaven and Earth Father Almighty, We Believe in One God,

to the First Article of the Creed Aspects of an Ecumenical Approach

DAN-ILIE CIOBOTEA

Introductory note

I. Faith in God and the challenge posed by atheism

- From the Kinshasa addresses
- The Kinshasa text
- The subsequent revisions
- The Stavanger discussion The Potsdam text

II. The Christian faith in one God, Father, Son and Holy Spirit, and the accusation of polytheism and idolatry

- From the Kinshasa addresses
- The Kinshasa text
- The subsequent revisions
- The Stavanger discussion
- The Potsdam text

III. Faith in God the Father in the present age of feminist theology

- The Kinshasa text From the Kinshasa addresses
- The Stavanger discussion The subsequent revisions
- The Potsdam text

Introductory note

Christian faith in one God, Father, Son and Holy Spirit and the accusation of three major aspects: I. Faith in God and the challenge posed by atheism. II. The Of the ecumenical approach to the first article of the Creed, we have retained

polytheism and idolatry. III. Faith in God the Father in the present age of feminist

mentioned above. space available obliges us to restrict our discussion to the three major aspects Creator and human responsibility for the integrity of creation, but the limited There are of course other important aspects, such as for example faith in God as

man Democratic Republic), July 1986. June 1985; (4) Stavanger (Norway), August 1985; and (5) Berlin/Potsdam (Gerreflection and effort on the occasion of the following meetings: (1) at Kinshasa these three major aspects of the Christian faith have been the object of ecumenical (Zaire), March 1985; (2) Geneva, April 1985; (3) Crêt-Bérard (Switzerland), May-In the following pages we shall give a brief presentation of the manner in which

I. Faith in God and the challenge posed by atheism

(krisis) upon them: a challenge which calls primarily not for apologetics, but for a remains one of the greatest challenges facing the churches today, if not a judgment "Christian soil" where it very often means the same as lapsing from Christianity, become confessing churches. Modern atheism, however, since it is born on the churches that they should make more effort, individually and collectively, to the apostolic faith should be accompanied by a conscious realization on the part of where there is no intensity of faith. For this reason the quest for Christian unity in Europe, is both difficult and necessary. True unity of faith cannot be achieved to the phenomenon of atheism. humble and searching examination of the churches' contribution, past and present The quest for visible unity of faith in a world marked by atheism, especially in

From the Kinshasa addresses

faith in God is to gain new credibility today. same time he identified some of the dimensions which must be opened up afresh if in creation and in God have both been subjected in the last two centuries. At the on the "The Creator and his Creation". He sketched the constraints to which belief however tackle the modern challenges to the Christian creation belief in his paper independent subject. Sigurd Daecke, the West German Lutheran theologian, did In the Kinshasa addresses the challenge of atheism was not discussed as an

of belief; a confession of faith. "God the Creator", "God the Father", "Jesus Christ" invisible. In other words, I believe in the Creator. Talk of the Creator is an utterance in one God, the Father Almighty, Maker of heaven and earth, of all things visible and what must be believed and cannot be seen and known in the ordinary sense . . . occur in a single complex of specifically Christian doctrinal statements and items, of as God's "Son" and our "Lord", and the "Holy Spirit" - these form a single series, I believe - as the Nicene-Constantinopolitan Creed affirms in its opening words -In fact, however, the only reason why belief in God the Creator seemed simpler,

of the origin of the world. In the first two chapters of Genesis, of course, this doctrine about the beginning of all things, on which at that time science was still reduced to silence and could say nothing. The doctrine of creation was diminished to a doctrine (my life now), this doctrine was now reduced to an affirmation concerning the past, istentially by pointing to its significance for the individual (me) and his present life easier and more self-evident than belief in Christ and in redemption, was because it the earth." Whereas Luther had already interpreted the doctrine of creation exthe simple statement of Gen 1:1: "In (at) the beginning God created the heaven and had been reduced in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries in a deistic direction to

cosmogony was not the message and centre of the doctrine. Although the creation of the world, these do not constitute the essence and distinctiveness of faith in Goo narratives of the Old Testament do indeed include affirmations concerning the origin still included a cosmogony-nowadays, too, this is often too little heeded-but this

in by science, from the origin of the world down to the origin of life itself. The offer any plausible rival theory of the origin of the world on its own. The moment de Creator remained simple and easy and axiomatic only as long as science was unable to occupied by biochemistry-with whatever variations in detail. "neo-vitalism" as recently as Karl Heim only thirty years ago, has finally been this last of the gaps, which the theologians still reserved for the Creator in the sense of "automatic organization of matter" may still be disputed as to the details, yet even thereby became "the god of the gaps", and one after the other the "gaps" were filled Laplace was able to say to Napoleon: "I have no need of that hypothesis, Sire!" a far-reaching change began. The Creator God had been driven out of his first "gap"; He Reduced to a theistic doctrine of the origin of the world, however, belief in the

of the century. Between the faith confessed by Christians and the modern scientific of Darwin's theory of evolution and, in particular, in the shape of the ideological divided, not the confessions from one another, but certainly the church from the greatest common ground and became instead the greatest factor of division . . . view of reality, belief in the world as God's handiwork ceased to be the area of absolutization of the doctrine of evolution in Germany by Ernst Haeckel at the turn least accept. Contradiction of faith in God the Creator reached its peak in the shape world. Belief in the Creator was the one doctrine which the modern world-view could the simplest of doctrines into the most difficult and problematic, a doctrine which doctrine of the Creator, in the course of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, from world-view from which it was possible and necessary to detach it, transformed the world, to that with which this belief had only been combined, i.e. to the elements of a Yet this very reduction of belief in the Creator to a doctrine of the origin of the

contrary a demiurge. That is no longer the problem today. Nor is our problem today today in all its richness, scope and complexity; no longer as something obvious and ago as an anti-Gnostic confession of faith, this doctrine is seen to be needed again more not only of the Creator but also of his creation. For God's handiwork was being endangered and put in growing jeopardy by the exploitation of nature, by the what it was a hundred or even just fifty years ago-namely whether there is any much whether the Creator in whom we believe is the Father of Jesus or on the simple but as something challenging and difficult. Today, however, the issue is not so witness and reflection. For the first time since it was first formulated sixteen centuries the doctrine of the Creator and his creation should move once more into the centre of Creator God at all pollution, contamination and destruction of the environment. It was inevitable that About fifteen years or so ago, it became a matter of extreme urgency to speak once

concerned with in the last century and a half, when its attention has been focused primarily on God's word in Jesus Christ, on the human being as creature, and on have seen, is precisely the question which the theology of creation has been the least be preserved can be confessed in faith as really God's handiwork. This, however, as we rescue the creation only if the environment to be protected and the natural world to and rescue it. But it is possible to establish this obligation to protect, preserve and human cooperation in the work of creation with a view to humanity's liberation The issue today, rather, is the world as God's creation; the need to protect, preserve

created world itself, along with God and Christ as Creator, along with the human being as creature and co-creator. This has to be made good today. Important rediscovered in the theology of our century. But too little attention was paid to the which too little attention had previously been paid and which were discovered or These were and remain vitally important aspects of the doctrine of creation to

> we must consider briefly the theme: the Creator above the creation Moltmann's recent "ecological doctrine of creation" is "God in the creation". But first theme of faith in the Creator has just appeared. Very significantly, the title of Jürger tion to this effort to find a new way of making God's handlwork, too, once again the with William Temple. A first comprehensive and particularly outstanding contribuwell as from the Anglican sacramental theology of nature and evolution associated above all, the American process theology associated with Alfred N. Whitehead, as contributions to making it good have been forthcoming in the past two decades from

purify their notion of God manifest itself in many ways and that it could also be a challenge to believers to in the first paragraph of the final text. This text states explicitly that atheism may reflection, its importance as a topic was underlined by the fact that it is mentioned contemporary atheism to the Christian faith was not the subject of any in-depth Even though, during the ecumenical session at Kinshasa, the problem posed by The Kinshasa text

atheism because they abandoned the pagan gods. Contemporary atheism may today sociological or economic grounds. In the early centuries, Christians were accused of humankind; belief in God would rather be an illusion springing from psychological being the way of life and salvation, is rather a threat to the freedom and dignity of There are atheists in the sense of those who consider that belief in God, far from

be challenging believers to purify their notion of God. betterment in humankind itself. Others have abandoned the quest in a nihilistic way any transcendent or ultimate reference in life. Some of these place their hope for In face of the problems of existence in our world, some people are unable to find

3. The subsequent revisions

affirmation of the Christian faith in the one God, but in a commentary at the end changes in externals only; not in the first paragraph, since that begins with the statement in the Kinshasa text that the Christians of the first few centuries were of the second paragraph. This commentary accords a separate place to the practise religious syncretism. accused of atheism because they had abandoned the heathen gods and refused to a) To the discussion of atheism the revised text of Geneva contributes a few b) The revised text of Crêt-Berard keeps the commentary on atheism of the

the first paragraph: second paragraph is devoted mainly to doubt as opposed to the faith discussed in preceding draft, but places it at the end of a different paragraph (No. 3), while the

put their trust in him. and that, by his Holy Spirit, God brings new and eternal life to all who through Christ (John 17:3); that, in Christ, God has reconciled the world to himself (2 Cor. 5:19); revealed himself supremely in the "One whom he has sent", namely Jesus Christ Christians believe that "the One true God", who made himself known to Israel, has

universal god who is creator, redeemer and sustainer of everything. Others doubt that there are powers transcending the visible reality of the world the question is, can expression and projection of human wishes and fears. Even when it is acknowledged being and continuing life: for them a conception of God is no more than ar whether there is any reality transcending the visible world, providing the source of its Many people and religions other than Christianity profess faith in a single

it be maintained that there is only one such power and should that power be conceived as unely transcendent or also as immanent in the world and how can these aspects-be reconciled? (As., 1 and 2)

However, what particularly distinguishes the revision made at Crêt-Bérard is the fact that reflections on the faith-atheism problem have been developed in two new paragraphs, 8 and 9. In this approach, the existence of God is presented as that which gives ultimate meaning to the whole of finite existence, and as the source of responsibility and hope in the world. Moreover, the text affirms that human nature is "mescapably religious":

The world of finite things would lack ultimate meaning and purpose without the drine reality. Christians share with many other religions the belief that there is a God. Indeed, the very existence of anything finite transits an unresolved myself without it. God is the source of obligation in a world where otherwise there would be no ultimately obliging reality as a striction of individual behaviour. God is the source of bops in the face of perhabblences, suffering failure and strife, a hope surpassing everything that could be achieved by human efforts, but also inspiring efforts at resulting a provisional state of order and human dignity.

While the religious traditions of humankind are indeed all testimonies of human experience and thought, they support the fact that human nature is inescapably religious. Religious are not necessarily inventions of bring whose primordial nature could adequately be described in partly sendire trans as a thiests seame. If the religious dimension belongs to the roots of the indirectively human predicament, then the fallness of being human is missed where the awareness of a reality transcending everything finite is obscured or exhipsulated rather than being attended to and sought after as sources of possible answers and solutions to the promises, inadequancies and perversions of human life. (LA, 8 and 9).

4. The Stavanger discussion

With respect to the problem posed by atheism to faith, the discussion group at Savanger which analyzed and discussed the enumential work on the first article of commentary on paragraph 3 and in paragraphs 8 and 9. The same group recommended deeper study of the subject and even proposed the holding of a special consultation on it:

The group feels that the treatment of atheism in paragraph 3 (Commentary) and in paragraphs 8 and 9 is not adequate. For one thing, atheism in the modern world assumes a variety of forms which require different types of response. The various forms of atheism are among the most services challenges floring Christian faith today. We recommend that the challenge of atheism be mentioned in paragraph 2 and that there he a new section on "The Challenge of Atheism," probably after the present paragraph 1.5 We also suggest that the drafting of this new section be entrusted to a special consultation on the question of atheism.

In particular the Stavanger discussion group made the point that there is a need for clarification and deeper exploration of the statement that human nature is mescapably religious. "The statement that human nature is inescapably religious is this true, clinter as an empirical or a theological statement. The question whether one can be truly human without being religious needs further exploration."

The Stavanger discussion group also recommended the introduction into the text of a special paragraph on *secularism*.

5. The Berlin/Potsdam text This text stands out becau

This text stands out because of its exploration of the faith-athesian question, and takes into consideration the suggestions made at Savanager. In paragraph 0 it is stated that faith in a creator Gold is confronted primarily by the "faite gold created by absoluted selfish human passions, and astosquently by doubt and cental of the existence of the one Gold. In paragraph 12, the Postsian text materials to the description of the forms of contemporary attention, and retains the affirm ation of the previous texts that atheism also challenges believers to purify their notion of Gold.

Taking into account the criticisms formulated at Shavanger concerning the text's presentation of the relationship between faith in the one God and atheism, the Potsidian text, in paragraphs 24 and 25, contributed some clarifications.

Also worth mentioning here is the fact that the Berlin/Potsdam text devotes three new paragraphs to the problems of *idolatry* and *secularism* as phenomena

opposed to faith in the true and sole Creator God.

These three paragraphs are important as an effort to explicate the importance and meaning that faith in the one God, Creator of heaven and earth, has today

Their content is as follows:

So it can be seen that both in individuals and in groups the notorious tempfation of industry is easiting It was for this reason that the Reformers spoke of the human hear as "froging folds," And the "production line" was not limited to the religious field. O course, first and foremost "foldings" is an eatlegory belonging to the phenomenology or religion. Nevertheless, and even in politics, one can everywhere experience the effects of the idolatious intended politics, the phenomenon or a power of natural, takeny or human dibitation and to delevate it into an ultimate, or into something that give direction and meaning of the. Everyfining in the world of humanity, let il destructive or creative, can in this way become an idoi, a false god.

This is a danger also in modern exactation. Of course the biblical inheritance

cominis i "emprivologizing" element. A certain sober "evaluiratation" is part of the historical task and contribution of Christiantly. Hence there should be no pre conceived rejection of developments towards soularization in culture and socially such as have characterized the present age in Europe. However, the fact must not be overlooked that such trends themselves often became subject in a different way to the old temputation towards idulative, with the shoultrain of their ideological political or technocratic aims. A secultarization, which began as something quite legitimate his become an Highinate; "secultariii", the technocratic aims. A secultarization, which began as something quite legitimate his become an Highinate; "secultariii", the technocratic aims. A secultarization which began as something quite legitimate his become an Highinate; "secultariii", the technocratic aims. A secultariii and the secultariiii and the secultar

It is on the basis of the Creed and in the bring confession of finith in God that the challenge of this seculiarism has to be foacd. The warming of the prophetic aux posterior message against this tendency towards isolatory. This is presistent and emphatic "You shall have no other gods before (Fix. 20:16). This is presistent and emphatic the message and the second sidely, illusions, destructive powers. It affirms and praises the message that the combation of hope for the world on come. (I.A. 31-33)

It should nevertheless be made clear that the special consultation on atheism suggested at the time of the Stavanger meeting never took place; an ecumentes study of the problems posed by atheism to the Christian faith is therefore sit necessary.

II. The Christian faith in one God, Father, Son and Holy Spirit and the accusation of polytheism and idolatry

Ecumenical meditation on the mystery of the unity of the church seems to be increasingly fed in the direction of the mystery of unity in God, for no worldy. On the other hand, the direction of the heavier of unity in God, for no worldy. On the other hand, the fact that many Orthodox churches, members of the WC. On the other hand, the fact that may Orthodox churches, members of the WC. On the other hand, the fact that this state the finitiar hand to a state of the control of the Cristian to do under extremely difficult historical conditions and in frequently hostic mental and irreducible dimensions of the Cristian revelation, nore precisely the revelation of God as Love. For these churches, the intensification of the dialogue with the other monothesis (religions and with the scientific culture of our time should be achieved not 'in spite of dialo in the Ernitarian God' 'in with that fails as a starting point. God's call to unity as manifested by the ecumenical movement is in effect a quiet but persistent call to a truer and deeper vision of unity.

1. From the Kinshasa addresses

As the esssion on the first article of the Creed took place in Africa, half of the papers were given by Africans. Two black theologians, Elonda Elefe from Zaire and John A.K. Aniagwu, the Roman Catholic theologian from Nigeria, thus gave papers on Christian belief in God in the light of monothetem and polythetem. Aniagwu discussed in particular those challenges arising for the Christian, Triniturian understanding of God as a result of the encounter with radditional religious and Islam in Africar.

The African traditional conception of God must pose some challenges for the Christian faith in one God.
"Diffused monotheism" is how one African scholar has labelled the African

conception of God. Perhaps for too long, foreign investigators were led into believing that Africans were polythesis; much like the ancient Greeks and Romans. The first of the case, as the said scholar sea, it, is that Africans are monothesist, polythesists and partitiests, all rolled by in one. They acknowledge one supreme God, who is not and maker of all things in both the spiritual and physical domains. To that extent, they are monothesitis, But much of their claim like is directed towards devinities and answers. Then they are polythesitis. Finally, the African recognize smething of God (e.g. "stail fore" "sou" "spirit" "inst" etc.) residing in every single berning in both the spiritual and physical domains. There, they would be ganthesitis. Whence the use of the label "diffused monothesis" for their bornd of belief in God.

In the face of the current resurgence of African traditional religion within not a few patriotic critics, the challenge must arise for Christianity to justify its faith in a God who is both three and one, without being polytheistic or diffusely monotheistic like that of the traditional Africans.

Also quite capable of generating some controversy is the Christian faith in a God who is provident, intendeding directly with the world be created, as algustant the deutering intensity with the world be created, as algustant the deutering intensity of the Africans. What sense can it make to pray to God or worship hair? Is it conceivable that his will can be influenced by anything man does or does not only a few and a should be advisorabled of Gostain commencial between the Christian Grant and the advisorable of the God South and the Action of the God South and the Action of the God South and the Christian Commencial between the Christian Christian Commencial between the Christian Christi

The fact should be acknowledged of certain congruencies between the Christian faith in God and the African traditional conception of him.

To begin with, God's extitence is accepted without question in either case. Whereas

some Christians have been known to want to demonstrate or prove God's existence

Africans see that as a wholly unnecessary business

As one African scholar says² there are no atheists in African society, such that an African (Ashami) proverb can say, "No one shows a child the supreme Being". That is to say, everybody, including even the child, knows God's existence, almost by instinct.

In African traditional religion, as in Cinstiamity, God is believed to be the ceasion of all that exists. But the notion of God tearning the word for *entitlid* obes on seem to move found any place in the former as in the latter. All the known mysts of the excition of the world in Africa portray God as thaving balanced the world from preceding material. There is also the first that the same myths often cast God's creative mage as these of a moulded (like peoply) or currenter (I'p expel). The traditional image is that of a moulded (like peoply) or currenter (I'p expel): The traditional image is that of a moulded (like peoply) or currenter (I'p expel): The traditional image is that of a moulded (like peoply) or currenter (I'p expel): The traditional image is that of a moulded (like peoply) or currenter (I'p expel): The traditional image is that of the mount of the first of the material God Africans.

used in creation earns from.

The idea of God beng, "personal" is not as explicit in African traditional religion a:

The idea of God beng, "personal" is not as explicit in African traditional registry of

it is in Christianity. But certain attributes given to God in the former bearing subring
a bedefin a personal God. For intanance, God is former, May All of these uniformers, personal productions over senting a finite and the contract of the

The radical uncompromising monothesism of Islami is proverbial. In its view, "True faith (funda)... consists of belief in the immeatant briver Unity and Islami no rest submission to Divine Will?" 20r dee, as an Islamic echolar puts it: "The Good of Islam shows it would be not expend the intent of the Islamic deep land that is good does not easily advisitly to them because of printly according to Islam, must be does not assign divinity to these because of printly according to Islam, must be instituted in the Islamic and Islam

More often than not, the butt of their attack is precisely the Christian faith in a Triune God. A standard Maslim sermon or address would thus begin as follows: "Allah is one. He has no equal, no rival, no partner. He can have no son either, since he has no wife to bear him a son

In this connection, as a Christian, this writer believes that there is need for a re

examination of the Nienne formulation of the Trinitarian creed. One is here suggesting that, perhaps, the formula of mis audia, rich hypoxausic does not convey the same meaning today as it did in the year 252 AD. In our experience today, how make the colaim that there are three persons in the own mature of God, when in every other situation that we know of, the reverse is the case several natures when in every other situation that we know of, the reverse is the case several natures joining to form one person (for instance, body, out, a pair in the human person)?

It is probably the difficulty that this prompted a new way of looking at the side to be of personality in God. According to this give alphanch, God, would be said to, be a

of perionality in God. According to this new approach, God would be start to be "personal", not one, two or three "persona". In our experience, are sensitially head on the personal's start one, two or three "persons will thin the words, whatever, or essentially human. To say that God is tirtee persons would thin go the annut by saying that God is that he is three lumant persons that the sound to the start between the start of the control of the start between the start of the start between the two or "is, it is thought the sope of human comprehension. All of the started quite deathy in the following way by a philosopher of religion: "Most this is started quite deathy in the following way by a philosopher of religion: "Most this is started quite deathy in the following way by a philosopher of religion: "Most this is started quite death in the following way by a philosopher and the started proposal relation than as "beroon." The latter phrase theologian speak of God as "possul" and the started that God is "at least personal", that the personal is accordingly intended to signify that God is "at least personal", that whatever God may be beyond our conceiving he is not less than personal, not a more It in relation to man, but always the higher and treascendent Thour.

One wonders if this approach to understanding the notion of personality in God might not open new avenues for meaningful dialogue with Islam, especially if, as was said earlier op, both this latter and Christianity are willing to recognize the limitations in their formulations of the turb about God.

2. The Kinshasa to

First of all, the Kinshasa text makes the point that faith in the Trinitarian God has always been a sumbling-block for non-Christians and even in the church there are people today who feel the need for a new interpretation:

For many people outside the Circitian community, the nation of the Trimet God has been a stumbing-block. Either it threatened monotherms at they conserved it; or, in the other direction, it excluded their own more multiple perceptions of the divine. Been within the church, many people today sonsider that the Christian doctrine of the Trime God stands in meet of fresh interpretation and terminological revision. It may be that the work of clarifying the Christian doctrine of God both for those outside the church and for those within will be say proceed hand in hand. (A.1.3)

The Kinshasa text then explains how the Nicene Creed confesses faith in the one God, and defines the historical context in which it was formulated (A.H. 4-7). In paragraph In (the Kinshasa text, under the heading "Today", explains that the one God of the Bible reveals himself in the history of salvation as an indivisible communition of life and love between three separate Persons; Father, Son and Holy Spirit. The text stresses that there is a profound link between the significance of the Spirit. The text stresses that there is a profound link between the significance of the Cores of Christ and the comprehension of the Trinity or of the one God who is

Confronted by accusations from Islam of polyheizm, or from Judaism of Violatin, Christianiby must produce a response. The Kinshasi fact states that whereas leven, Muslims and Christianis all in fact believe in one God, the revelation of God in Christ shows, how God is once not because he is an eternal "Solitade", but because he is an eternal communion of life and love who reveals hinself to human beings and calls them to share in him (A.IV, 4.1).

The subsequent revisions The revised text of Ga

 a) The revised text of Genera pronounces in favour of a more thorough-going dialogue with Islam and Judaism, and quotes the example of the apologists of the first centuries:

In the endy centuries, Christians were accused of atheism because they abandoned the pagan gods, and ordised to pactice religious generation. In this latter sense, Christians, believing in one God, seemed to stand apart from other religious. However, a closer look at the apologicit works of the endry Christians shows that Christian theology was not totally opposed to the theologies of other religions but shared some common ground with them, especially with Juidsans and religious but shared some common ground with them, especially with Juidsans and religious confidenties philosophy. This entry Christian attrude, exhing 8; Peter's words in Acts 10:341, is especially important today; in view of the developing dialogue between Christianity and Judiain, or Christianity and other religions, especially Islam. (Commonary, i. A.2).

As for the Christian response to the accusations of Islam and Judaism, the Geneva text repeats with a few minor modifications the arguments of the Kinshasa text.

b) The revised text of Crét-Bérard responds differently to the challenges posed

by Judaism and Islam, but is chiefly distinguishable from the previous texts by its

use of the idea of differentiated unity which holds together transcendence and immanence, a unity which does not exclude plurality. However, the arguments advanced by the Ceft-Bérard text, though more philosophical, mean the same thing as the previous texts, i.e. that in God unity is expressed in the indivisible communion of Three Persons:

nunion of Inree Persons: The challenge posed by other monotheistic religions

Christians are sensitive to the fact that other monothesists traditions do not share their faith in a Tinne God, Christians, insided, are often accused other of iolotary (Indiason) to polythesism (stam), Although Jewist redition knows of prophets who act on the authority of the God who sent them, newish inthic hossides it as violation of the oneress of God to associate any person with his eternal being Bul Jewish tradition, howes of realistics that represent the transcendent God within this world—his mane, his glory, his shechinds, and his sons. Do these realists which are distinguished from God's transcendent being really present the presence of God himself! In that seash onception of the one God. On to other had at transcendent God who could not be present in this world would hardly be the God of the Old Tesament pophets. The Christian belief in the incarantion and in Jesus's eternal Sonship expressed in the Trinitarian Creed asserts the differentiated unity of God encompassing transcendence and immanerice.

With regard to the *Islamic* charge of polythesis, it is important to stress that the Christian faths never intended to surrender the onness of God. Nor off die the Trinitarian doctrine of the church intend to limit or to weaken the affirmation of the unity of God. Rather, the Trinitarian differentiation of the unity of God is a condition of a ruly consistent monothesis because it does not leave the principle of plurally onside that of unity or hist unity would be a mere contract to a plurally that were not included for the Christian of the Christian of the Christian of the Christian of the country, only the order to be well as the country, only the order to be contract of the country of

4. The Stavanger discussion

During the Stavanger meeting, the participants requested that particular attention be paid to the relationship between Christianity and the other monothesistic religious, especially Judaism and Islam. At the same time, it was stated that one must recognize there is a great diversity of opinion on the question of the relationship between the various religious:

While we recognize why in the first article it is necessary to give special attention to relations with Judaism and Islam (mentioned in paragraphs 3,10,16,17) we wonder if it would be possible to consolidate this discussion.

There should be more recognition that there is considerable diversity in the way Christians approach the question of the relation between religions.

During the discussion it was also requested that in presenting the relationship of Christianity to the other monothesite religions, a clear distinction should be drawn between Indiasim and Islam, for it is from the Jews that Christians inherited their faith in the One God.

5. The Berlin/Potsdam text

The response to the challenges of Judaism and Islam does not greatly differ from that of the Crêt-Bérard text (I, A.27, 28). However the Potsdam text takes more

specifically into consideration the challenge made to Christianity by other re-

thing that exists; the Son reveals the meaning and healing of the Father's creation; Son and the Holy Spirit: the Father is the creator of every person, animal, plant or and the Spirit brings divine life into every detail of heaven and earth. (I, A.29) that the concreteness of the one God is no other than in the work of the Father, the its fullness, richness and concreteness. In face of these challenges Christians believe as compensation for the Christian Trinitarian faith which is no longer understood in as transcendental meditation gain ground in Christian countries; they function often abstract and cut off from the realities of day-to-day life. Syncretistic movements such plants and things. They challenge the Christian Trinitarian belief as being too manifoldness of divinity is experienced in human beings and animals as well as in In other-religions, e.g., African traditional religions, Buddhism or Hinduism, the

communion shows that it is not a constraining, but a free unity. It is realized in the free gift of self between the Persons of the Trinity. glorifies. And the fact that it is God the Father who is the source of this unity of an arithmetical or abstract unity, that the faith of the church confesses and and Holy Spirit. It is this unity - reciprocal communion of life and love - and not God is identical to the perfect and indivisible communion between the Father, Son Present-day ecumenical thought is very positive in its insistence that unity in

III. Faith in God the Father in the present age of feminist theology

of over-hasty compressions and simplifications. constructive ecumenical study of this subject is necessary, in order to avoid the risk God the Father may be in fact one manifestation of this patriarchalism. A current feminist reaction which goes so far as to query whether the designation too often imbued the traditional Christian theology of all the churches, there is a which are frequently ignored. Because a patriarchal attitude and terminology have participation of all members and the recognition and exploitation of charismata porary feminist theology (or theologies), one can discern a genuine and legitimate the disparate tendencies and uneven modes of expression manifested in contemaspiration towards a renewed and more authentic ecclesial koinonia based on the thought, especially in regions such as Western Europe and North America. Behind Feminist theology is one of the important components of modern theological

1. From the Kinshasa addresses

Testament background, before going on to deal with some feminist points of view Tanner from London, spoke first about the fatherhood of God against an Old vice-moderator of the Commission on Faith and Order, the Anglican Mary a non-patriarchalist understanding of God as Father".6 In her contribution the is at the same time an outline of her programme: "We believe in one God: towards the session herself, but let the participants have her contribution in writing. Its title and understood. Mercy Oduyoye, the Nigerian Methodist, could not take part in It comes as no surprise that at Kinshasa women had their say on questions raised by feminist theology about the traditional way in which God is confessed

churches. To the question, is God really father, are the masculine attributes that standing of God, of women and men in God's image and the life and structures of the part of a much larger package, an interlocking agenda which touches our under-The Christian feminist challenges to the fatherhood of God have then to be seen as

> surprisingly feminists come up with different answers . . belong with fatherhood, power, lordship, kingship, mastery, any longer usable, no

to the idea that God is male and that he exhibits primarily characteristics we have of Scotland was debating the motherhood of God, revealed that in fact many do cling creed today and yet many of the letters to the British press last year, when the Church no gender." This may seem too naive an assertion to make in an explication of the As a human being, Jesus Christ is male. But within the persons of the Trinity there is relationship to each other in the Trinity, we do not mean to imply that God is male already said it: "It is important to note when we speak of 'Father and Son' in their attribute to God maleness and biological fatherhood. The Kottayam report has particular age or culture and also to explode the myth that to call God "Father" is to pattern from the pattern of human fatherhood as that has been perceived in any Father". More than this through baptism we are incorporated into Christ, and are in other ways. They cannot relinquish the concept of "God as Father" for it has been feminists it is vital to explode the myth that this fatherhood of God either takes its able to call God "Father" in, with and through Christ. Nevertheless, for Christian given to us in Jesus' own address to God and in his invitation to us to pray "Ou The majority of feminists, however, seek to overcome the patriarchal view of Goo

defined as masculine. How then do feminists correct this one-sided view of God'

Clement of Alexandria in the third century: number of experiences which have been called feminine and wrongfully restricted to women are confidently used of God. Such thoughts continued in the church in feminine face of God. We have already referred to the Old Testament passages where a Tradition, already there within the Old Testament and New Testament, and to find a 1. One way is to recover and lift up the silent, hidden part of the Christian

God is love

The ineffable being of the Father has out of And for love of us has become a woman

By loving the Father has become woman compassion with us become Mother.

Mother Julian is one of the great discoveries of Christian feminists And it echoes most strongly in the beautiful writings of the mediaeval mystics. As truly as God is our Father, so just as truly

is he our Mother.

in our merciful Mother we are remade and restored In our Father, God Almighty, we have our being

God is there, silent, yes, but constant. It offers a rich corrective source. about God and to form our words and our prayers. The tradition of a feminine face to it difficult to identify. This provides us with a courage to talk out of our experience the churches, that restores a balance for women to a God with whom they have found It is the uncovering of this silent tradition, hardly ever heard in the official worship of But Christian feminists do not only ask the churches to complement the male.

we see that the fatherhood of God, the Sonship of that Father, is so dramatically we concentrate on the notion of uniqueness in relation between Jesus and the Father, tatherhood, but filled out in faithfulness to God's revelation within the scriptures. If out" again, not by a false patriarchy of the first century or seventh century or the an attempt to "zero-content" the notions of Father and Son, so that they may be "filled Victorian era, nor even with our more enlightened twentieth century concepts of God the Father and Jesus as Son and indeed with the doctrine of the Trinity. There is Patricia Wilson-Kastner, along with the Moltmanns are grappling with the content of opment to me is that some feminist theologians, for example Rosemary Haughton, masculme language of God with female-feminine language. The most exciting devel-

is known as Father when he surrenders the Son to the cross. The Son knows what it is

the Father to the Son, Jesus. We need to recover the silent part of our tradition concerning the motherhood

But we must understand the fatherhood of God through the unique relation of

for God encompasses and transcends all that we understand by masculine and ogy the masculine language about God should be balanced with feminine language. of God and the feminine face of God Father with images of the motherhood of God. At the level of necessary anthropol-5. This will enable us to supplement the credal statement of belief in God the

Julian of Norwich: Anselm, "Christ, my Mother, You gather your chicken with your wings" or with the ancient creeds may we not also go on to address God as Mother: to say with My final reflection is in the form of a question. While not changing the words of

rogatives. Here the essence of fatherhood is quite other than what by comparison is seen as the poverty-stricken parody produced by patriarchy. This leads in the

the motherhood of God.

feminists are searching for out of the depths of their experience as they reach out for God of much of the Christian past. It is precisely this God that many Christian we begin to see that the title "God the Father" expresses a very different God from the direction of what Moltmann and Rosemary Haughton call the "motherly Father" the Father, the Father suffers the abandoning of the Son, God gives up his prepowerful, impassible God. At the moment when the Son suffers the abandonment of Gethsemane, and on the cross God gives proof of a radical difference from the all embraces the suffering of the cross. At the moment when Jesus surrendered in to be Son, when in complete obedience and conformity of will with the Father, he God is grasped most fully in relation to the centrality of the cross. The Father of Jesus different from that culture-bond tradition imposed upon them. The fatherhood of

And finally, another important development for Christian feminism comes in

so just as truly is he our Mother. In our Father, God Almighty, we have our being; As truly as God is our Father

It is I, the strength and goodness of fatherhood in our merciful Mother we are remade and restored

It is I, the wisdom of motherhood

It is I who am the reward of all true desiring . . . It is I, the light and grace of holy love . .

spiritual meditations: As one Roman Catholic nun says: "My secret worship of God the Mother has been the sure ground of my spirituality." This gives her confidence to write her own gives women confidence to write their own prayers and poems, even their own creeds challenges of Christian feminism to the fatherhood of God spring. It is this which interest in equality, nurture and mutual support.

feminine perception of things. It "chimes" with women's experience, with their domination, on common life and not hierarchy. This is very close indeed to the hood of God. The Trinity is based on love and not lordship, on mutuality and no concept of the Trinity has a profound effect upon our understanding of the fatherextent and dwell in one another to such an extent, they are one. This relational and interdependent. By virtue of their eternal love they live in one another to such an relational. The three persons of the Trinity are part of what Moltmann calls "a social network"; the Trinity is "a social Trinity". Each person is perfectly open to the other But in the East, the notion basic to the understanding of the Trinity is of God as omnipotence, omniscience, absoluteness merely strengthened the patriarchal image source, the dominant one, who begot the Son eternally, and the Spirit proceeding from the Father (and the Son). God is Father and head of a divine household: his the Trinity has been viewed primarily in a monarchical way, with the Father as the the understanding of the Trinity. It is emerging clearly in feminist theology that the notion of the Trinity is far more supportive of feminist values. In Western tradition

It is from this bringing together of experience with scripture and Tradition that the

We worship God our mother in solitude, seeking the secret places to be with her . . Everything pours from her source. Everything in the garden magnifies her presence . .

Everything is holy. Everything participates in her being

ifting stones, kneeling at every pool and hollow, looking into every cleft and beneath birds' wings Searching for our Mother we run through trees,

Final reflections

them. Religious language must nurture and sustain all of us. faith. If some members find the language oppressive we cannot turn our backs on patriarchal needs to be taken seriously. Language functions within the community of 1. The accusation that the church's language about God has been oppressively

Holy and Undivided Trinity We may not surrender the centrality of God the Father, Jesus the Son and the

The Kinshasa text

creator of heaven and earth (cf. B.I, 1 and 2). source of the Trinitarian communion of eternal life, who is the Father Almighty, conclusion that this is the key expression for understanding the other articles of the The group who prepared the Kinshasa report thought deeply about the original meaning of the expression in the Creed "the Father Almighty", and arrived at the whom he begets and the Holy Spirit which proceeds from him. It is this Father, the refer to the Father in isolation, but to the Father in indivisible unity with the Son Creed, and especially the fact that the confession of faith in "the one God" cannot

expression "the Father Almighty". At the same time the group proposes to the Father Almighty. respond to certain challenges encountered by those who today confess faith in God The text next specifies the dangers implied in a faulty understanding of the

or unconsciously colour its interpretation; these in turn may call forth protests and objections, issuing sometimes in rejection of the entire Christian faith. Such objecdescriptions of God. They challenge us today to consider such questions as: tions have indeed been directed against both "Father" and "Almighty" as suitable sidedly authoritarian, paternalistic and triumphalistic associations may consciously The specifically Christian sense of the first article may be lost from view; one

authoritarian way Can the fatherhood of God properly be understood in a non-patriarchal and non

In what sense do we affirm that God is the Father "Almighty": inclusive theological language? And, if so, can we go on to address God as "Our Does "feminine" as well as "masculine" imagery have a necessary place in Mother" as well as "Our Father"?

"powers" at work in the world? What is the relation or resemblance between the sovereign power of God and the

what are the similarities and differences between the Christian affirmation of the Father Almighty and comparable expressions used in other religions? (B.I, 3)

never meant to imply that the Father is male (B.a.II, 8). reason the eternal begetting of the Son by the Father confessed in the Creed was biological father-son relationship in terms of the created human being. For this states that the eternal begetting of the Son by the Father is not identical with the fathers of the Council of Nicea had to face in their day (B.a.II, 4-7). The text then in which the Creed was formulated, or in other words the challenges which the In its response to these challenges, the group first explains the historical context

monarchical, authoritarian, power-hungry father. fatherhood revealed in the New Testament has nothing to do with the image of a relationship with his eternal Son become Man, given for the salvation of the world Gospels of the Father-Son relationship in the Holy Trinity shows that the divine (John 3:16) (B.a.II, 9). A searching analysis of the description provided by the The text insists on the fact that the true fatherhood of God is demonstrated in his

in his love for the people of Israel, as their liberator and redeemer. On the other group found first of all that the fatherhood of God in the Old Testament is shown however, ever addresses the Lord as "Mother" (B.a.III, 12 and 13) relationship of God with his people in images of maternal affection. No-one hand, there are in fact many expressions in the New Testament describing the As to whether God the Father may be considered also as a Mother, the Kinshasa

themselves to him (B.a.III, 15). Son with all humanity and offered by him to all human beings who oper persons, but the intimacy of love involved in this quality is shared by the incarnate quality of being eternally the only Son of the Father is not communicated to other which human beings who believe in the Son may have with the Father. Jesus tutes the foundation upon which is for ever based that intimacy and familiarity heavenly Father is expressed in the designation "Abba", and this intimacy constiextreme intimacy of the relationship of the Son of God become Man with his relationship with Jesus Christ, his eternal Son become Man (cf. B.a.III, 14). The Old Testament precisely in the revelation of God's fatherhood through his unique However, the group observes that the New Testament is distinguished from the

and taking into consideration the present-day challenges mentioned above, the masculinity or femininity. and in the faith of the church cannot intrinsically be reduced to categories like Kinshasa group concludes that the true fatherhood of God as shown in scripture On the basis of these findings, with the Bible and the Creed as starting-point

feminine face of God":: relationship of God with humanity there should be an attempt to "recover a "Our Mother", the group thinks it necessary that in the description of the ment within the group itself over the question whether one may address God as and without turning Tradition into something abstract or forgetting the disagree Nevertheless, without wishing to "correct" the revelation and words of Christ,

While not wishing to surrender the confession of faith in God the Father, nor the address given by Jesus to his disciples, "Our Father", we recognize a need to uncover all. (B.a.IV, 19) from the false stereotypes of masculine and feminine which have entrapped us male and female, masculine and feminine, who liberates both men and women celebrate the feminine in God. It is this God who encompasses and transcends we believe that Christians are free to explore language, symbols and imagery which the silent but complementary part of the tradition of a feminine face of God. Further

The subsequent revisions

Kinshasa text into a single chapter, "the Father Almighty". Here is an excerpt: its combination of the two chapters "God the Father" and "the Almighty" of the a) The revised text of Geneva is chiefly distinguishable from that of Kinshasa for

the fatherhood of God. The Father God is the one who rules and wields authority over all creation, "the Almighty". The Greek term used here in the Creed is and its history and destiny (I, B.4) other claimants to universal sovereignty, to government and mastery over the work Father is also Pantokrator brought with it (at least in principle) the de-throning of all grasp, that he does not and will not let it go. At the same time, the affirmation that the providence. To call the Father Pantokrator is to affirm that the whole universe is in his hands all things are". It is less a description of absolute omnipotence than of universal in an abstract way, "one who can do anything he wants", but rather "one in whose Pantokrator, literally, "the one who holds and governs all things". It does not mean, ment of the Creed tended to underline the idea that dominion and authority belong to The close association of Creator and Almighty with Father in the opening state

Geneva text. However, the Crêt-Bérard text puts more emphasis on the fact that in the language of Jesus (especially the writings of St John), "Father" is a name and b) The revised text of Crêt-Bérard is to a great extent an abbreviation of the

and retains in its final commentary the suggestion of "recovering a feminine face of not just an image or one designation among others. The Crêt-Bérard text explains the image of the divine fatherhood in these terms,

The image of fatherhood

speaking of God the Father, the point of comparison is in the function of the father in too often in Western culture have been limited to women and called "feminine". In includes functions and attributes which belong to both men and women but which al tions of gods and goddesses in Israel's cultural surroundings. God's fatherhood is focused upon. In the biblical language God's fatherhood transcends the sexua the eternal relationship between the Father and the Son, it is the relation of origin that When God is called the Father in the Bible, in Jesus' own teaching and in the Christian church, it was never intended to imply that God is male. Although the relationships with each other, in the life and structures of our society and not least in a acknowledge a wholeness in God which we are called to reflect in ourselves, in our neglect in the church and in the world. To confess God as the Father is to has, but he is also judging all attitudes and structures of oppression, domination and functions include aspects of motherly care as well. God loves and shares all that he the weak, the oppressed and the most vulnerable of his children. These parental the family, who cares for and nurtures all his children, the one who is concerned for distinction between male and female which had been part of the polytheistic concep-Trinitarian doctrine used the biological metaphor of begetting in its description of renewed community of women and men in the church.

Father as a name

another one. It would no longer be the God of Jesus to whom we relate, if we would God in Jesus' own teaching and prayer, the word "Father" cannot be replaced by It is the name used to address God in prayer. In its function as a name, the name of name of the God to whom he relates in his mission and whose kingdom he proclaims. avoid the name Jesus himself used In Jesus' language about God "Father" is not only an image, it is primarily the

us to realize how profoundly the content of the truth of the faith is linked with what the material life of the churches and of each church member means at its

In other words, common ecumenical reflection on the apostolic faith should help

While belief in "God the Father the Almighty" is a given part of the Christian tradition there is a need to recover a feminine face of God. However, there is no "feminine" images illustrate the tenderness of God's love along with the image of discussion the distinction between image and name is important. While so-called used in addressing God as he commanded his disciples to do. (I, B.32 and 33) agreement as to whether God may also be addressed as "our Mother" atherhood, it is a matter of Christian identity to continue to use the name that Jesus

4. The Stavanger discussion

sufficient notice of the "Memorandum of the Working Group on the Community Order Commission, Lima 1982. This Memorandum had enquired of Women and Men in the Church", drawn up at the session of the Faith and who took those who had prepared the previous texts to task for not having taken During the Stavanger meeting there were participants in the discussion group

community of the body of Christ be taken seriously and lead us towards discussing should the contention of many women that this language excludes them from the new terms for confessing our belief in the Holy Trinity?8 distinctiveness of persons, still adequate today to describe the Trinity? How far How far are the terms Father, Son and Holy Ghost/Spirit, which safeguard the

and laborious work."9 here. It is to be hoped that women will be more fully involved in this demanding remarked: "Without any doubt, a long process of interpretation still lies before us On this subject the rapporteur of this discussion, Hans-Georg Link, justly

The Berlin/Potsdam text

This text follows the broad lines of the previous texts both for the historical had biblical approach to the faith confessed in the Cread, and for the explication of the fatherhood of God and his omnipotence odday. While trying to be responsive to identity what it is (cf. I, B.48-50). Summarizing, the explication states: designation "Father", as Jesus instructed his disciples to do, makes the Christian prepared the Potsdam report stressed that the fact of addressing God by the the recommendation of "recovering a feminine face of God", the group who certain challenges posed by modern feminist theology and to conserve in the text

of God has to be understood in connection with the unique Son and the Holy Spirit states that this Father is the one from whom the Holy Spirit proceeds. The fatherhood further how this Father is the Father of the unique Son and finally the third article about the one God. The Father is source of all divinity; the second article confesses of the Father. The one God is Father. To call God Father is the basis of all that is said In the first article of the Creed the identity of the one God is confessed first in terms

renewal of various churches but also for the dialogue Christians should hold with faith is becoming today more and more essential, not only for the theological By way of conclusion, it may be said that ecumenical reflection on the apostolic

communion of the Living God: the Holy Trinity church's true unity, which has its source and supreme model in the unity of by the Creed also contributes to a deeper understanding of what constitutes the However, a theological and spiritual deepening of the apostolic faith expressed

- John S. Mbiti, African Traditional Religion, London, 1975, p.29. E. Bolaji Idowu, African Traditional Religion, London, 1973, p.135.
- 4. I.H. Qureshi, "Muslim Art", in God and Man in Contemporary Islamic Thought, Art. "Islam", in Encyclopedia Britannica 9, 1980, p.912
- Ch. Malik ed., Beirut, 1972, p.115.
- John H. Hick, Philosophy of Religion, Englewood Cliffs, 1973², p.10
- Etith and Order mimeographed paper FO/85:16, March 1985.
 C. Ci. hier alia, J. Moltmann, "tel glaube an Gott of Mater. Pariarchalische oder nicht-pariarchalische Rede vor Godf", E. Theol., 43, 1983, pp. 397ff.
 "Towards Visible Unity", Vol. II, M. Kinnamon ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 113,
- Geneva, WCC, 1982, p.48.
- "Faith and Renewal. Commission on Faith and Order, Stavanger 1985", T. F. Best ed.

Faith and Order Paper No. 131, Geneva, WCC, 1986, p.136

PART II

The Second Article

We Believe in One Lord Jesus Christ

An Ecumenical Explication

held at Kottayam, India, 14-22 November 1984* Report of a Faith and Order Consultation

A. Jesus Christ-True God and True Human Being

- I. The theme
- II. The Creed
- Of one being with the Father
- For our salvation he became incarnate
 Towards Christological convergence
- III. The biblical witness The Son of God
- a) The unique relationship between Jesus and his heavenly Father (Synoptics)
- c) The eternal word of God (John) The divine authority in the public ministry of Jesus (Synoptics)
- d) The self-giving love unto death (Paul)
- The humanity of Jesus of Nazareth e) The stamp of God's very being (Hebrews)
- a) Sharing in the human condition (Synoptics)b) The sending of the Son into the world (John)
- c) The other Adam (Paul)
 d) The learning of obedience through suffering (Hebrews)
- IV. Jesus Christ: True God and True Human Being for us today
- Contemporary experiences
- a) Concerning Jesus of Nazareth
- b) Concerning Godc) Concerning our human being
- True God for us today a) The God of Jesus
 b) Challenges to us from Christ's divinity

^{*} The introduction has been omitted

One God, One Lord, One Spirii

True Human Being—for us today a) The image of true humanityb) Challenges to us from Christ's humanity

B. Jesus Christ-suffered and crucified for our sake

- II. The Creed The theme
- III. The biblical witness
- IV. Christ's suffering and death for us

C. Jesus Christ-his rule today and tomorrow

- The Creed The theme
- The Lord who is and who is to come
- The spring of joy and hope
- III. The biblical witness and later interpretations The relation between resurrection, ascension and Christ's rule
- The interpretation of the resurrection
- The Risen Christ-today and tomorrow Christ's rule today
- Christ's rule tomorrow

A. JESUS CHRIST — TRUE GOD AND TRUE HUMAN BEING

called his Father. At the same time he is confessed as the image of true human God of Jesus our Father and to depend totally on his compassionate faithfulness enslaving the world; and that we are given the freedom and authority to call the by guilt, violence and brought together by the victory of Jesus over all the power new world, God's kingdom; that we are set free from the tyranny of a past market proclaim that by the life, death and resurrection of Jesus, we are made citizens of a as Lord. This confession includes many dimensions of our belief and life: we being for whose salvation he became incarnate. Thus the church confesses Jesus Christ as the image of the one true God, whom he 1. The church is a community confessing, worshipping and serving Jesus Chris

beings" (Athanasius) as well as a human individuality, "complete in all things belonging to us human accounts of Jesus Christ as embodying a divine life "of one being with the Father" need to explore for our day that faith which found classical expression in the salvation is much in question inside and outside the churches, there is a pressing World Council of Churches. But at a time when the nature both of God and of Jesus Christ as God and Saviour as it is explicitly expressed in the Basis of the Since 1910, the ecumenical movement has rested on the basis of a confession of

II. The Cree

of one Being with the Father begotten, not made, true God from true God, eternally begotten of the Father, We believe in one Lord, Jesus Christ For us and for our salvation Through Him all things were made Light from Light, the only Son of God,

and was made man. He became incarnate from the Virgin Mary by the power of the Holy Spirit He came down from Heaven

It elaborates what is involved in the simple act of Christian commitment to Jesus In it is expressed the very heart of Christian belief and indeed of Christian identity. 2. It is no accident that the second article forms the longest section of our Creed

understood as a human being; what was at issue was the nature of what became nation". All parties agreed that Jesus was not exhaustively to be described or logical crisis of the fourth century was not strictly speaking about "the incarunderstood in the context of the fourth century's theological debates. The theoand to the Father of Jesus. Its detailed formulations about Christ as incarnate Son of God have to be

bring into being a Son, this relationship is not part of what it is to be God than himself. Thus, although God may choose to become Father, and so choose to needs nothing other than himself, and has no natural relation to anything other be asserted if it was stressed that God was the only eternal, self-sufficient being; he Arius had claimed that God's complete freedom and transcendence could only

1. OF ONE BEING WITH THE FATHER

versial - expression is the homoousion - "of one being with the Father" follow this represent credal formulae, already in existence, clarifying this Sonship. Son of God". The phrases "Light from Light", "true God from true God" which All are of interest and significance, but the central - and originally most contro 3. The second article of the Creed affirms the Lord Jesus Christ to be "the only

was a relation intrinsic and necessary to the divine being. God is not God in any other way but as Father and Son together in relationship, in a perfect mutual loving and giving and a perfect harmony of act and will safeguard against the view of Arius and his supporters. Against this, the Council affirmed that the relation of God as Father to the Son or word manifested in Jesus This phrase was introduced by the first Ecumenical Council at Nicea in 325 as a

healing, judgment and mercy, so we in him are enabled to realize this divine life God is the destiny to which we as human beings are called. And as Jesus in his selfand so embodies the unique relationship between the eternal Son and the eterna and action in the world giving receives full authority from the Father for the realization of his kingdom in Father. This free self-giving by which Jesus realizes in human history the life of Jesus Christ embodies for us the totality of a love responding to the gift of love

2. FOR OUR SALVATION HE BECAME INCARNATE

and was made a human being. The Creed confesses that the Son who is eternally of of the same being with the Father, came down from heaven. He became incarnate being with us mortals. the same being with the eternal Father became in time, by incarnation, of the same for us and for our salvation from the Virgin Mary by the power of the Holy Spirit, The second article of the Creed affirms also that the Only Son of God, who is

humanity were so united in Jesus that the union was perfect and real, neither the humanity which He assumed. By this they meant that God the Son and the that it was realized in Jesus by the hypostatic union of God the Son with the The fathers have explicated the mystery of the incarnation by the affirmation

experiences of joy and sorrow, fellowship and privation, all the time being in himself fully composed and leading others to human fullness and divine glory. divine nor the human being confused with, or divided from, the other.
God the Son became really a human being, He shared fully all human conditions
within the historical realm, never, being led into sin that allenates us from God, the many vicissitudes that confront us every day. and conflicts, he showed us the way to a life of courage and strength, in the midst of believe in him to a divine life. By living in the world under its limitations, sufferings Through his humanity that is united with his divinity he elevates all those who though he was tempted as we human beings are. He underwent all human

world, demanding every human being to take up his way and move towards our final fulfilment and glory in him, through him and with him. to our real nature. Thus Jesus challenges all misguided life and aspirations of the drastic change. In Jesus we have the model on which to pattern our lives and come unhealthy and unedifying as much to us as to others, so that we need to undergo a towards which we should move in our life on earth. Our life today is often The faith affirmed in the Creed shows us a soteriological perspective and the goal

3. Towards Christological convergence

the Eastern Orthodox Churches agreed in Bristol on the following statement: Christology from earlier times. Already in 1967, representatives of the Oriental and true God and true Human Being we refer finally to two agreed statements on 5. As a sign of our growing convergence in understanding Jesus Christ as

have approached the Christological question.1 may be transfigured from glory to glory. It is in this soteriological perspective that we God draws us into fullness of communion with himself in the body of Christ, that we attain to his uncreated glory. God became by nature man that man may become by perfect manhood in our Lord Jesus Christ. It is for our salvation that God the word starting point for apprehending the mystery of the union of perfect Godhead and grace God. The manhood of Christ thus reveals and realizes the true vocation of man. incarnation consubstantial also with us. By his infinite grace God has called us to became one of us. Thus He who is consubstantial with the Father became by the God's infinite love for mankind, by which He has both created and saved us, is our

On 23 June 1984, Pope John Paul II and the Syrian Orthodox Patriarch of Antioch, His Holiness Moran Mar Ignatius Zakka I Iwas, signed in Rome a Joint Declaration which declares amongst other things:

taking to himself a real body with a rational soul. He shared our humanity in all incarnation of our Lord Jesus Christ . . . We confess that he became incarnate for us,

Hence we wish to reaffirm solemnly our profession of common faith in the

things except sin. We confess that our Lord and our God, our Saviour and the King of all, Jesus Christ, is perfect God as to his divinity and perfect man as to his humanity. In him his divinity is united to humanity. This union is real, perfect, are present and active.2 indivisible and inseparable way, divinity and humanity, and in him all their properties visible in the flesh and took the form of servant. In him are united, in a real, perfect sion, without the least separation. He who is God eternal and indivisible became without blending or mingling, without confusion, without alteration, without divi-

III. The biblical witness We are given a many-faceted and rich portrayal of Jesus Christ in the New

a) The unique relationship between Jesus and his heavenly Father (Synoptics) 1. THE SON OF GOD

distinctive reference to God as "my Father" in the gospel. understood Jesus' prayer: he prays in the night and the early morning in solitude (Mark 1:35-36, 6:45-46); and he calls God by the intimate word "Abba" (Mark Synoptic Gospels at which we glimpse something of how the first Christians unique response by Jesus to God as Father? There are several points in the 14:36). It is probably this aramaic word that lies behind Jesus' persistent and 6. What features of the gospel record point us towards the affirmation of a

is no gender. The meaning of Jesus' relation to God as Father must also be As a human being, Jesus Christ is male. But within the persons of the Trinity, there relationship to each other in the Trinity, we do not mean to imply that God is male. It is important to note that when we speak of "Father" and "Son" in their

reception of the angel Gabriel (Luke 1:38) and his announcement that she should understood as it appears and develops in the incident and narrative of the Gospels. his human birth, of a woman, and of the way in which God the Father is uniquely God" (Luke 1:35). The Creed's phrase "born of the Virgin Mary" tells us both of bear a son who would be called "the son of the most High" (Luke 1:31), "son of (1) Jesus' unique relationship to his Father is initiated by Mary's obedient

of the bitter conflicts ahead, when loyalty to the Father costs more and more, and authority, Jesus instinctively turns to "his Father's business" - a foreshadowing suggests, when there is a conflict between obedience to earthly and to divine yet is never set aside. (2) Luke 2:49 takes us back to Jesus' boyhood: even here, the evangelist

Son"), reminds us that the Sonship of Jesus is concrete vocation, an anointing and empowering in the circumstances of his human life as it unfolds—not merely a from heaven, "Thou art my Son, my Beloved" (or, as in Matthew, "This is my reality completed in his birth, a static "given". (3) And in the baptism stories (Mark 1:11; Matt. 3:17; Luke 3:22), the voice

Response to Jesus will decide God's response to us fate of human beings, according to their response to his call and proclamation end of time (Matt. 10:32); in the presence of the Father, Jesus will determine the purpose of the Father, is dramatically expressed in terms of the judgment at the And this knowledge, this complete confidence and familiarity with the hidden periences the Father's calling as a deep and ever-present intimacy of knowledge (4) To this vocation, Jesus responds with joyful praise (Matt. 11:27): he ex-

voice from heaven again declares him "beloved" or "only" son (Mark 9:7; Matt Elijah, and yet he is revealed as more than Moses or Elijah: Peter identifies him as coming heralds the last (Mal. 4:5). So Jesus is revealed in glory between Moses and Moses, who first interpreted the mind of God in the Torah, and with Elijah, whose 17:5; Luke 9:35). But the climax in which the Son's authority and oneness with the "the Christ, the Son of the Living God" (Matt. 16:16). At the transfiguration a (5) Jesus thus stands for the beginning and the climax of Israel's history—with

Feather are revealed is no simple apocalyptic victory: it is the exodus, "his departure, which he was to accomplish at Jerusalem" (Luke 9:31).

(6) The climax of the *last days* lites in the fact that when God has sent his Son, when he has fully expressed his fatherly love in Jesus, he has no more to give. "He God's vineyard reject the son, they reject their last hope, and bring the crisis of had still one other, a beloved son" (Mark 12:6). When the rebellious tenants of

those to whom he came: in a world refusing grace, he carries the weight and violence of that refusal, and cries, "Abba, Father" in the very moment when the God's judgment upon themselves. (7) The Son's task is to bear in anguish and solitude the ultimate rejection of

15:39; Matt. 27:54) - words with a stronger and deeper meaning than their the Roman centurion should say, "Truly this man was a son of God!" (Mark (Mark 15:34). It is appropriate that after this last manifestation of Jesus' Sonship. insight which is not cancelled but enhanced by the tradition of his cry of dereliction 23:34). He dies trustfully commending himself to his Father (Luke 23:46)—an Father whose mind he so fully knows to be a purpose of grace and love (Luke Father's life that he can do no other than demand mercy for his torturers from the beyond human rejection, for the loveless and the violent. So rooted is he still in his meaning of his terrible destiny is clearest to him in Gethsemane. (8) So, on the cross, he recognizes that he still has authority to intercede, even

sending of the spirit (Luke 24:49). life and the consequent power for preaching and witness that will come with the authorized to send "the Father's promised gift" upon his followers, the fullness of And so Jesus is raised and given back to the world by the Father; and he is now It is not extinguished by sin, rejection and death: the promise and the hope abide. authority of the promise of mercy and life, so that same authority vindicates him (9) As he dies in the name and for the sake of the Father's authority, the

speaker knew.

whole gospel: Jesus of Nazareth is the true son of God. The Synoptics thus fill out in narrative detail the great theme at the heart of the

And blessed is he who takes no offence at me." hear, and the dead are raised up, and the poor have good news preached to them the blind receive their sight and the lame walk, lepers are cleansed and the deal classical foundation for all Christology: "Go and tell John what you hear and see "Are you the one who is to come?" And Jesus' answer (Matt. 11:4-6) gives the an authoritative sign of hope to them? John the Baptist pleads for reassurance b) The divine authority in the public ministry of Jesus (Synoptics)
7. But how is it that Jesus' relationship to the Father becomes visible to others,

"among" the people (Luke 17:21), it has "come upon" them (Matt. 12:28; Luke (Mark 1:15): God's moment is here, the kingdom is (if not wholly present) newness, fulfilment. His whole mission rests on the proclamation of the kingdom Jesus answers John by pointing to the signs of the kingdom's presence, freedom.

11:20). Jesus' presence effects, makes real, the triumph of God, his overcoming of

it is to be doing God's will, and those who learn from him are his true kin (Mark religious elite (Mark 1:22; Matt. 7:29; cf. Mark 7:1-13). He grasps decisively what written word, but "speaks with authority" (Mark 1:27), unlike the qualified qualifications and reinterpretations to bring out the full sense of the Law (Matt. 5:21-48; Mark 2:23-28, 3:4; Luke 13:10-17). He is not simply an exegete of the perfected and made intelligible by Jesus (Matt. 5:17-20). He is free to make radical (1) It is a time of fulfilment. The Law is brought to completion, its demands an

it begins with the extending of God's welcome to the outcast and guilty as Jesus sits down to eat with them and be welcomed by them (Mark 2:15-17; Luke 19:9-10). It (2) It is a time of celebration. The bridegroom is present: there can be no fasting or mourning (Mark 2:18-20; cf. Matt. 11:16-19). The feast which will celebrate the with warmth (Mark 10:13-16) 10:38-42). Children are also held up as examples (Matt. 18:1-7, 10) and greetee 15:28) and as potential disciples, with a right to meditative learning (Luke Mark 7:24-30; Matt. 8:5-13). Women are treated as examples of faith (Matt chosen race are brought in along with the ancient people of God (Luke 13:29) material as well as spiritual nourishment. So more generally it is a time of welcome. Those whom Law of custom had kept on the edges of society or excluded from the is effectively symbolized also in the feeding of the multitudes who turn to Jesus for coming of God's rule (Luke 13:29, 14:7-24) begins now (cf. Luke. 15: 22-24). And

from heaven" (Luke 10:18). The spirits recognize their master and yield (Mark crippling women and men is overcome (Luke 13:16), and Satan falls "like lightning the healing of the diseased, it is especially the casting out of demons that proclaims the kingdom's presence (Matt. 12:28; Luke 11:20). "The unclean spirits submit" to Jesus' authority (Mark 1:27). All that rebels against God in enslaving and (3) It is a time of battle and victory. Apart from the feeding of the hungry and

only spring from the experience of forgiveness (Luke 7:47). Above all, Jesus claims despised and condemned, there is a recognition that thankful love for God car the right to forgive, to anticipate God's own judgment (Luke 7:48-50; Mark (4) It is a time of forgiveness. There is, as we have seen, a welcome for those

his re-creating power (Luke 7:16). again shown to be effective in the midst of his people, "visiting" them to manifes powerful response to the agony of loss (Mark 5:22-43; Luke 7:11-17). God is once deliverance and reconciliation, but in the restoring of the dead to life, the swift and (5) And it is a time of new life—not only in the experience of healing

especially to those imprisoned or shut out by the sense of God's absence (Matt powered in God's spirit, comes to effect pardon and release, to make God present 11:28). Jesus' union with the One he calls Father is shown in the fact that he has the The time of Jesus is "the year of the Lord's favour": God's anointed, em

c) The eternal word of God (John)

authority to cause "God's moment" to happen. His time is God's time.

has seen the Father (6:46). There is also the other aspect of divine authority in the and Son and makes it even more exclusive: only the one who has come from God 8. St John's Gospel underlines as well the unique relationship between Father

declares: "the only Son from the Father" (1:14) as the eternal word which "was with God at the beginning, and through him all things came to be" (1:2f, RSV). (20:28). As a consequence of this, the famous prologue of St John's Gospel confesses after the encounter with the risen Christ: "My Lord and my God!" God's only son (3:16f.), one of the twelve disciples, the doubting Thomas, Jewish authorities (5:18). While they refuse to acknowledge his divine authority as (10:30). This claiming equality with God brings Jesus in strong conflict with some snatch them from his care, the good shepherd declares: "I and the Father are one" life of Jesus emphasized in St John: in order to assure the sheep that nobody wil

d) The self-giving love unto death (Paul)

e) The stamp of God's very being (Hebrews) are all things and through whom we exist" (1 Cor. 8:6). ascribed to the one Lord Jesus Christ creative and saving power, "through whom title "God" to Christ, as some exegetes interpret Romans 9:5, but certainly he invisible . . . he is before all things, and in him all things hold together" (Col time: "for in him all things were created, in heaven and on earth, visible and (Col. 1:15) and as a consequence of this he is understood as Lord over place and dwells bodily" (Col. 2:9). In this sense Christ is "the image of the invisible God" resurrection from the dead Paul says that in Christ "the whole fullness of deity death on the cross (Rom. 8:32; Gal. 2:20; Phil. 2:8). Based on the mighty act of 1:4). Paul himself underlines especially the self-giving love of the Son of God unto about his "divine nature" and his "equality with God" (Phil. 2:6). Another early formula declares Christ as Son of God "by his resurrection from the dead" (Rom. with the very beginnings of Christianity. One early hymn about Christ speaks Paul quotes in his letter many sentences from the very early Christian tradition in order to demonstrate that his interpretation of the Gospel is in line 1:16-17). It is rather unlikely that the Jew Paul of Tarsus should have given the full

seated at the right hand of the heavenly majesty in the power of his resurrection, about Christ's true humanity begins with a forceful praise of the Son of God. Because he had brought about by his death the purification from our sins and is heir to the whole universe and he sustains it by his word of power (1:1-4). (Heb. 1:3). The Son has opened after many prophets the final age, he was made the Jesus Christ is praised as "the glory of God and bears the very stamp of his nature" In conclusion one is allowed to say that the Nicene formula "of one being with The solem introitus of the letter to the Hebrews which has so much to say

the Father" has good grounds in the manifold New Testament witness

2. The humanity of Jesus of Nazareth

a) Sharing in the human condition (Synoptics)

hood (Luke 2:40). He suffered the limitations of human ignorance. Jesus of Nazareth lived out his life in the circles of his family, his disciples, Jerusalem and underwent an ordinary human development, growing from childhood into adultlimitations of physical life: he was born into a human family (Luke 8:19); he place, and conditions of his death are known to us. Like all of us, he endured the existence in time and place (Luke 2:1-2). He was neither a myth nor a superhuman hero. He had a clear birthday, was born of a woman (Luke 2:7), and the time, 11. (1) Jesus Christ was among us as one of us. He shared with us in historical

> Empire by which he came to his death. the Jewish people, and finally in conflict with the oppressive forces of the Roman

worship and celebrated its festivals (Luke 2:41-43; Mark 14:12). He loved the temple and was angry that it was defiled by money-changers and traders (Matt. and presented in the temple as the first born. He participated in the Jewish life of the king (Luke 1:27). As a Jewish male baby he was circumcized (Luke 2:21-24) remained his the whole of his life (Luke 23:46). He was born of the line of David paying of taxes (Matt. 17:24-27). the Oneness of God and the radical claim God makes upon us (Mark 12:29-30) 21:12-13). His religious convictions had their roots in the Jewish understanding of He fulfilled the obligations of a Jewish citizen under Roman rule, including the that we love God and live a life in accordance with God's grace to God's people. (2) He was born and reared in a Jewish culture and tradition (Luke 2:21) which

(3) He shared with us an ordinary life of human needs and emotions. In the Gospel we see him thirsty and hungry (Matt. 4:2), tired (Matt. 8:4) and in pain. He often needed solitude (Luke 6:12; Mark 1:35f.). drinking (Luke 7:36) and visiting with friends like Mary and Martha. We see him grieving (Luke 19:41). He loved children (Matt. 19:13-14). He enjoyed eating and felt compassion for the sick (Matt. 14:14), the suffering (Luke 7:21) and the feeling fear and mortal anguish at Gethsemane. He sometimes grew angry and he

succumbing to the temptations of money, power, and personal aggrandisement (Matt. 4:3-11). At Gethsemane, in his fear and mortal anguish, he was tempted to (4) He also knew real temptation, and the possibility of sin was not far from him (Matt. 4:1-2). In the desert he could have escaped from his true mission by me, yet not my will but thine be done." abandon his mission. He did not go like a hero to his death from the beginning, but had to learn obedience (Matt. 26:39-42). "If it be possible, let this cup pass from

not a scholar or a religious official of any kind. The ten commandments and the a carpenter, rather than as a member of an elite class (Luke 2:39-40, 57). He was a home, dependent upon the charity of others. When he died, it was the shame-"sinners", Samaritans, gentiles, women, children. He lived as a poor man without among the poor and outcast. He associated with tax collectors (Luke 2:15), twofold commandment (Matt. 23:37-40) of love provided the basis of his work sion as his family fled to exile in Egypt (Matt. 2:14-15). He grew up in the family of among strangers (Luke 2:5-7). In his early childhood he was subjected to oppresful and physically excruciating death of a slave, upon a cross (Luke 18:32: (5) It is significant that he was an outcast already at his birth, born in a stable Jesus Christ experienced human life in all its aspects, physical and mental

personal, religious, cultural, sociological, and in his death the political

b) The sending of the Son into the world (John)

heaven, thus pointing to the love of his heavenly father (3:16). The earthly origin of the "son of Joseph" creates problems only for those who do not trust him as "bread from heaven" (6:41f.). The prologue goes in the same direction and follows does not primarily refer to his birth-John, like Mark, does not tell any birth sending of his only Son into the world (3:15f.; 17:3). His coming into the world (3:17). John underlines especially that it is the Son of God who came down from story of Jesus - but rather to his mission on earth for the salvation of the world 12. St John's Gospel interprets in addition the human life of Jesus as God's

an antidocetic intention when it speaks about the word which became flesh, dwelling amongst human beings in glory, full of grace and truth (1:14).

c) The other Adam (Paul,

Adam all die, so also in Christ shall all be made alive" (1 Cor. 15:22) The old and the new Adam contradict each other like death and life: "For as in one man's act of righteousness leads to acquittal and life for all men" (Rom. 5:18) new humankind. "Then as one man's trespass led to condemnation for all men, so disobedience, unrighteousness and sin of the first. His true humanity represents the relevance for the whole humankind: Christ is the other Adam, who overcomes the deepest and at the same time its most universal level when he speaks about its a cross" (Phil. 2:8). Paul's interpretation of Christ's true humanity reaches its God's own son was "born of woman, born under the law" (Gal. 4:4) and died "on (Phil. 2:7f.). Paul himself follows the same line of real humanity underlining that "emptied himself, taking the form of a servant, being born in the likeness of men" Jesus Christ; it emphasizes rather more the paradox that the one equal with God 13. The pre-Pauline hymn for Christ mentions not only the "human likeness" of

d) The learning of obedience through suffering (Hebrews,

belongs to his true humanity. contradict his learning of obedience "through what he suffered" because suffering tears" during the days of his earthly life (5:7). His being the son of God does not of suffering (2:18). In very realistic terms the letter speaks about "loud cries and tradition. That means for this letter especially his being tempted (4:15) and the test 14. We find in the letter to the *Hebrews* the same pointing to Christ's likeness with all human beings "in every respect" (2:17; 4:15) as in the very early Christian

one person Jesus Christ. Divine authority and human suffering belong inseparately together in the life of the not compete with each other, rather, they complement and interpret each other For the New Testament both aspects, Christ's sonship and his true humanity, do

IV. Jesus Christ: true God and true human being for us today

1. Contemporary experiences

It is a very positive aspect that the person Jesus of Nazareth attracts so many life-style like the youth movements, as peace-maker like the peace movements etc prophet like Mohammed, as revolutionary like the Marxists, as reformer of our are very many people who appreciate him as teacher like Mahatma Gandhi, as many people who have not heard at all about a person named Jesus. Instead there years ago belongs today to the best-known persons in the world. There are not very a) Concerning Jesus of Nazareth 15. It is amazing enough that Jesus of Nazareth who lived and died almost 2000

doubt a big challenge to the Christian faith to identify the true human being Jesus amongst others like Karl Marx, Che Guevara, Mahatma Gandhi. It is without any ations among Christ's disciples. Others regard him often just as one model of life selves understand him often in a contradictory sense which contributes to separpeople in such different perspectives. More problematic is the fact that Christians who claim Jesus Christ for them-

> burning questions of our time of Nazareth in such a way that he unifies his disciples and helps to answer the

ever is the very existence of God for many people an open, unsolved question manifold ways the case: the human being Jesus of Nazareth comes close whereas him the true God as the New Testament witnesses do. Today the contrary is in the modern world. The great challenge to the Christian faith in this regard is to as in Eastern Europe, there exists first of all an atheistic practice in many parts of God whom he claimed as his father becomes more and more distant. More than the only Son of God and to relate him to his Father, in other words to find through again to believe in his merciful reality. witness through Christ to God's present reality in such a way that people begin thinking, there are atheistic ideologies which call the attention of millions of people There are broad branches of atheistic theories like most of the existentialist 16. It is much more difficult for millions of people today to understand Jesus as

c) Concerning our human being

brought salvation to our threatened world experience of the God whom Jesus confessed as his father in whose name he way that people can regain meaning for their personal and public life through the Here the Christian faith is challenged to confess the person Jesus Christ in such a especially in Western countries, is an alarm clock which can no longer be ignored seems to be true for many people: the more economic welfare they win, the more meaning of life they lose. The growing number of persons committing suicide, the second half of our century. Sometimes developments end up with a paradox. It secularism and pluralism a tremendous crisis of meaning of life has taken place in 17. As a consequence of two world wars, of high living standards, of growing

2. TRUE GOD-FOR US TODAY

a) The God of Jesus

resourceful enough to make and remake the world. gives him authority to make real in history the victorious compassion of God. His less acts out of a unique sense of relationship to God as Father, a relationship that 'place" in our world is God's, he is the image in history of a decisive power So we can say that in Jesus God is shown in a definite way. And if this is true, the 18. (1) Jesus Christ appears to us a historical human individual who nonethe-

overflowing life and reality. history of an eternal response of perfect love and praise to an eternal initiative of His being is in relation: Jesus comes to be seen as a "translation" into human God of Jesus is, quite simply, God. We can have no language for him that is not the The creed is right to insist that God is not God independently of his being Father language of Jesus — the language of Father and Son acting in harmony and love (2) God, then, is a unity-in-diversity. He is neither an eternal individual, nor a

communicate it as we grow in it God's love responds to its own fullness, and to be enabled, in the Spirit, to sharing itself. To enter the life of God is to enter through Jesus, at the point where anthropomorphic. He is living act, diffusing itself, reflecting and answering itself "group" of eternal individuals; both models are impossibly static, artificial and

reveals in Jesus what is foreshadowed and hinted at in the history of Israel. our belief in one God. If we believe that the time of Jesus is a time of fulfilment, not total discontinuity, we must believe that the God of the Old Covenant at last fully (3) To say anything short of this would be for us, as Christians, to jeopardize

"As the Father has life-giving power in himself, so has the Son, by the Father's the utmost, to die and to rise, for us. God has indeed no more to give (Mark 12:6). creatures. The divine authority given to Jesus is the authority to spend himself to to be our God. The life that flows from him is a life destined to be shared by his making for our salvation. God does not need to "decide" (let alone be persuaded) (4) The power of God, as we meet it in Jesus, is defined as essentially a power-

cation by faith" rather than by our own works apart from the Christ. deserving. The meaning of Trinity is interwoven with our commitment to "justifi-Christian belief that God's saving act is prior to all our decisions and all our see that the affirmation of Jesus as "true God" expresses the foundational If God is by nature not only Father but liberator and redeemer, it is possible to

beings", we are once again reconciled to the source and end of all that is one being with the Father" and "complete in all that belongs to us as human life in our world, we find in him the image of our humanity restored. In Christ, "of God's children. By sharing the cross and resurrection of Jesus, the image of God's Father, Son and Holy Spirit, we claim "the promised gift", our inheritance as in baptism that we make our own the kingdom, the new creation. In the name of right to appeal to the baptismal formula in their defence of the Creed, because it is the experience of renewed humanity. The theologians of the early church were (5) All our reflection on God as Trinity rests on the experience of the kingdom

b) Challenges to us from Christ's divinity

regards him as only one important person amongst others. It rather implies to affirm him as "the way, and the truth, and the life" (John 14:6). Thus he challenges our full trust in him. 19. (1) To confess Jesus Christ as son of God challenges an attitude which

in your heart . . . " (Rom. 10:8). time and place, in our world and history. "The word is near you, on your lips and challenged. God is not to be found far away beyond or behind our reality, rather in (2) Since Jesus makes God present in the world our approach to God is

my own authority" (John 7:17). (3) There is no theoretical way to prove without any doubt the existence of food. Jesus calls instead for obedience and discipleship. "If any man's will is to do his will, he shall know whether the teaching is from God or whether I am speaking on

gods and incarnations Muslims. They challenge other religions like Hinduism in India which adore many Luther explains the first commandment: "Where your heart is, there is your God." our daily life: trust in self-reliance, money, economic welfare, arms race etc. Martin (5) Christians share the confession to the one, undivided God with Jews and (4) Jesus' reinforcement of the first commandment challenges the false gods of

TRUE HUMAN BEING—FOR US TODAY

20. (1) Confessing Jesus Christ as the truly human means first of all to affirm his sharing in the conditions of normal human life, its gifts as well as its limitations, its a) The image of true humanity

> chances as well as its temptations. As a human being Jesus of Nazareth was able to from celebrating feasts with great joy to suffering under the torture of Roman undergo all basic human experiences; from his birth in a stable in the village of Bethlehem until his death on a cross outside the gates of the holy city of Jerusalem.

likeness of Jesus Christ "in every respect" means that he is with us in every human situation as a merciful Lord, be it in the joy of a wedding feast or in the pain of a brethren (and sisters) in every respect, so that he might become merciful." The Epistle to the Hebrews (2:17) puts it: "Therefore he had to be made like his It is of great help for us today that Jesus shared our human conditions. As the

one who in every respect has been tempted as we are, yet without sin" (Heb. 4:15). is that he learned to be obedient to his Father in every respect (cf. Heb. 5:8). "For words, the negative term "sinlessness" points to the positive fulfilment of God's than on the will of his Father and on the salvation of God's creation. In other sin "in every respect" means for Jesus basically not to focus on his own life rather tations "without sin" is unique in the earthly life of the Son of God. To live without Being tempted belongs to our general human condition, but to overcome tempwe have not a high priest who is unable to sympathize with our weaknesses, but his life like everybody else. On the contrary: the difference between his life and ours first command: You shall love the Lord your God and your neighbour as yoursell (2) Sharing in the general human conditions does not mean that Jesus lived ou

centredness through love. and to keep doing so under the hardest pressure of human sin at the cross, he shows us the way to the overcoming of sin and death, how to correct self-God's presence in our midst. Since he is able to convey his love "in every respect" image looks like, what we should be and what we could become in the power of deeds, his anger and his love he shows us for our salvation what our true human human being; he is the second Adam. By his being and becoming, his words and (3) Thus his life lived under normal human conditions is the image of our truly

of life, in which we shall go in order to receive true life abundantly. thus giving us as his disciples a very concrete example for the direction and the way he shows us by his attitude towards the poor, the oppressing and oppressed people (John 13:15f.). In general, his life points to the golden rule of love for our daily life.

What this means under concrete circumstances of poverty, hatred, oppression etc., should do as I have done to you . . . A servant is not greater than his master" of his disciples Jesus says to them: "I have given you an example, that you also Christian discipleship in the power of the Holy Spirit. After having washed the feet unattainable ideal for human beings but a concrete model for the realization of (4) The true human life of Jesus of Nazareth is not only a general and

children of his Father, the one true God. Therefore, the church adores and praises that we may become his children. Being the only image of true human being he only Son of God he brings into our midst the real presence of his Father, in order Jesus Christ as vere Deus-vere homo: true God and true Human Being he promises a new creation in which one humankind lives in one spirit as manifold his discipleship, he points forward to the renewed people of God in the church and grafts us into the tree of "Israel", he calls us as beloved children of his Father into image of the one true God as well as the image of our truly human being. Being the (5) Thus we understand the incarnate life of the one person Jesus Christ as the

b) Challenges to us from Christ's humanity

as we know it, the humanity displayed to us in Jesus Christ challenges us to grow into a new and real humanity in the following ways: While self-centredness and lovelessness may be characteristic of human life

be ours as part of the image of God, not by our destructive capacities (cf (1) We must define the truly human by our positive capabilities that are meant to

12:12-26; Matt. 1:1-17; Luke 1:26-27; Luke 3:32-38 etc.). throughout the world as well as with humanity at large (Eph. 4:4-6; 1 Cor. historical existence within the whole people of God through the centuries and (2) The historical rootedness of Jesus Christ asks that we take seriously our own

necessary humanness (Luke 10:38-42; 8:2; Rom. 15:1; Gal. 6:2; 1 John 4:7). to understand that dependence upon and responsibility for others are part of our (3) Jesus' dependence upon his friends and his own care of others challenge us

challenge us to disassociate productivity and the signs of wealth and worldly (4) Jesus' own life-style as well as his care for the poor and the social outcasts

belonging within the context of a shared life of love (cf. Matt. 19:1-12). Christian options, and to understand sexuality not as an end in itself but rather success from the definition of what it means to be fully human (cf. Matt. 19:16-26). (5) Jesus' celibate life challenges us to see both marriage and celibacy as

humanity of those who are not considered valuable by a society because of youth challenge us to take our own mortality seriously and to acknowledge the full (6) Jesus' willingness to share in our helplessness and his ministry to the helpless

age, illness or physical limitations (Luke 23:11; Mark 15:34-37; Luke 2:7). (7) Jesus' humanity challenges us to fulfill human needs on the basis of the value Matt. 9:35-36, 16:32). of all human beings to God rather than in terms of rights (Matt. 25:31-46; cf.

our churches, our homes, and our wider culture (Gal. 3:28; Matt. 15:22-28; Luke that in Christ there is neither male nor female and to live this Christian reality out in (8) Jesus' own valuing of women as well as of men challenges us to recognize

B. JESUS CHRIST—SUFFERED AND CRUCIFIED FOR OUR SAKE

and of Jesus Christ being raised from the dead by God. Christological confession of Jesus Christ being true God and true human being confession is, of course, made on the basis and in the perspective of the full These words point to the decisive saving event for all human beings. Such theological significance and focus of this confession is indicated by "for our sake" ies the church confesses that Jesus Christ suffered and was crucified for us. The Beginning with the early New Testament witness and throughout the centur-

cultural, historical and socio-political contexts to be joined together in a commor our time? Is it possible for churches of different traditions and living in diverse response to the above-mentioned and other questions's the "for us" be interpreted and proclaimed in relation to the human condition of suffered and died for the whole of humanity of all ages be substantiated? How can How can that apparently incredible, impossible confession that one person

II. The Creed

he suffered death and was buried. For our sake he was crucified under Pontius Pilate:

salvation. The reference to "suffering", following after the reference to "cruci-fixion", is related to Christ's death. This can be concluded not only when all the when the various aspects of Christ's dying are considered historical circumstances of this credal articulation are taken into account, but also his birth. They sum up and denote the mission of his life which was aimed at our of Jesus Christ are the only data concerning his human history besides the fact of 3. In the Nicene-Constantinopolitan Creed the crucifixion, suffering and buria

compared with the preceding section which deals with the Divine Person and the incarnation of Christ. This imbalance is readily understood when the context of the does He meet it from a transcendent standpoint, but participates in it and thus it makes it absolutely clear that he who was crucified and suffered and was buried Arian controversy is taken into account; but it has a positive import, inasmuch as provides the solution for it. Christ reveals that God does not stand apart from the human predicament, not was the eternal Son of God who became a human being. In this light the death of 4. The death of Christ in the Creed is given a comparatively brief reference when

of God is a specific historical event but puts it in the wider context of world history Pilate" which not only indicates that the death and suffering of the incarnate Son 5. Particularly significant in this credal formulation is the phrase "under Pontius

and human political power.

death of God's Son, but especially their saving character for all humanity. our sake" which also appears in the preceding formulation concerning the incarnation and indicates not just the significant link between the incarnation and the 6. The most significant phrase in the credal formulation of Christ's death is "for

Christ's saving victory over death on behalf of all humankind resurrection, ascension and second coming and thereby opens up the dimension of 7. Finally in the Creed Christ's death is fundamentally linked with Christ's

III. The biblical witness

obedience in suffering and death results in the fulfilment of his Father's will, which death in Jerusalem as presenting the will of God (Mark 8:31, 9:31, 10:32ff.). They show Jesus in seeking to do this will experiencing inner agony and struggle (Mark also present Jesus' teaching his disciples about the necessity of his suffering and Jewish religious authorities led to his execution by the Roman state. The Gospels authorities and had political implications. The condemnation of Jesus by the manner of life and his teaching brought him into conflict with the religious inevitable and final consequence of his life and ministry. They indicate that Jesus proclaimed in a variety of ways in all the writings which constitute the New finds expression in the gift of God's love to the world. 44:39) and even a sense of utter abandonment (Mark 15:34). Ultimately Jesus Testament. The Synoptic Gospels see the suffering and death of Jesus as the 8. Both the event and the meaning of the suffering and death of Christ are

meaning in the sense that they expose a deeper struggle between the God of love, light and life, and the world of evil, darkness and death, between belief and 9. In the Gospel of St John Christ's suffering and death are given a wider

and the whole life of Jesus and to his victorious cross. unbelief (12:31, 14:30, 16:11, 33). But all this is obviously related to the very person

inasmuch as they imply that Christ suffered and died "for us" crucifixion is the prelude to the fulfilment of God's purposes in the resurrection suffering and death of Christ are interpreted with reference to the Old Testament Here too, as in the Gospels, Christ's suffering and death have saving significance the other hand to the resurrection as the vindication of God's servant. The prophetic imagery of the righteous servant (Acts 3:14), on the one hand, and on 10. In the early preaching of the apostles as seen in the Book of the Acts, the

notion of expiation and representation. Because it is God who gives his Son, this himself (2 Cor. 5:18). expiatory event is God's action for our sake, an event in which God reconciles us to bound up with one another. They interpret the death of Jesus in terms of the died for our liberation from death and sin and our justification by and before God death which we ourselves really descrive on account of our sins; (3) Jesus Christ and dying; (2) Jesus Christ accepted representatively and vicariously for us the our sins" is presumably threefold: (1) our sins are the root cause of Jesus' suffering for all (cf. Rom. 8:3; 2 Cor. 5:21; Gal. 3:13). The meaning of this "for us" or "for curse and death of the one in whom God came into our midst becomes a blessing without sin was to carry our sins so that the sinful ones may receive forgiveness; the iniquities . . . "(53:5). The death of Jesus is of paradoxical nature: the one who was Cor. 15:3), St Paul interprets Christ's suffering and death in the light of Isaiah 53 (Rom. 8:32) and in quoting an old credal confession, "Christ dies for our sins" (1 interpretation in the Epistles. In saying that "God gave his Son for us all" These are three aspects of one and the same event, of course, and are indissolubly which states: "He was wounded for our transgressions, he was bruised for our 11. The meaning of the suffering and death of Christ "for us" acquires further

obedience to God as our great high-priest. cularly developed in the Epistle to the Hebrews where Christ stands for us in on the cross inescapable: it was inevitable that in bringing the Father's boundless which appears in Pauline teaching (Rom. 3:25) and in 1 Peter (1:18f.) is partifor bringing God's love to humanity. The notion of Christ's death as sacrifice to his death. In this sense, then, Jesus' sacrifice in suffering and death is the means love into this world Jesus had to suffer such demonstrations of hostility which lec rather Jesus' life was lived under such human "conditions" which made his death "condition" in the sense that the Father's love requires such an expiatory offering fellow human beings the unconditional love of the Father. Jesus' death is not a love but persisted in it - the very same love in whose strength he displayed to his pain inflicted upon him by people and authorities, did not abandon his mission of It is a dying "for us", in the sense that Jesus, despite all the hostility and all the

On the other hand, Christians participate in the suffering of Christ in following and death (1 Cor. 1:18; Rom. 6:3-5; 1 Cor. 11:23-25; Mark 14:22ff. and parallels) sins and participate in the saving grace issuing out of Christ's vicarious suffering Christ's death and through partaking of the eucharist Christians are forgiven their in the proclamation of the word, through receiving baptism as taking part in us in two ways which are closely inter-related. On the one hand through believing "filling up of what is lacking in the sufferings of Christ for the church which is his 1:24 St Paul's sufferings are related in a mysterious way to Christ's suffering, as a him in their life and bearing their cross (Mark 8:34; Heb. 12:2ff.). In Colossians The suffering and death of Christ as a saving event for us is appropriated by

> reality in obedient discipleship to its suffering, crucified - and risen and living is the continuing task of the church to confess, proclaim, celebrate and live this ness and sufficiency of Christ's suffering and death for the salvation of humanity. body". The New Testament teaching as a whole, however, underlines the unique Lord, the head of his body

IV. Christ's suffering and death for us

before by the struggle between the forces of life and the forces of sin, suffering and was crucified for us. This confession is made in a world which is marked as never summarized in the Nicene Creed, we confess today that Jesus Christ suffered and 13. In continuity with the apostolic faith as witnessed by the holy scripture and

ves in the attitudes of whole groups of people and in many contemporary social self-centredness, egoism and striving for power over others also manifest themsel-God and seek to justify themselves by their own efforts and achievements. This 14. As at all times human beings are in bondage to sin. They are estranged from

widening gap between rich and poor nations are some of the consequences. precedented arms race, ruthless exploitation of natural resources and an ever means of totalitarian and unjust systems and anxiety in the midst of an unoppressive forms and conditions of life. Hunger, imposed poverty, exploitation, discrimination because of race, class, sex or political conviction, oppression by through lack of love, hatred, negligence, selfishness, domination and unjust or political and economic structures. 15. Such human sinfulness is one of the root causes of suffering and death

suffering through their commitment to social change. today also to risk their lives in order to help and save others and to alleviate human suffering. But there is also a freely accepted suffering because people continue calamities. Such suffering may be self-inflicted or a reaction to the kind of societies and world we live in, or it may be experienced as an innocent or meaningless despair, loneliness, illness and pain, corporal and mental handicaps or natural 17. The lives of all human beings come to an end. All face death, unexpected and 16. Individuals and groups of people suffer under alienation, hopelessness

premature, caused by others, by suffering, illness, accidents or disasters, or they life. Death is the sign of our sinful, finite and passing world approach their own death and the death of loved ones as the conclusion of a long 18. Yet despite all identifiable causes and rational explanations of suffering and

with the mystery of suffering and death. death a deeper question remains: Why is there suffering and death at all? Why does abyss of starvation while others are enjoying their affluence and apparent security this kind of suffering happen to me and not to others? Why are my people at the There seems to be no convincing answer in all attempts of human minds to wrestle 19. Behind these questions lies a hidden or open protest against suffering and

suffering and death. We believe, however, that the forces of life find their most firm philosophies and ideologies seek in their way to sustain the struggle against through changing structures which inflict massive suffering and death. Religions improve the conditions of human life through medical care, social services and sensitiveness for the suffering ones. Many efforts are undertaken in order to death. This protest finds explicit expression in the struggle of the forces of life against the forces of sin, suffering and death. There is compassion with and

roots and overcoming strength in the suffering, death and resurrection of Jesus Christ.

20. The gozpel of the suffering and death of Christ is good news for all people, not only those who actually suffer but those who seem to be free from it for the sick as well as the healthy. All human beings live in a situation of sin and death and are in need of Christ's redeeming grace. Indeed, the entire creation grouns and travalls awaiting the salvation of humankind (Rom. 8:19). The salvation offered through the suffering and death of Christ does not explain the why of human sin, suffering and death, but shows how it is overcome.

21. The death of Christ has been understood in three ways which have come to

be regarded as "classical":

a) The first type of interpretation is found in the theology of the accient church and is continued in the tradition of the Esserie nutwent in particular. Here, the death of Jesus Christ—God and human being—is seen as destroying death's power and influence by which human beings are burdened and oppressed. The death and resurrection of Jesus is a victory by which human beings are liberated death and resurrection of Jesus is a victory by which human beings are liberated.

from death and all the powers of darkness.

b) A second type of interpretation is found in the Latin West. Here the death of less is understood as an atoming event whereby the guilt incurred by human

boings in God's sight because of their sit is expunged.

() There is a third type of interpretation which is found especially in modern theology. Here the dying of Jesus is seen in the perspective of his total obedience. He remained faithful to his mission right to the very end. Thereby he became for us the example and even prototype of a life which refuses to be diverted from its devotion to God and other human beings and which chaims God as the loving and merciful one.

crucified.

On the basis of the biblical witness as outlined above we believe that these interpretations are not alternatives. They emphasize particular aspects of that witness and should be held together as complementary.

22. Christ suffered and died for the liberation of humanity from the grip of sin and the judgment of God upon it. Sin opposes and altenates human beings from God and also from one another. It causes hatred and suffering among human foreigns, gives rise to unjust and oppressive institutions and structures in human society and leads the human being to disturb the natural world order. This structures it is the postle says, "be gives humanity up to unchanness through the lusts of their own hearts" (Rom. 1-24, et. 26 and 28).

Christ came into this situation of sin and of God's judgment. In his suffering and cleath he experienced the consequences of human sin. He was sen by God' in the likeness of sinful facth and for sin" (Rom. 8:3) as one who was himself without sin, as lamb of God (John 1:29) and he carried away the sins of the world like a scapegoat (Lev. 16:22).

This was the way in which God was reconding the world with himself and saved it from sin and judgment. The message of the recombilation accomplished in the death of leasus is for all people the offer of liberation: through justification and forgiveness of sins reserved in faith swell as in the gift of frew the from the theory Spirit. God is combring consistences burdened by sin and gailt. He thereby Spirit from the devilith order of self-justification and egosism, hared and further than the self-spirit form the devilith order of self-justification. God and disregard and futury to the neighbour, lack of graftingle towards God and disregard.

God calls and empowers human beings to effect reconciliation with each other both as individuals and as communities. Reconciliation is possible in that people have been liberated from themselves and learn to respect the right of others, also ol those of different convictions, and see in them people who are also loved by God 30. Crist suffered and died on the cross as the incranted Son of God. In the 21. Crist suffered and died on the cross as the incranted Son God. In the 22. Crist suffered and died on the cross as the man pain and death 23. Crist suffered and died on the cross as the sufference of the cross as the contract of the cross as the contract of the cross as the contract of the cross as the cro

suffering and cross of Jesus, Good has taken upon innest immant paut and cesu. Good demonstrated has solidantly with and his compassion for human sufering. Good demonstrated has solidantly with and his compassion for human sufering hits way of the contract of the contra

This has a twofold meaning for people who are under sin, suffering and death. God is demonstrating to them that he is with them in these situations, that he is suffering where people are suffering and is thereby giving them consolation and strength. God is also providing them with a hope for a life which is no longer strength. God is also providing them with a hope for a life which is no longer marked by death. On the other hard God's solidarity empowers human beings to struggle against sin, suffering and death, against all forms of injustice, exploitation.

oppression, war, rasism, etc.

A. Because Christians are incorporated into Christ, they are led in many ways

A. Because Christians are incorporated into Christ, they are led in disciplenting implies

to suffer with him in participating in his obedience. The call to disciplenting implies

the readiness to carry his cross, because the "yes" to God folay must the risk of

the readiness to carry his cross, because the "yes" to God for which he was

And in our suffering for others. Christ uses this suffering to do his work of love and salvation through us. Such suffering with Christ for others has marked the lift of many Christians, beginning with this followers of Jesus in the first Christian communities through the contactes till today. This company of suffering witnesses includes the many well-known martyrs as well as millions of inchrown Christians in Christ's suffering they received the gift of new lift in Through their participation in Christ's suffering toy received the gift of new litter which overcomes all suffering, and they witnessed to this gift to their fellow human beings.

and unmades the injust powers of this world. The one who was innocent and just was crucifed as a criminal, and this scandalon continues in history to unmask injustice. Hat tries to appear as justice. The crucifixion of Jesus also exposes the ruely of human beings and of comman religious and political powers. They seemed to triumph over, the only righteous and toning one. Yet the their victory was seried to triumph over, the only righteous and loving one. Yet the their victory was revealed as and established was exposed as weak and pennitimate. The apparent of the stronge, legal and established was exposed as weak and pennitimate. The apparent weakness of God proved to be stronger than the mighty ones.

Christ suffering, crucifixion and resurrection to unmask the inhuman and oppressive powers in this world. Looking heak at those who pretended to serve God's will by crucifying his Son, Christians are enabled to identify the *idols of loday* which try to take the place of the true and only God. This critical attitude includes an awareness over against the danger of a false iriumphalism in the church and among christians which overshadows God's victory in the cross and resurrection of his Son, Rather, Christians are called and empowered by their obedient suffering with Christ as well as in explicitly denountening all powers that seek to take the place of God to be effective witnesses to Jesus Christ, the life of the world.

C. JESUS CHRIST—HIS RULE TODAY AND TOMORROW

freedom before God and the world, depends on this vindication and victory. They can do this because they know that the Servant-Lord is present in their sufferings indeed be the final word on human history and on the universe because they rely on the promise of God that his shalom and righteousness wil they know of forgiveness. They can also openly and fearlessly face the future review and discuss their own past - history with all its failures and sins - because God-willed and truly human future. As adopted children of God, they can freely and difficulties, indeed that he participates in the struggles of the people towards a mean that Jesus Christ has been vindicated by God and that their own future, their were it not for their belief that the suffering Christ is the Risen One. By this they the name of Christ. They would have no hope in this world of death and hatred loving and in blessing one another. They would not be able to pray, were it not in The believers experience the presence of the living Christ in their worship services, in celebrating the eucharist, in accepting and in offering forgiveness, in

He will come again in glory to judge the living and the dead and is seated at the right hand of the Father he ascended into heaven in accordance with the scriptures; On the third day he rose again

and his kingdom will have no end.

statements in this part consist almost entirely of direct and indirect quotations from New Testament texts. The purpose of this selection of central biblical joy and hope for themselves and for all creatures in the ongoing high-priestly work i.e. in language primarily addressed to God in worship, the heart of the Christians as well as today, these summarizing statements express in doxological language affirmations must be seen in the original use of Creeds in baptism. In later centuries . These affirmations are the sources for the believers' joy and hope. The Creed's

1. THE LORD WHO IS AND WHO IS TO COME

absent. (It had already been discarded by Hippolytus in the early third century.) consummation. History will reach its end, his rule will not. It is noteworthy that of the "history of salvation", similar to earlier and shorter creeds in the Eastern the concept of a millenium, a reign of 1000 years following the second advent, is with regard to the statements concerning the second advent of Christ and the final and the Western church, e.g. the Apostles' Creed. This is of particular importance first half of the Christological article of the Creed. Thus the Creed reflects a vision tollow a chronological order. In this they continue with the pattern found in the to the resurrection, ascension and to the present as well as eternal rule of Christ The affirmations of the Nicene-Constantinopolitan Creed of 381 which refer

church had settled the question as to whether God the Father or God the Son was risen Jesus Christ is the judge to be seen as final judge. There is biblical evidence for either of these views. The 4. This section of the Nicene-Constantinopolitan Creed reflects the fact that the

> resurrection is not expressed. Rather our justification is connected with the final Christ's crucifixion as an event "for us", a corresponding phrase with regard to the resurrection: "according to the scriptures" (1 Cor. 15:3). Whilst the Creed affirms Of special interest is also the explanatory addition to the affirmation of the

2. The spring of joy and hope

event. It makes its presence felt in various ways and manners, though in a veiled is the joyous message of the resurrection that enables Christians to cross all human forces of death, makes our justification possible as well as meaningful, and assures and in Christian giving. For the resurrection of Jesus Christ liberates us from the in search for a wider and deeper Christian unity, in sharing the good news of Jesus as well as individual - in the celebration of the eucharist, in Christian fellowship. joyful singing of hymns and lyrics, in liturgical and extempore prayers — collective form in this present age. In fact, it is the ground of Christian joy as well as hope frontiers and break all human-made barriers: caste, class, race and sex. us of the continued presence of Christ in all situations and in every circumstance. It Christ even in adverse circumstances, in service to the poor, the needy and the sick 5. The resurrection of Jesus Christ is a present reality, and not a mere past The resurrection of Jesus Christ gives rise to joy which expresses itself in the

offer of a new future, both for the individual and the whole humanity. In the light earth as well as life beyond death. For it points to a wider horizon and to God's of humanity since it knows that Jesus rose again to be the new head of humanity matters. At the same time it seeks the wellbeing of the neighbour and the renewal ity, knowing full well that it is not only the spiritual but also the physical that is based on the resurrection concerns itself with the wholeness of human personalold creation and challenges all oppressive powers that thwart new life. The life that and all evil powers. It refuses to be satisfied with maintaining the status quo of the possibility, calling forth life out of death. This hope drives away the fear of death ations, because the God of the resurrection is present in Christ to offer a new of the resurrection, therefore, there are no ultimate dead-ends or hopeless situ-6. The resurrection of Jesus Christ also evokes hope in us - hope for the life on This hope enables us to work for changes — both in the church and in society

these situations it is the Risen Lord who brings peace that surpasses all under-standing and cannot be taken away. As Jesus' resurrection was God's act of to take this challenge seriously, so that it may not fall into the danger of a false on the resurrection challenges the church to stand by the side of the oppressed, the vindication of what Jesus said, did and stood for, the belief and hope that are based strength to accept suffering in the name of Christ, whether it is imposed upon us against our will or whether it is voluntarily chosen for the sake of others. In all at large - in so far as these changes are positive and liberating. It also gives us marginalized and the deprived, and to work for justice concerns. The church needs

The resurrection of Jesus Christ is, thus, the spring of Christian joy as well as

III. The biblical witness and later interpretations

THE RELATION BETWEEN RESURRECTION, ASCENSION AND CHRIST'S RULE 8. The Creed fully represents the intimate connection between the resurrection,

the ascension and the present and future rule of Jesus Christ as it is expressed in

the dominant view in the early church and is expressed in the Nicene-Constantinoascension followed Easter after forty days. This latter chronology has become Gospel of St John, Luke/Acts emphasize a chronological sequence in which the tioned in the writings of the apostle Paul, and not stressed particularly in the instance, the distinction between the resurrection and the ascension is not men-New Testament in the emphasis on the distinction between them. Whilst, for these realities, although differences are found among the individual books in the theological passages, in admonitions as well as in the doxological parts of the New Testament. The biblical witness is undoubtedly unanimous in stressing the unity of

to the Hebrews speaks of the ongoing intercession of Christ the High Priest. language but also in terms not used before the coming of Jesus Christ. The epistle the earlier Jewish understanding of the shekinah of God, at times in sacrificial the individual lives of the believers (Gal. 2:20), is central to the explications and exhortations of the New Testament. This presence is at times expressed in terms of (Matt. 28:17), even outside the church in complex human situations, and indeed in The presence of the risen Jesus Christ among the worshipping community

who treats the believers as strangers. fied Christ with the Risen One and never suggest that the judge is an unknown Goo Testament or apocalyptic writings. They all presuppose the identity of the Cruci-10. The New Testament passages concerning the Last Judgment follow Old

sponsibility, not merely for their own piety or their hopes for life after death resurrection and the ascension are fundamental for the Christian's social reindeed in society and history in general. They search with the eyes of faith for such pointing to signs of his presence in their congregations, their individual lives, and mode of Jesus Christ's presence does not mean that the believers are incapable or of making himself present in the Holy Spirit. However, the inability to explain the may emerge among the friends of a dead leader. The Risen Lord has his own mode today with seemingly similar forms of spiritual presence, e.g. with the feeling which absented himself from his disciples, nor can we compare the mode of his presence presence of Christ". We cannot explain the way in which Jesus of Nazareth themselves in spearheading a new humanity in justice and peace. Thus the signs and rejoice in them. They also see the challenge to put up those signs 11. All of these observations call for an examination of the meaning of "th

THE INTERPRETATION OF THE RESURRECTION

by direct or indirect reference to Old Testament or to apocalyptic writings refer to exemplary and unique way the recipient of the creative power of the God who calls with the Old Testament and especially with apocalypticism were prepared to grasp resurrection to a spiritual body (1 Cor. 15). in a form similar to his body before death (Luke 24) to the conception of a The differences range from the direct assertion that Jesus' dead body became alive however, is not to say that they all portray an identical understanding of this event the resurrection, all reflect this particular event. Jesus is raised from the dead. This light from darkness and life from death. Thus the New Testament passages which scriptures" (1 Cor. 15:3). Jesus as the first-born of the dead (15:20) was in an the proclamation that Jesus had been raised from the dead "according to the number of appearances. Those among the very early believers who were familian 12. After the death of Jesus the disciples encountered the Risen Lord in a

> brief, ancient hymn in 1 Timothy 3:16: this kind are found in the Gospel of St John (John 11:25) and especially in the seemed to have been geared to those unfamiliar with Jewish scriptures. Passages of 13. There was, however, another way of referring to the resurrection which

seen by angels, vindicated in the Spirit, He was manifested in the flesh

intend to take seriously the ongoing work of God in Jesus Christ and the identity wanting in the richness and fullness of the biblical Easter message. All of them be mentioned. They are not all mutually exclusive. Some of them, however, are Testament, later theology has provided a series of interpretations which deserve to 14. In addition to these varying articulations of the resurrection in the New taken up in glory. preached among the nations believed on in the world,

of the Crucified with the Christ who is present: - The early church (in combatting Gnosticism) stressed the physical understand witnesses. Affirming the resurrection—this must be admitted—at times came ing of the resurrection, an understanding close to that of most of the biblical

close to affirming Easter as a verifying miracle.

- Gnostic as well as later philosophical interpretations often affirmed the entirely spiritual nature of the resurrection (the appearances of the risen Lord as

The concept that Jesus Christ was, so to speak, raised into the church and its authority structures, was not alien to certain tendencies in Western piety and

There is also an eschatological interpretation which refers to our inability to "Jesus having been raised into the apostolic message of the church" (the Related to this is a recent explication of the resurrection as the occurrence of kerygma)

stresses the fact that we "will know" how Jesus was raised and that such foredemonstrate the historicity of the resurrection of Jesus. This interpretation knowledge renders it probable that the Easter of the past was indeed a historica

our own resurrection. is the foundation of our lives - and that of the whole world - and the promise of there is no disagreement among us on the confession that the resurrection of Jesus Whilst the believers today cannot fully agree on the mode of the resurrection,

IV. The Risen Christ-today and tomorrow

36. The Lordship of Christ is not merely an objective or impersonal fact, it is an event which demands our response. In the Risen Lord we recognize the Servant proclaim the gospel in order that Christ's reign may be extended in the world. and will be victorious over all the forces of evil and over death itself. This is the affirm our faith that, in spite of human sin and all its painful consequences, God is basis of the "good news", and of the ministry and mission of the church. We * 15. In affirming Christ as Lord, "seated at the right hand of the Father", we CHRIST'S RULE TODAY

Lord, the Crucified One. He calls us to be faithful disciples, to confinue his ministry of loving service, to take up our cross and to suffer for his sket. It is in so ministry of loving service, to take up our cross and to suffer for his sket. It is in so doing that we participate in the new creation which began at the first Beater. Mere intellectual or ritualistic affirmation of Christ's Lordship belittes this high calling [7]. In the Nicene Creed, Christians proclaim that the forces of death and evil

17. In the Nuene Creat, Unistiants proclaim that the loroes of death and evil have been defeated, that Cirist in one regists with the Father, and that Gold kingdom of peace, justice and love is already a reality. This affirmation seems to be in strong contrast to human experience in a world in which we know evil and suffering in many forms, and in which all life ends in death. In the light of such experience Christians may repeat 10% questionings of God. They may feel power, less and hopeless when confronted with the many problems of our world and the enormity of suffering But we see in the resurrection ground for our faith that God is the one who calls life from death. Faith in the resurrection and in the power of the Resurrected One gives us a new perspective from which to view our experience in the world. It is in spite of evil, suffering and death, that we proclaim Christ is united to the processing of the proces

18. In the present age we still await the fulfilment of Christ's victory and right, but with the eyes of faith we see signs of the resurrection present in our midst wherever new life breaks into the old. We see resurrection signs in the lives of men and women who featnestly commit themselves to follow the Crudified One, and in the witness of the many martyrs of our time. We see many signs wherever there is new self-anderstanding and new sense of community, wherever helding, to concalitation and liberation take place, wherever there is openiness to change and growth we experience forestasts of resurrection whenever faith in Christ, hope in Christ, and love in Christ lead us to such oppenness.

19. This faith helps us to overcome our human fear of death and suffering. As the risen Christ gave peace to his fearful disciples and enabled them to move out from behind closed doors into a hostile world, so he gives us peace, and with it the courage to be and to move out joyfully from behind our closed doors into the many possibilities of new life.

Christ's rule tomorrow

20. As Christians we are united in our conviction that we all have to appear before the indeprene of Christ. There are however differing beliefs bout the inne realized exclusions; to whom judgment is present experience, while others have a more apocalypic understanding, believing that the end-time and the judgment are yet to come. Some believe in individual resurrection of the dead, others believe that judgment will occur only at this universal resurrection. For many of us, this diversity of Christian understanding reflects a diversity within the New Testament writings, in which we distinguish both the Johanniar perspective and that of the author of Luste/Acts, as well as the shifting Pauline interpretation.

21. The formulation in the Niene Creed that Christ "will come again in glory to judge the living and the dead" does not take into account all the traditions which are contained in the New Testament. In particular, in its proclamation of christ is the judge, it ignores the traditions in which Christ is the advocate who pleads on our behalf as we stand before the judgens of the Father, and in which Christ is hmself the sacrifice for our sins. We believe that the manifold images used by the bhildeal writers are indeed images and that we should not imprison them within juridical language and conceptuality.

22. The temptation of Christians is often to set ourselves up in judgment of others, or to desire a dimer. Judge who will judge according to are will. However we believe that the "good news" is that we are not called upon to judge. This does not mean that we are no retain from creative social critisism and political action. But judgment is Good's proregative and will take place according to Good's will as revealed in Christ. As such, it may well have outcomes different from what we expect and desire.

22. As far as God's judgment on us is concerned, we agree in our helief that lowever much righteeounes and lowe may be in tension in human life, the full witness of the libble is that in God they cannot be separated. We are not righteous, but our judge is the righteous one. We cannot a budieste our human responsibility for our sin, but we face our judgment treating in God's mercful and organing lower revealed to us in the Christ who himself has gone through suffering and windiversaled to us in the Christ who himself has gone through suffering and windiversaled.

cation, and teaches us to love our enemies.

24. In proclaiming that "Critist valid come again" we affirm our faith that history will not end in chaos, but that it will end in the One in whom it had its beginning, the One who is the Alpha and the Omega. We recognize that there is a tension between realized and apposlyptic eschalology, but we all believe that the new creation began in Christ will also be fulfilled in Christ. We understand this as an all-inclusive fulfilment, for our individual justification and salvation is only part of the longed-for redemption of the whole creation. So we pion ourselves to the intercessory ministry of Christ, praying for the unity of the church and the renewal of human community.

22. Thus we wait in eager hope for the final fulfilment and consummation of God's office of new life, which is given to our world and history in the resurrection of Christ, the Crucified, the Lord. "Beloved, we are God's shildren now, it does not yet appear what we shall be, but we know that when he appears we shall be like him; for we shall see him as he is? (1 John 5.2).

NOTES

 In "Apostolic Faith Today; a Handbook for Study", H.-G. Link ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 124, Geneva, WCC, 1985, p.166.
 In L'Osservatore Romano, English edition, 2 July 1984, p.7.

We Believe in One Lord Jesus Christ

the Way from Kottayam to Potsdam Interpretation of the Second Article of the Creed:

DIETRICH RITSCHI

The incarnation—interpreted in terms of the salvation of the world

- General aspects of the evolution of the text
- Input from lectures
- The Kottayam text . Additions and deletions (Crêt-Bérard I)
- 5. Development in the direction of a text (Crêt-Bérard II and Potsdam)

II. The passion—the suffering of God and humanity

- Sensitivity to the cultural dimension General aspects of the evolution of the text
- Difficulties over method in Kottayam
- Additions and alterations before Stavanger
- The influence of the work in Stavanger Unanswered exegetical questions
- Additions in Cret-Berard II

III. The victory over all powers

- General aspects of the evolution of the text
- Regional and confessional conditions
- The Kottayam text
- Later changes
- Open fronts in spite of dogmatic sterility

Concluding remarks

- The movement from the spontaneous to the dogmatic
- Handling exegetical problems
- Structural problems in Faith and Orde

4. The way ahead . . . ?

as fundamental by all the main churches which have subsequently emerged. other important synod, the Nicene Creed is the only one which has been accepted Christendom. For undoubtedly, among all the creeds adopted by an ecumenical or treated with the seriousness it deserves as the only ecumenical Creed of discussed together, indicating the sequence of the main doctrinal themes to be dealt with and—though this goes beyond the function of a guidebook—to be read and common faith. The Creed is to act as a guidebook, mapping out the contents to be churches of the WCC as they seek to articulate the contents and structure of their basis and signpost to simplify the task of the representatives of the various member shall call, for simplicity's sake, the Nicene Creed). The Nicene Creed is to serve as speaking, the interpretation of the Nicene-Constantinopolitan Creed (which we "Towards the Common Expression of the Apostolic Faith Today" is not, strictly The task the Faith and Order Commission has set itself in the programme

representatives of these denominations were confronted with considerable or no place at all, even to the Nicene Creed. When the decision was taken in the Faith and Order Commission to undertake a study of the Nicene Creed, the tion of fixed doctrinal formulations and therefore assign to them no special place the faith of Christians must be based on the Nicene Creed and on the other dox family of Eastern churches it is axiomatic that any legitimate interpretation of importance to the task of interpretation they have set themselves. For the Orthonations who are now involved in the programme do not all attach the same forgotten that the representatives of the mainline churches and smaller denomiproblems. This is not the place to discuss these difficulties, but it should not be fellowships which since their inception have deliberately dispensed with the recephowever, shows that fruitful and solid cooperation is still possible even where there of the binding authority of the Nicene Creed. The way the work has gone so far ecumenical councils, whereas the churches of the West are not as strict in their view is no fundamental accord on the authority of the Nicene Creed That is not to say that there are not other churches, denominations and church

carefully assembled body of material beginning with texts from the Lausanne conference of 1927, through Edinburgh 1937, Lund 1952, down to the latest each concentrating on one of the three articles of the Creed. This preliminary work useful work had already been done even before the three consultations set to work consultations in Klingenthal 1979, Princeton and Odessa 1981 as well as Rome Thus the members of the small consultation in Kottayam were furnished with a defining of the task. In some respects it also affected the formation of the contents was familiar to the members of the three consultations and influenced at least the the one held at Kottayam, Kerala, on the second article of the Nicene Creed. But The Kottayam consultation took place from 14 to 22 November 1984. The score interconnected in such a complex way. runs a risk of being vexed by the wealth of themes relevant to its work and of this kind of preparation was demonstrated, since every theological consultation structuring of the discussion and the texts to be drafted. Once again the importance time, the Geneva staff of Faith and Order had produced a proposal for the 1983. These texts were meant to orient the work of the consultation. At the same Actual work on the programme began with the three consultations, including

er so of participants were guests of the Malankara Syrian Orthodox Church and worked in the Sophia Centre in an excellent atmosphere strongly influenced by the Iturgical life of the seminarists as well as the consultation's own worship, by the

shortly after the assassination of Indira Gandhi. generous hospitality also of other churches in the city and by the ambiance in India

in the drafting of the text: in West Berlin and in Potsdam. We shall need to keep in mind the following stages second article of the Nicene Creed from Kottayam down to its most recent revision In what follows I shall describe the course taken by the interpretation of the

A) consultation in Kottayam (14 to 22 November 1984);

B) revision of the A text in Geneva by a small group assisted by the Geneva staff of Faith and Order (9 to 11 April 1985);

C) the draft for Stavanger, produced in Crêt-Bérard, June 1985 (this can be labelled the Crêt-Bérard I text);

the adoption of criticisms and suggestions from the study groups in Stavanger in March/April 1986 (this can be labelled the Crêt-Bérard II text); (August 1985) and individual contributions again in Crêt-Bérard and Geneva

a complete comparison of the various texts. The findings of this research cannot be In the Ecumenical Institute of the University of Heidelberg we have undertaken the most recent text (provisional), based on Crêt-Bérard II, and produced at the meeting of the Standing Commission in West Berlin (9 to 12 July 1986) and Potsdam (13 to 19 July 1986).

development of the text reflect the movements from the spontaneous and the unguarded to the doctrinally cautious and systematic style of discourse, in many trate in the main, without much loss, on the changes between A and C and between have been drafted so unevenly and hastily that the following report can concen-(Berlin/Potsdam) seems at first to register few changes or none from the D text, but then radically alters the D text (37-51 and 68-76). Text E seems on the whole to respects characteristic of church history and the history of doctrine generally. The describe the development from Kottayam to Potsdam in essence, i.e. pointing out adhered to since was helpful here. In each of the three sections of the report, I shall division which was already a feature of the Kottayam text and which has been incisive changes and expansions appear in the C and D texts, whereas the E text important alterations and additions. The bare two years of the history and report to the main themes and the alterations in their presentation. The tripartite presented in their entirety here, of course. I shall have to confine myself in this

The incarnation—interpreted in terms of the salvation of the world

General aspects of the evolution of the text

the biblical basis, could not be adhered to completely in the later development. It was also called in question in Stavanger. This raises an important problem, of originally planned, i.e. from the situation to the text of the Creed and from that to shift to the vere deus. In this first section, E is identical to D. The procedure predominate. Various additions are then found in D and the emphasis begins to situation in India. There was a rich input from the history of doctrine. Already in B, however, and then still more clearly in C, the doctrinal note begins to problem and as an essential confession of faith, discussed more or less carefully in homo, the whole idea of incarnation, was regarded as a fundamental theological Geneva for an arrangement and definition of themes. In A the vere deus-vere the light of biblical texts and examined in the context of the cultural and religious Structurally, the text produced in Kottayam (A) adopted the proposals made in

> course. In the confession of the common faith, should we proceed from the Bible, via the Creed of the ancient church, to the contemporary situation? Or should we content followed by an "application" for today. It may be questioned whether this consistently summarized in a first section followed by a second section on "Explicproceed the other way round? Since text B, "Creed and Biblical Basis" has been is faithful to the original intention texts it is a question of interpreting the Nicene Creed in form of an exegesis of the the E text of Berlin/Potsdam. The dominant impression that it gives is that in these print section headed "Commentary". This pattern has been adhered to down to ation for Today". Similarly, since B, in each of these sections there is often a small-

difficulties in understanding the references to the specifically American situation. spoke in a way our hosts found easy to comprehend even if they also had the male identity of Jesus was also discussed. Prof. Bondi, being a patristic scholar "religion", Americans had difficulties in taking the "full humanity" of Jesus literally. Language in the church is dominated by a docetic trait. The question of ity" of Jesus. Being more at home with "non-literal" uses of language in the field of America. She dealt interestingly with the problems of asserting the "true humantopic was the vere deus-vere homo in the religious and cultural context of Bondi, an American Methodist, deserves to be singled out for special mention. Her advance and designed to serve as a stimulus. The lecture given by Prof. Roberta C. B. H. Jackayya, an Indian Lutheran, dealt with the Asian context of the Individual lectures given at the Kottayam consultation had been prepared in

and showed no eagerness to accommodate it syncretistically to Indian notions of Christian life and Christian creed. He adopted an authentically biblical approach

is the dominant religion in Sri Lanka, though without indicating what these might gospel and its efficacy. He called for ways of coming to terms with Buddhism which kind throughout the consultation, specifically in respect of the proclamation of the Dr R. Perera, an Anglican from Sri Lanka, raised questions of a deeply political

Also important were the comments contributed by Ms Vintla Subaiya of Calcutta, though these were available in written form to the members of the (Leipzig/Vienna) and Dr M. J. Joseph, Mar Thoma Syrian Church, Kottayam, nation and corresponding Hindu concepts. The papers read by Prof. Ulrich Kühn develop clarifying concepts for distinguishing between Christian views of incar-III (the victory over all powers). related to the subjects discussed in this report under sections II (the passion) and Dr V. O. Jathanna of the Church of South India seemed to find it easier to

very heart of the Hindu tradition. In an impressive way, she combined thoughts sought to show the difficulties of proclaiming the gospel in a way which goes to the consultation only some weeks later. Ms Subaiya is the head of a large boarding school in Calcutta which has educated influential Indian personalities. She de-Jesus Christ as a challenge to Indian Christians to enter into serious dialogue and by the influence of Christians. Ms Subaiya also sees the incarnation of God in ties. Her paper also discussed ways in which the life of the Hindu has been changed present the presence and life of the God of the Bible through Christian communiabout Jesus, his humanity and his mission, with insights into the need in India to plored the connection of Western influences and colonialism with the gospel and

was greatly enriched by the spiritual authority and commanding wisdom of Prof. V.C. Samuel, of the Orthodox Syrian Church of the East (Kottayam and exchange with Hindus and people of other religions. The work of the consultation

3. The Kottayam text

consideration. The responses of other religions to challenges in the field of socia unequal: are they to be taken to refer to forgiveness, to deliverance from death, or as having a political reference in the sense of liberation and wholeness of life? In evaluation of biblical and ancient church statements about salvation remained ethics were also kept in view in the discussions and D. Feminist concerns as well as questions of marriage and celibacy came under pastoral dimension which receives less prominence in the later texts, especially C is predominant. The discussions and also the Kottayam text itself thus acquired a human suffering on the Indian sub-continent and in other countries where hunger almost all the discussions, the true humanity of Jesus was viewed in the light of discussions in Kottayam, there were only sporadic references to biblical texts. The recognized. Although exegetical observations played a considerable part in the truth? The discussion led to a very happy understanding. Not surprisingly, it was in respect of the homoousios and the theotokos, in particular, that the difficulties were containers of truth, or do they regulate the discourse of believers concerning the point was the appraisal of the truth content of dogmas: are they receptacles or Durham, England) and myself (despite our long-standing acquaintance). The main and their interpretation. It was only later that differences arose over the understand-Mary. At first there seemed to be few differences over the ancient church formulas and was made man". Attention focuses, therefore, on the questions of the proposed by Geneva and, in the section covered here, deals with the clauses of the Creed from "We believe in one Lord Jesus Christ ..." to "... of the Virgin Mary, ing of these texts between the Greek theologian George Dragas (who teaches in homoousia, the becoming human "for our salvation", and the motherhood of As we have said, the Kottayam text adopted the tripartite division already

Additions and deletions (Crêt-Bérard I)

humanity/humanness of Jesus and refer to his life and ministry. have dropped out, especially the passages which speak graphically of the true in Stavanger, though without being improved in D and E. Some parts from text A assume a more apodictic and academic style. They then came under criticism also systematized. At the same time, the explanatory sections on the Creed (13-16) Text C expands the preamble (in 2) by adding a long list of questions intended for clarification. The biblical and historical material (4-12) is tightened up and

nothing new in substance. This applies especially to the systematization in 1, the addition in 2 (already found in C), the explanation of the homoousios in 5, the than the later texts but it is clear that the additions in text D (identical in the later B is in many respects alarming. That is not to say, of course, that text A was richer 5. Development in the direction of a doctrinal text (Crêt-Bérard II and Potsdam earlier sections, as well as to additions in 10-15. These sections are clearly abridgment and addition in 7, the substitution of the new paragraphs 8 and 9 for text E) are almost exclusively concerned with scholastic distinctions and add The list we have made of omissions and additions in D as compared with A and

text of the Nicene Creed and then putting questions to the biblical texts has been concerned to explain synoptic passages (8-11) in respect of the vere deus—vere homo, as well as Johannine (12) and Pauline passages from the epistles. It can safely be said, on the whole, that the method of starting in the first place from the undertake a far more extensive and comprehensive exegetical, historical and ments and specific biblical references in texts D and E does not make it necessary to maintained. The only question is whether the evident increase of detailed argutexts, we feel the need to read not ten pages but a substantially longer text on the hand appearing too meagre for the trained theologian. When we read the D and E spontaneous text would fulfill the purpose of the Faith and Order project better systematic presentation. In other words, it could well be that a shorter and more Faith and Order project intends discusses in detail the questions raised. But that would certainly not be what the relationship of the incarnation to the salvation of the world, one which really being beyond the comprehension of those untrained in theology but on the other than a relatively fuller and more methodical text which falls between two stools,

II. The passion—the suffering of God and humanity

our Orthodox hosts and the Roman Catholic members of the consultation. in a paper but his argument presupposed the situation of German-speaking Prof. Ulrich Kühn tried to explain the vicarious sufferings and death of Jesus Christ and especially from the relative absence of theological differences at this point. necessarily have been so, but resulted from the nature of the discussion, and also logical article is rather shorter and less oriented on biblical exegesis. This need not detailed report on the first theme, the text on the second theme of the Christosynoptic and Johannine scholarship, enabled his working group to make a very the vere deus-vere homo, in which he strongly emphasized the findings of contribution made by the English theologian Rowan Williams on the question of reconciliation of classic theology. Whereas in the discussion at Kottayam, a interpretation of these credal clauses raises all the themes of the doctrine of language of the Creed here follows the chronology of historical events. The Creed are: the crucifixion under Pontius Pilate, the death and burial of Jesus. The 1. General aspects of the evolution of the text theology rather than the ancient church background which was more familiar to The themes of the texts in this second section of the second article of the Nicene

of God's solidarity with human suffering". In all the texts from A to E we likewise A text. On the other hand, the political dimensions still noticeable in Kottayam The later texts faithfully reflect the A text, though with a number of additions, which were certainly necessary to make good obvious gaps in the argument of the exangelicals make it the decisive issue between "orthodox" believers and the rest, possible to speak of the "sacrificial death" of Jesus without subscribing to the miss a clear answer to the delicate question of whether, and if so in what sense, it is take a back seat in the later texts. Even in texts D and E there is no real "theology acknowledging that they are speaking metaphorically. of the Roman Catholic Church, employ the terminology of sacrifice without but also because theologians of the Eastern Orthodox Church, as well as those hardly any support today. This is a "delicate" question because conservative notion of a "payment" to God through the death of Jesus, a concept which finds

Sensitivity to the cultural dimension

questions arising therefrom. It is impossible to divorce the theme of the sufferings of Jesus, God's solidarity with humanity's sufferings in the sufferings of Jesus ference to the cultural and social problems of the Indian sub-continent and One impressive feature in Kottayam was the constant awareness of and re-

fluenced the discussions in Kottayam even if it did not find its way clearly enough respects similar to the biblical tradition. Recognition of this fact strongly inover it and newness of life. Here there are real differences between the biblically extinction, as in the ancient Asian traditions, and, in contrast to that, the Old and explicitly: the victory over suffering by way of negation and the striving for countries of the third and fourth worlds has been increasingly in evidence in official based faith and some important Eastern religions which are otherwise in many New Testament message of God's participation in suffering with a view to victory proaches to and methods of dealing with all this suffering were also considered ecumenical circles. In the discussions in Kottayam, therefore, the different apthe voice of those who represent the suffering and impotence of people in the of human suffering in the Second World War, in the last ten to twenty years or so and European theology were represented by people who had first-hand experience inner connections that exist here. Whereas until quite recently European churches remain abstract, hackneyed and insipid unless they bring out clearly the profound many other parts of the world. Theological statements about the passion of Christ death, from the despair and sufferings of human beings on this continent and in Christ, the abandonment by God which Jesus experienced, and the gospel of his

3. Difficulties over method in Kottayam

into the Kottayam text.

Abraham through Jesus' sufferings, death and resurrection down to ourselves' for the rudiments and bases of our faith and our history, which leads from questionings. As theologians, are we to "make the Bible relevant for today" or the ancient church Creeds) or, on the contrary, on the basis of our contemporary alternative. The question is rather whether we decide to approach the great contemporary systematic theology this is no longer the real or the realistic agreement being reached These difficulties about method were discussed in Kottayam without any firm should we not rather make present events, developments and questions "relevant" questions of the faith by arguing on the basis of the ancient texts (i.e. the Bible and beginning with the Tradition or placing it on an equal footing with the Bible. In sola scriptura principle (so often made central) in opposition to the strategy of development in the light of the biblical evidence. It is really not a question of the ecumenical councils) or whether we should retrace and re-examine the doctrinal we should start from established dogmas (e.g. the dogmatic formulas of the respect of method, it is not at all clear in our ecumenical work in general whether the representatives of Eastern Orthodoxy and those of Western theology. In Brief reference must once again be made to the substantial differences between

4. Additions and alterations before Stavanger

selective. The pro nobis is strongly underlined. In none of the texts from A to E de historical references. The specification of biblical passages must necessarily be very and only afterwards turns to the biblical witness, the C text begins with biblical and Whereas text A begins with theological questions arising from the Nicene Creec

> them as aiready solved and how frequently they retreat from basic and quite essential questions, regarding these ecumenical texts are already operating within a Christian system of thought thousand years ago really died "for us". This shows once more the degree to which we find any explanation of what it really means to assert that a man who died two Nor is it clear how far Christians can believe and confess that "the death of

typed in all the texts and almost nowhere explanatory this victory achieved through the crucifixion of Jesus are disappointingly stereoalmost to acquire a docetic character in the C, D and E texts. The statements about Jesus is quite clearly interpreted in the light of Easter; as a result of this, it seems remarks, all the texts—including the E text (55 and 56)—show a tendency to celebrate Jesus' death as a victory over evil forces. In other words, the death of have not found any reflection in the texts. Towards the conclusion of their facts, though clear to biblical scholars and even to systematic theologians today, confirm the reality of his death and was not as such vicarious in character. These that his burial was a "private" burial, and that therefore his burial was meant to not bring out clearly enough that the death of Jesus was not a private death but always opposed the interpretation of the death of Jesus as a tragedy. The text does Jesus" was "willed by God" even though it is clearly stated that Christians have

Unanswered exegetical questions

in the sense of a gift which human beings are to bring to God? What have we to say about the exegetically demonstrable differences between the Pauline letters and, for example, the First Epistle of John? In respect of the death of Jesus, how is the only been touched on in passing in the texts theology and certainly large sections of the American church tradition, too, would qualitatively different from the death of Mahatma Gandhi or Martin Luther King? Gospels? And lastly: to what extent are we to interpret the death of Jesus as implicit Christology of Hebrews related to the Pauline letters and the Synoptic death, namely, his readiness to accept it, a prayer, a "self-sacrifice" or an offering To what extent is it certain that the death of Jesus was not a "tragedy"? Was his as, on the one hand, a traitor and, on the other hand, a "go-between" or deliverer? Jesus involve a sacrifice? How are we to explain the ambivalent position of Judas Most of the difficulties have already been mentioned implicitly. Did the death of want to maintain - in the life of Jesus that the difference lies? These questions have Does the difference lie exclusively in the resurrection or is it also - as British

The influence of the work in Stavanger

essurrection of Jesus. The critical report of the working group which criticized the Cuest (Crêt-Bérard I) adopted Brown's exegetical desiderata as well as the sized the connection between the raising of Jesus and justification and offered a of the relevant biblical passages. From a theological standpoint, Brown emphareferred in particular to the true humanity of Jesus, to the recognition of his unique theological criticisms made by George Dragas and myself. The working group by to German-speaking scholars, on "becoming new" and "new life" through the summary of the findings of scholarship, already familiar to European and especialauthority by those who heard him, and, generally, to an anti-docetic interpretation statements in texts B and C were called in question. His exegetical comments American Roman Catholic New Testament scholar, a whole series of exegetical As a result mainly of the criticisms contributed by Prof. Raymond Brown, the

called for a complete revision of this C text in respect of the original purpose and outlined a fresh draft. As sequence for the revision, it also proposed the following be praiseworthy but is in certain ways inherently tedious in its effect problems and on the whole strive for an internal theological coherence which may are terribly doctrinal, include very little discussion of practical questions and text C complained of in Stavanger have only partly been remedied. Texts D and E proposals have now been adopted in texts D and E, although the disadvantages of biblical passages; and finally, an examination of the application for today. These steps: firstly, explanatory comments on the text of the Creed; then reference to

Additions in Crêt-Bérard II

that "the justice of God condemns the injustice of all power that excludes and cruelty of human beings and of the dominant religious and political powers"? Or unjust powers of this world"? Or again, that "the crucifixion of Jesus exposes the "The suffering and the apparent scandal of the crucifixion of Jesus exposes the them and little is clear. What is meant, for example, when it is stated in E.55 that tional Christian phrases. Nothing is "untrue" in these phrases, but little is true in denominator which simply consists of a stringing together of conventional tradijoint ecumenical efforts should seem to move in the direction of a commor this; but it is nonetheless lamentable that nuanced figures of speech arrived at in doctrine, i.e. that Jesus suffered and died for us. We are not blaming anyone for wonder whether this is really the way we Christians want to speak of this central verdict but one has only to read §37 to 56 of the D text (the draft for Potsdam) to effect and the truth is replaced by a whole set of platitudes. That may seem a harsh ments in comparison with the earlier texts, they have on the whole a colourless While, therefore, texts D and E do contain some clarifications and improve

III. The victory over all powers

General aspects of the evolution of the text

citation of the biblical evidence—so that what is said loses in clarity. This car later versions, there are numerous biblical references - indeed, by far the riches important to us in Kottayam but is only indirectly reflected in the later texts. In the familiar with the "scriptures" to which he can appeal. The result is that the resurrection is spoken of in different terms in each case. This double language was Hellenistic readers, whereas in 1 Corinthians 15 he clearly has his eyes on readers double language of Paul: in 1 Timothy 3:16, Paul is clearly addressing himself to the consultation. The difficulty lay in the fact that in our text we referred to the myself, and accepted at a pinch by the Orthodox and Roman Catholic members of been drafted by Janet Crawford, the Anglican theologian from New Zealand, and even if it seems somewhat diminished in the D and E texts. The Kottayam text had importance of this doxological style. This note is still recognizable in the later texts majority in Kottayam made it natural for particular attention to be paid to the language. The Orthodox hosts and the mere fact that they were more or less in a Kottayam, these clauses of the Creed strongly encouraged the use of doxological logical clause about the kingdom that has no end. It is little wonder that, already in Father's right hand, the return to judge the living and the dead, and the doxoclauses on the resurrection, the ascension into heaven and the sitting at the This third part of the section of the text of the second article deals with the

> whole set of biblical references but not with a statement or a confession of faith easily be seen by reading 862-65 of the E text where the reader is confronted with a

2. Regional and confessional conditions

contend with a widespread tolerance in the religious context of Hinduism, a very clear by Dr Jathanna in particular, these indigenous Christians clearly have to conceptions of resurrection and eternal life was of some importance. As was made a symbol of the liberation of the oppressed. An ecumenically responsible Christian represented this standpoint) tend to see the account of Jesus' resurrection more as defined more in terms of mystery or the veiling of a real act which it is impossible to evident "victory", in Western theology our discourse about the resurrection is accustomed to celebrating the resurrection in their doxology as a signal and selves. Whereas it is well known that the Eastern Orthodox Church traditions are Christian faith, there are also confessional differences among Christians themdeath"? In addition to these culturally caused difficulties of articulating the But what does it really mean to affirm that "Jesus was raised, awakened, from willingness to accept watered-down statements on resurrection and awakening theology really must insist on clarity here from the standpoint of political or liberation theology (Dr Perera of Sri Lanka present in human terms. On the other hand, those theologians who argue solely What the Indian Christians were able to tell us in Kottayam about Hindu

ehronological process? Or in what sense can we already speak today of God's rule consideration. Is the "rule" of Christ to take place only after his "return in glory"; bad "rulers" in the contemporary world. In any case, the pronouncedly eschatological change in the language about lordship in the Nicene Creed demanded our speaking God is present and addressed, is to the forefront here. Assertory or the third part of the second article of the Nicene Creed only in a rudimentary sense. various churches. Only in a very incomplete way did that happen in Kottayam. concrete questions concerning the "judgment" which are repeatedly posed in the essential that we should tackle, and provide a responsible answer to, the specific not entitled in our project to avoid commenting on this central issue. It is also quite on but in no way answered. Yet they are of quite central importance for our search over the world in Christ? These very important questions were certainly touched i.e. after the "judgment", and are we, therefore, to think in terms of and to accept a also, on the other hand, touches in a very direct way on the question of good or therefore, is on the one hand a theme of doxological and expectant utterance but apodictic statements have been avoided. Even the question of "Christ's lordship", The doxological language, i.e. that mode of speaking which remembers that in all The Kottayam text for a common confession of the apostolic faith today. It seems to me that we are The Kottayam text can admittedly be regarded as a legitimate interpretation of

4. Later changes

and C texts but what is said on them still remains extremely vague. A typologica to be useful in the further revision of the texts. The detailed commentaries so fe introduced from the B text onwards, is generally retained and should also continu attempted in many cases. The device of inserting brief commentaries, a method fisting of the possible variations within the Christian tradition is the most that is The effort to come to grips with these questions is already recognizable in the F

must be frankly stated may also be that a consensus in these questions is not possible; in that case, this but are still not by a long chalk a "common expression" of the apostolic faith. It formulated deal with some aspects of the difficulties of the themes in question here

have produced reflect this insight only vaguely and very imperfectly all the participants in the consultations were in basic agreement, yet the texts they contained history hovering above the realities of our world and life. On that point, of the rule of God as confessed in the ancient church Creed in some separate self Testament. It is impossible to keep the resurrection of Jesus and the establishmen remain unanswered, the statements of our Faith and Order studies also remain and, on the other hand, the resurrection and new rule of Christ? If these questions It seems to me that a whole set of individual, social-ethical and politica questions are simultaneously involved in Christian discourse about the resurrecthe Marxist vision of the "new humanity" and the kainos anthropos of the New trite and hackneyed. Another urgent requirement is a thorough discussion between which we human beings create in the power and with the help of the Spirit of God How can we establish a correlation between, on the one hand, something new what is that if not a sign of new life from the old, of resurrection from the dead? field of social ethics and politics: when love replaces hate, reconciliation conflict. "new" emerging from the "old". What is a cure if not a sign of revival? And in the field, for example, where cures, in a certain sense, are equivalent to something how far "new life" is an indicator or a reflection of resurrection, in the medica problem for us in our pastoral work, and then, of course, secondly, the question of tion. There is firstly the question of individual life "after death" which is such a

this seems to me not to qualify as ecumenically responsible. We cannot be content of course, merely to identify fronts and to list the main questions. But every correct in such a way as to display as few open fronts as possible. The effort to do and even new tasks. traditions which are unfamiliar to us, to recognize and accept as valid new insights the freedom both to pose fresh questions and, in relation to other confessiona theological answer we try to provide should at the same time presuppose as such after the experience in Stavanger. Dogmatic sterility is more to be feared than open more questions had been tidied up and settled than can legitimately be the case things have developed since Stavanger. In the D and E texts I find a suggestion that continued ecumenical effort. What seems to me much less encouraging is the way the real questions, still remained open. That is a good sign and a real stimulus to case of almost all the representatives of the different denominations, the fronts, i.e. the firm impression that, in spite of the dogmatic sterility once again evident in the Open fronts in spite of dogmatic sterility
 At all events, I came away from the Stavanger Faith and Order Conference with "sterility" I mean the readiness to arrange formulas recognized as

Concluding remarks

1. The movement from the spontaneous to the dogmatic

of the texts in Berlin/Potsdam, the trend has been away from the spontaneous to beginning of our Faith and Order project in Kottayam and on to the final version impression that, on our way from preparatory studies over many years down to the It is not to be taken as a complaint if, in conclusion and to sum up, I register my

> catechists, a much wider audience? Once again we have to use a different style of of language would be appropriate. Or are we to address the ministers, pastors and address the teachers of our churches? In that case, a precise and unambiguous type are our texts really intended? Are we yet sufficiently clear on this point? Are we to our project were to be characterized by a permanent hardening in this sense. Little nevertheless open to criticism. It would be most regrettable if the continuation of clarification or intellectualization, which, while perfectly intelligible in itself, is movement of consolidation and bifurcation or ramification, of explanation and the dogmatic. This reflects a movement typical of church history generally: a language. These questions need clearing up, it seems to me. good could then be forthcoming from the final product of our labours. For whom

defensible simply to list biblical references. cited. The procedure adopted so far, however, seems to me on the whole questiontexts, or, again, the attempt to provide an actual brief interpretation of passages quotation of scholarly works, the listing of variant interpretations of vital biblical simply not the case. Possible ways of treating the biblical reference would be: the assumed that their interpretation is more or less clear. But in many instances, this is very few passages which are really analyzed exegetically. In most cases it is It seems to me that, in the draft texts so far, from A to E, the use of biblical references is characterized by the stringing together of biblical passages. There are able. No one, surely, is going to deny that only in rare cases is it theologically Handling exegetical problems

3. Structural problems in Faith and Order

disquiet at the fact that texts which have been produced in consultations lasting complexion on things. The development of the texts we have discussed here from were appointed simply as supplementary commentators, that would put a different more than a "sounding board"? There could be no objection to this if the members course, every theologian can correct, criticize and improve things in any text, can members of the said consultations have played an active role. I have doubts as to committees in which theologians who themselves for the most part were not more than a week each have subsequently been completely revised by small Kottayam to Potsdam illustrates perfectly the structural difficulties attending the of the Plenary Commission had not been chosen as such by their churches. If they Standing Commission, can the Faith and Order Plenary Commission be anything Commission. If the authority to decide rests ultimately with the members of the elected members of the Faith and Order Plenary Commission and the Standing should also be made of the problem which arises from the separation between the shortened and expanded by others at a later date? In this connection, mention in this way. But is there still any real continuity if jointly produced texts are revised, supplement it and in every case be able to give reasonable grounds for proceeding the advisability or wisdom of continuing to employ this method in the future. Of whole work of the Faith and Order Commission. Without wishing to make any radical criticism, I would like to register my

4. The way ahead . . .?

Certainly it is possible that it will be accepted by theologians of the different preliminary draft for the "common expression of the apostolic faith" we seek. It seems unlikely to me that the E text (Berlin/Potsdam) has any real future as a

denominations, but 1 do not believe that it can constitute the basis for the document we want to produce. The Faith and Order project of a common confession of the apostolic faith by the representatives of all the churches together is, I believe, historically unique, and we should do our tumost to carry this project to a conclusion in a responsible way. It may be that the texts so the produced can serve as preliminary studies on the way to the achievement of a text, rich in content and consensus building, a text in which all the churches can recognize themselves and their faith.

PART III

The Third Article

the Church and the We Believe in the Holy Spirit, Life of the World to Come

An Ecumenical Explication

held at Chantilly, France, 3-10 January 1985 Report of a Faith and Order Consultation

A. The Holy Spirit

- II. The Lord I. Belief in the Holy Spirit
- . III. Giver of Life
- IV. Procession from the Father V. Worship and glorification
- VI. The Spirit and the prophets

B. The one, holy, catholic and apostolic church

- I. The church, local and universal community
- II. The church in Trinitarian perspective
- a) The church, people of Godb) The church, body of Christc) The church, communion of saints in the Spirit
- The one, holy, catholic and apostolic church III. The church as eucharistic, transforming and eschatological community

C. The resurrection of the body and the life of the world to come

- The church as a community of hope
- III. The oneness and wholeness of Christian hope II. Jesus Christ-the hope of the world
- IV. Living out our hope a) Kingdom of Godb) Resurrectionc) New heaven and earth

A. THE HOLY SPIRIT

1. Faith in the Holy Spirit always belongs with faith in God and in Jesus Christ. To believe "in one Cod the Father A Imagilty" and "in one Lord Jesus Christ, the only-begotium Son of God. ..." is to believe also "in the Holy Spirit, the Lord and Life-giver." The Holy Spirit is never experienced, confessed or conceived of spart from the one God and his Son and word, whose Spirit he is. In turn, it is through the Spirit that we believe in the Father and the Son. He it is who gives us knowledge of God and this will for us (John 16:12-15; I Cox. 2:10-16).

 Faith in the Holy Spirit also always includes the church of God and of Cirist, the confession of one haptism for the remission of sins, and the expectation of the resurrection of the dead and the life of the age to come whose very content as the kingdom of God in Christ is "righteousness and peace and joy in the Holy Spirit" (Rom. 14:17).

God, whose eternal plan is to unite all things in heaven and on earth in Christ, has made his Son "the head over all things for the church which is his body, the fullness of him who wills all in all"—in the Spirit (cf. Eph. 1:22f.).

Through his mighty acts of creation, redemption and sancification by his Son and Spirit, God fills all things with himself and fully opens his drive being and life to all creatures to become "partakers of the drivine nature" (2 Pet. 1-8; cf. Eph. 4:10). In this way believers enter into communion with the Triume God. For this reason the sains have testified that where the Holy Spirit is, there is doed skingdom which is fullness of life in Jesus Christ the King.

I. Belief in the Holy Spirit

3. Belief in the Holy Spirit is an act of confession which witnesses to a living experience ever to be renewed. It is testimony to the convection that God's own Spirit is present in the world, living and acting in the community of believers who contiess Jesus as "Lord", and cry "Abba" to the most high God, and who, threeby, receive the Spirit's varied gifts, including the charm of discerning spirits inside and outside the church, "to see whether they are from God" (I John 4:1ff.).

Commentary: Christians differ in their understanding concerning the activity of the Holy Sprit outside the church. While some would claim that "whatever is true, whatever is honourable, whatever is just" (Phil 4.8) in the life and actions of non-Christians and even unbelievers is of God's Holy Sprit; others say that the sovereignty of the Sprit in history and in people who are unfamiliar with the gaspel is hidden from our eyes and can arbiter be identified nor interpreted in any specific way. In any case, all agree that there is no other name under heaven . . . by which we may receive salvation" (Acts 4:1.1) than that of Jesus Christ.

God's spirit is hofy with the wondrous holiness of the God whose innermost being and life is incomparable to anything in creation. Yet in the Holy Spirit whom God pours out on all flesh through lesus "the Holy One of God" (Mark 1:24) who is revealed as Christ and Lord through what he suffers, creatures can extrally fulfill the commandment of God who asps." You shall be holy, for I am holy "(Lev. I 1:14-45; I Pet. I:16). To share God's holiness, without which no person can find life and salvation, is a gradous gif concretely realized in different ways: through who

active work for goodness, justice and peace as well as through patience, endurance and suffering.

4. The Holy Spirit is God's very breath; the living and life-creating power, truth and love of his divine presen perfectly integed in his only-begotient Son. God's Spirit is not one of the meany spirits which inhabit the universe. Nor is God's Spirit called 'spirit in contrast to 'matter'. God's Spirits not only opposed to all sort of could spiritualism, but to every form of material and spiritual pril.

The Holy Sprit of God revals the spiritual dimension of everything material as the souncettly present in every element of creation, in people's bodies and spirits and souls (cf. Ps. 139:7–10; I Thess. 5:23). Through the Holy Spirit all matter is amerified by God's grace, while apart from him all spiritual things become carnal and dead.

II. The Lord
5. The Holy Spirit is the Lord. Being a divine person, he takes his place with
5. The Holy Spirit is the Lord. Being a divine person, he takes his place with
6. God the Lord (Theor Kyrios) and Christ the Lord (Christos Kyrios).

In confessing the Spirit as Lord (the septuagint translation of YHWH, the divine mane), the clutch acclaims his divinity, the same divinity as that of the Father and the Son, and acknowledges his lordship over all creation and history.

Commutary: The Creed does not call the Holy Spirit 'God'' as it does the Son when it refers to him as 'true God of true God'. Neither does the Creed was the term homowator to describe the identity of divinity between the Holy Spirit and God the Father, and as on the Son, as later theology does. Some massons for this, which are of course open to further study and debute, are the desire to employ scriptural words; to word continsion of the Holy Spirit with the Son of God through the use of the same terms; and to make it easier for the Holy Spirit, however, the Creed affirms that the Spirit's 'Cord' for the Holy Spirit, however, the Creed affirms that the Spirit's Horizot of the Ender and the Son which was defended through the Holy Timity of three drinis persons (or hyporacae). Father, Son and the Holy Timity of three drinis persons (or hyporacae). Father, Son and Tody Spirit—in the perfect unity of one and the same drivine being (wash)

The Spirit's lordship is that of thinine magnificence and gony which inspires admiration, advantion and boddence from all who receive lim. It is not a lordship of brune force, oppressive power or fyramous manipulation. It is on the contrary a forther force, oppressive power or fyramous manipulation. It is on the contrary a forther force of the children of bodder. (cf. Roman, 8-21). Fiel spiritis possess, Spiritless fisch enslaves. Wicked powers oppress, domainate, manipulate and epolicit. The Spirit of God and Christ liberates proprise, com in the most oppressive and enslaving of human conditions; and epolicies the roll and to work to overcome it. For "the Lord is the Spirit, and where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is freedom" (2 Cor. 3:17).

III. The Giver of Life

6. The Holy Spirit is the "Giver of Life". He comes forth from the Father as the "Histocration" (zoopoion). Without him nothing would be alive (cf. Gen. 1-2). "Himachaid itself, all himag restaures and the living earth at the heart of all that sexts are alive because of the Spirit of God, So it is that Christians reverence all xets are alive because of the Spirit of God, So it is that Christians reverence all ties expocally all human life; and they respect the life of all living creatures, the value of any human being. In turn they respect the life of all living creatures, the

alone can take-it away (cf. Ps. 104:29-30) the skies above it. Life always remains a gift of God. As he has given his Spirit, he survival; and they resist destruction and abuse of the earth, its rivers and seas and animals, the birds of the air, and fish of the sea on which they depend for their very

Father, in the one Son whose own humanity he has already filled with life living body of Christ. Like a mother the Spirit brings to birth new children of the Spirit baptism becomes the ever-fertile womb of a Spirit-enlivened church, the heaven and the new earth (cf. Rom. 8:11; 2 Cor. 5:17). Through the gift of the transformed into the first fruits of the new creation, the beginnings of the new human beings are born anew, recreated; and the whole order of existence is The Spirit also creates, brings to birth, the new life of Christ. Because he is given

tionally used. The common usage of the languages of Commission members metaphorical language, while retaining the masculine gender "he" as tradi-"feminine principle" in God, and be referred to as "she". Others, however, some contend that the Holy Spirit must be considered somehow as a Holy Spirit in Faith and Order documents. presently determines the gender employed when references are made to the affirm the scriptural imagery with the symbolic analogy and the use of Because God's Spirit (Ru'ah) is feminine in Hebrew and related languages, Christians disagree as to how this feminine image is to be further developed Commentary: While agreeing on the motherly actions of the Holy Spirit

free flow of this life into themselves and its manifestation within the church and in ever anew. And in turn they need to struggle against everything which inhibits the eucharist, and through the service of others, through which the Spirit fills them people. So it is that Christians seek to nourish this life through the word and the together as the one body of Christ, and to be bearers of life, Christ-bearers, to all The Spirit's gift of life, the life of Christ himself, enables Christians to truly live

discernment of spirit (cf. 1 Cor. 12:4-11, 27-30) service in the world, such as teaching, prophecy, healing, miracles, tongues and the also pours out an abundance of charisma for the building-up of the church and for Just as the life-giving Spirit provided manifold gifts for his people Israel, so he

IV. Procession from the Father

and is so even in the breathing forth. of the Son and so the Spirit who is breathed forth is always in relation to the Son, 7. The Holy Spirit "proceeds from the Father". He is breathed forth by the Father. He is the life-giving breath, the Spirit of God. The Father is also the Father

that the Spirit proceeds from the Father. On that affirmation all Christians car wished to be faithful to the affirmation of the Nicene-Constantinopolitan Creed and so have stressed that the Spirit proceeds from the Father alone. Both have Eastern Christians have found most interpretations of its meaning unacceptable Western Christians have used the phrase filioque to express this latter relation

understanding of the one original Creed they share, in differing ways. On the tern Christians have come to express the one faith they share, even their foundation of this common faith they must find a way to explain these Commentary: Because East and West are so different, Eastern and Wes-

> "proceeds from the Father" gives life to the faith of Christians in both the common faith. This process of explaining and learning from each other wil common confession, and is scrupulous in its concern to demand nothing of churches the Creed as their forefathers did, in the original form. path of mutual understanding they should confess together and in their own East and the West. As they, through his life-giving power, proceed on this Garda, Italy, 19842). It has to be possible because the same Holy Spirit who take time but it has begun (e.g. Klingenthal, France, 1978-791; Riva de the other which would be for them a betrayal of their vision of the original different understandings to each other that is both faithful to their orgina

Trinitarian faith with all its consequences for the life of the church which can only be avoided or resolved through a common profession of the one crises inevitably arise, e.g. about the work of the Holy Spirit in the community, early church to formulate the Creed in the first place. These may not take the same Without a profound understanding of the relation between the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit, Christians might be forced to endure again the crises which led the who have never placed much importance on the Creeds of the early church form as the early debates but because the Trinity is at the heart of the church's life The issue of the procession of the Holy Spirit is relevant even for those Christians

 The Holy Spirit of God "together with the Father and the Son, is worshipped and glorified". He is the Lord. So it is that the most basic Christian prayer is glory therefore, glorify the Triune God through prayer, common worship and the daily service which is their acceptable sacrifice (cf. Rom. 12:1). rediscovering this deepest dimension of Christian life and worship. Christians spirituality, or prayed for the gift and action of the Holy Spirit (epiklesis), are now Son. Furthermore churches which have not placed much emphasis on Trinitarian or communities which would have him acting independently of the Father or the they reject any claims about the activity of the Holy Spirit in the lives of individuals more completely conformed to the life of Christ the Son (cf. Rom. 8:29). In turn especially in their worship pray that the Father send his Spirit that they might be Christian when it is Trinitarian. So it is that Christians in their daily life and and praise of the Triune God. So it is that spirituality is only fully and maturely V. Worship and glorification

VI. The Spirit and the prophets

likewise, continue to be confronted by the Spirit through the prophets. In this, hope is given that the Spirit of God will draw both communities together by his continuing activity (Rom. 11:29-32) listen and respond to God's Spirit speaking through these scriptures. Christians the same Spirit who anointed the prophets of Israel and inspired the canonical church insists that God's Spirit who anointed Jesus and is given to his disciples is Hebrew scriptures. The Jewish people have not ceased through the centuries to The Holy Spirit "has spoken through the prophets". In this affirmation the

among Christians which would deny that the God of the prophets is the same God as the Father of Jesus Christ "spoke through the prophets", they thereby rejected any schools of thought Commentary: When the church fathers confessed that the Holy Spiri

other by studying their common expectation of the eschatological Messiah realization, and Christians and Jews might be able to come nearer to each the Messiahship of Jesus may be understood as the beginning of its full as prophesied to both Jews and Christians. who above all would renew the face of the earth. In view of this proclamation the Hebrew prophets announced an eschatological coming of the Messiah attitude of the church towards the people of Jewish faith. It is recognized that In our time many Christians have been led to reconsider the traditiona

definitive way (cf. Acts 3:22-23). and is himself God's anointed prophet upon whom the Holy Spirit rested in 10. Christians believe that Jesus is the fulfilment of Old Testament prophecy

to his church the power of prophecy (cf. Rom. 12:6). Every gift which he gives, his Spirit-filled Christ. prophecy or movement of renewal he inspires, is related to what God has done in Breathing upon his disciples and giving them the Holy Spirit, Jesus transmitted

to the world. "The blood of the martyrs is the seed of the church." Those who by suffering of prophetic witnesses will always be part of the church's life and service charismatic gift of the Spirit edify the church in its worship and service. The word of God in situations of oppression and injustice as well as in those who by the gifts which are expressed in manifold ways, such as in those who proclaim a specific the power of the Holy Spirit remain "faithful unto death" will receive "the crowr Christians still receive prophetic gffts today. Churches must remain open to these

spirits of prophets are subject to prophets" (1 Cor. 14:32; cf. also 14:22). Holy Spirit. The gift of discernment remains to be exercised by believers since "the Not everyone who claims prophetic gifts, however, is necessarily inspired by the

charismatic renewal movements in traditional churches on the other advocated by apostolic and pentecostal churches on the one hand, and the church history unitl the twentieth century, when it has become strongly prophecy was largely a marginalized, though often recurring phenomenon in fathers who wrote the Creed was no longer a living reality. After this time which dealt a heavy blow to charismatic prophecy in the church which for the mas, Irenaeus, a.o.). It was probably the Montanist crisis (2nd century of wondering prophets (Didache), and of prophecy in congregations (Herand prophetesses (1 Cor. 12:28-29; Acts 15:32, 21:9-10). Later history tells occurred. In the New Testament scriptures references are made to prophet Commentary: In the history of the church different forms of prophecy

B. THE ONE HOLY, CATHOLIC AND APOSTOLIC CHURCH

I. The church, local and universal community

consecrated to the witness and service of the gospel in a communion of love. persevere in a life nourished by the word of God and the sacraments, and are adhere to Christ by faith, are baptized for the forgiveness of sins, desire to 1. The church is the community, local and universal, of those people who

the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit". The Father wills it as the people of The church has its origin in the Trinity. It is "the people united by the unity of

> unique communion. his possession; the Son consecrates it as his living body; the Spirit gathers it into a

and the vehicle of the Holy Spirit in the world (Acts 2:1-4). It shares and continues the work of Christ on earth. It is the creation the cross (John 19:25-35), in the resurrection (John 20:19-23) and at Pentecos stone (Eph. 2:20). It was made manifest in the Lord's Supper (Luke 22:7-20), on foundation of the apostles and prophets, Jesus Christ being himself the corner The church was founded by Christ, the word of God. It was built on the

word "church" differently. receiving each other's statements about the church simply because we use the Commentary: We have discovered that we sometimes have difficulties in

Christians of whom the church is composed are people who claim no practically meaningless to speak of a church sinful, imperfect, in need of church, known only by faith, dominates the orientation and renders it with the theological mystery of being-the-church that the perfection of the perfection of their own, then demands a language which permits no stain to change etc. To voice the generally accepted conviction that the individual fall on the church as "church". In the Eastern Christian tradition this concept is so predominantly linked

church as sociological event - in a dialectical language of saint-and-sinner statements of observation-the church as theological mystery, and the thus expressing the tension of faith and observation in one unified con In the Western churches it is more common to link statements of faith and

indicate some wide-ranging difference in the basic direction of ecclesiological disagreement than it immediately seems to, but does it at the same time This difference of language certainly implies less of substantial theologica

II. The church in Trinitarian perspective

a) The church, people of God

at serves God in solidarity with the whole of humankind. the church does not isolate itself from its environment. Rather, in its particularity in Jesus Christ. In bringing the world the glad tidings of salvation in Jesus Christ 2. Throughout scripture, God chooses a special people to serve with and for God to the benefit of all peoples. Precisely this is the vocation given to the church

The New Testament takes up and elaborates the Old Testament concept of a

"chosen generation, a royal priesthood, a holy nation, a peculiar people", in kallad of the old. Both are chosen to serve in their turn as agents of God's will for linking thus the ekklesia of the new covenant with its forerunner and model the called it out of darkness into his marvellous light" (1 Pet. 2:5, 9; cf Ex. 19:6). applying it to the church and its calling to "show forth the praises of him who has humankind as a whole.

of God and who did not. The borders of the church are finally known only to God makes all hidden things visible, will make clear who did really belong to the people people. At the same time it should be borne in mind that only the Last Day, which Through baptism and faith people are incorporated into the church as God's

b) The church, body of Christ

creation, is brought about, and is manifested, by the holy mystery of the body of humankind, between human persons and between humans and the world of passion and resurrection (his bodiliness) for the salvation of the world and recalls (God's people, building, vine, etc.) has its own importance, but particular attention has in the tradition been given to that of the body of Christ (Rom. 12:4f., 1 Cor. The very fruit of salvation: koinonia renewed and re-established between God and the constitutive role of eucharistic presence (his body and blood) in Christian life. him. At the same time it underscores the basic importance of his incarnation, the integral unity of the community of believers, such as it is constituted in and by between the living Lord and all those receiving their living hope from him, and also 12:12-27; Eph. 1:22f.). This image underscores the intimate organic relationship 3. Each of the several images in which the New Testament speaks of the church

presence in its essential salutary importance, Trinity in the world, and the event of the incarnation as the event opening up this Christ by the power of the Holy Spirit. It thus reflects the active presence of the of all the faithful and the diverse ordained ministries are serving God in the body of embodies God's creative word as vehicle of his very presence. The royal priesthood inclusive. Called to serve the Lord through the diversity of its members, the church The church as Christ's living body is local and universal, particular and

c) The church, communion of saints in the Spirit

renewing creation to events expected or foreseen by God's people. At the same time the Spirit acts with divine freedom, and does not restrict divine congregation and equips its members for their service of thanksgiving and praise guidance given by this word to God's people. The Spirit brings forth God's connected with divine promise and thus with the word of God and with the underscored as God's creative power, bringing forth new life, in the world but also in the community of worship and adoration. The work of the Spirit is intimately New Testament as well as in the Old Testament the Spirit of God is particularly places in one fellowship of prayer, praise, and sharing of suffering and joy. In the 4. The church as the koinonia of saints unites the faithful of every age and of al

obliges the church in its totality to participation with them in concern and in of his body, that is, the church" (Col. 1:24). Their suffering with and for the church who in their sufferings "complete what is lacking in Christ's afflictions for the sake All ages, including our own, contribute to the hosts of witnesses and martyrs

legitimate expressions of mutually complementary vocations to underline the first, others more the second aspect may partly be seen as necessary. That in the course of time some churches have been more inclined historically justifiable, but - in the full context of Christian faith - simply word and to the holy sacraments (including-eventually-certain reguconsisting of holy persons". The former would obviously refer to the divine spectively: "a communion sustained by holy gifts", or: "a communior can be either neutrum or masculinum. The meanings would then be, recan be understood and has been understood in different ways. "Sanctorum" lations necessary to secure their operation). Both meanings may be not only Commentary: The concept of the Apostolic Creed "communio sanctorum

> ted with the worship of saints was justified and necessary, a way needs to be on the other side, that no one should be excused from pursuing sanctification confidence in the degree of observable holiness obtained by him- or herself, concept and in the genuine liturgical inclusion of the "saints" ivity of the mystery of Christ such as it is basically reflected in the authentic found for the whole oikoumene to jointly explore and reconquer the inclusof the historical criticism of misunderstandings and abuse frequently connectoday to be widespread agreement between the traditions that even if much centuries been important topics of ecumenical controversy. There seems of sainthood in Christian worship in "catholic" traditions, have during the designation of certain Christians as "saints", and the conscious integration by the general givenness of sanctity in the wider Christian fellowship. The decisive importance, on the one side, that no Christian should put hope or different degree by different Christians. It has generally been seen as being of faith in Christ, and on holiness as the fruit of sanctification, obtained to a respectively holiness as a corporate quality in which all Christians share by As to the sanctity of persons, there has been a different stress laid on

III. The church as eucharistic, transforming and eschatological community

ing - the basic calling of which is to worship the Triune God. In listening to the themselves, but on behalf of all humankind and of the whole of creation. is called to offer the prayer, praise and worship of Christians, not only on behalf of word of God and in celebrating the sacraments according to Christ's institution, it The church is the eucharistic community—receiving, sharing and thanksgiv-

and all-restoring kingdom of God. so remain until the end of times, when it is consumed by the all-comprehending contemplative and active, being served and serving, receiving and giving, and will tional aspirations, and thus for the unity of "spiritual" and "material" service, of "sletiourgia" and "diakonia". The worshipping community is at the same time comprehensive understanding of the ultimate relatedness of all authentic creapresence (the body of Christ given for and given to humankind/the church representing humankind to the body of Christ). At the same time it opens up for a with the uniqueness of incarnation in the permanent actuality of sacramental 6. The church is the testimony of God's active and transforming presence in the This eucharistic vision of being-the-church unites the universality of creation

the good seed of the word, but also to look after the seed which Christ, the universal Lord, has already sown abundantly all over the earth—working and final matureness in the kingdom by the light of the gospel and the nourishment of human values, fruits of the hidden action of God, in the hope to bring them to the world, all truth, all beauty and all goodness. It encourages the growth of these resources in all the signs of the caring work of the Creator which it encounters in the praying for this seed to grow by the radiance of the light of the Holy Spirit. It world. As the vehicle of the word of God on earth it does not exist for itself, but for the full transfiguration of the Creator's "new creation" praise of God in the thanksgiving of the eucharist, in order for it to be restored in the sacraments. It is the total richness of creation which the church offers to the security, but a servant people spread throughout the world, sent not only to sow he world. It is not a fortress in which people can enclose themselves for a life in

nounces. As such it is called to a life in sanctifying transformation. At the same 7. The church is the prefiguration of the kingdom which it expects and an-

of Christ, its Lord, an expectancy which it expresses most vigorously in its liturgy coming kingdom which it proclaims in word and deed. It awaits the glorious return It cannot be subject to the realities of this world, as it is oriented towards the aware of its true identity as a reality transcending all merely sensible observation. time as it is conscious of being here on earth a communion of sinful beings, it is poverty into the final fullness of perfection powerless church, totally dependent upon its Master, who alone can turn its of detachment and freedom. The church as a servant church is a poor and The church can have no other attitude towards human power and wealth than that

IV. The one, holy, catholic and apostolic church

communion in the Holy Spirit with all the local churches of God irrespective of its faithfulness to the word of God, its link with the Lord, Head of the body, and its 8. There is only one holy church and it is catholic and apostolic in each place by

churches in their valid diversities so that all the baptized, confessing the same faith, unity does not imply uniformity, but an organic bond of unity between all the local can be fully accomplished only in one common celebration of the eucharist. This a) There is but one church in the diversity of the local churches. All the baptized are incorporated into a single body, called to witness to their one and only Saviour. the sign of their unity in the body of Christ (conciliarity-reconciled diversity) are able to share together in the same sacraments, in particular the same eucharist, of the ancient church and common prayer point towards this visible unity which faith and the sacramental life. The one baptism, the one holy scripture, the Creeds The unity of all Christians has to show itself visibly in the unity of the fundamental

salvation of humankind. church's history, Christ preserves the essence of its being and service for the people: the gates of hell will not prevail against it. Even at the darkest times in the ministry. The holiness of the church signifies the faithfulness of God towards his ministry of word and sacraments, and the imperfect persons who exercise that holy being forgiven. We must make a distinction in the church between the holy also a community of sinners, but sinners who have been and who are constantly It is holy because of the holy words it proclaims and the holy acts it performs, and God who is holy and who sanctifies it by the good deposit of word and sacraments c) Christ, full of grace and truth, is already present on earth in the church b) The Holy Spirit dwells in the holy church. This church has been set apart by

and salvation, and there, in all ages, it makes people participants of his life and there the church is present through the power of the Spirit as the fullness of truth participation of our entire being and the whole creation to the glory of God being is enlisted for the worship and the service of God; this fullness can be seen in nationalism and particular traditions to their proper perspective. The fullness of the universal church is a fullness of life; in the life of the church the whole human life of all peoples in space and time. This fullness of the universal church limits fullness is gathered and expressed in great diversity through the Christian spiritua identity, the fullness of truth and of judgment. The being of the church in its catholic. It is only in the whole (katholike) that the local church finds its true salvation, irrespective of sex, race or position. "Where Jesus Christ is, there too is the Church catholic." Where Jesus Christ is Christian liturgy as well as in Christian daily life, both of which demand the

d) The church is apostolic:

-in that it recognizes its fundamental identity with the church of Christ's apostles, as presented in the New Testament;

the Creeds; Tradition, guided by the Holy Spirit throughout the centuries, and expressed in in its faithfulness to the word of God lived out and understood in the apostolic

apostles; by its celebration of the sacraments instituted by Christ and practised by the

of Christ; by the continuity of its ministry, initially taken up by the apostles, in the service

again in glory. cease to proclaim the gospel to the whole of humankind until Christ comes being a missionary church which, following the example of the apostles, will not

Commentary: (A commentary on apostolic succession is to be drafted, see "Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry".)

AND THE LIFE OF THE WORLD TO COM C. THE RESURRECTION OF THE BODY

I. The church as a community of hope

final kingdom that is yet to come. It looks for its own and the world's fulfilment (cf. Edinburgh, 1937). The church awaits the fulfilment of the reality of reconcilibecause the church participates now in the future God has prepared for all creatures. In the church the reign of Christ is present in the world. Thus, it is a sign of God's future for the renewal of humanity. The church also looks forward to the 1. The church is a "communion of hope" (Bangalore 1978's) in the midst of a world that sees death and catastrophe in its future. This hope is not arbitrary, of faithfulness to his entire creation. (By "the world" is here meant the entirety of and sacraments. God's creation, both within and beyond the community gathered around the word foremost, however, a hope for the world and a trust in God's redemptive promise ation already at the centre of its life through the Spirit. The church's hope is

Jesus Christ - the hope of the world

hope. Yet, it is a confident hope, because it rests on the powerful promise of God Spirit poured out by the Risen Christ is the seal of our hope. Our hope is a hope for enters our lives, lifting them out of death and into communion with God. The Jesus is the realization and manifestation of the new humanity. In Jesus, life eternal what is beyond human capacities and expectations (Heb. 11:1), a hope against thure he intends for the world through the Spirit. As first-born from the dead, 2. In Jesus Christ, his life and work, death and resurrection, God manifests the

HI. The oneness and wholeness of Christian hope

intertwined, yet can be distinguished in order to affirm Christian hope in its social individual and cosmic dimensions. and the new heaven and earth. These aspects of our hope are inseparably hope is expressed in the biblical witness to the kingdom of God, the resurrection, called to the one hope that belongs to your call" (Eph. 4:4). This one Christian 3. The church has one hope; "there is one body and one Spirit, just as you were

a) Kingdom of God

4. The kingdom of God is the sovereign ratin of God through his Son Jesus Christ in the Spirit. Inder God's sovereign ratin the forces of evil, sin, and death the principalities and powers of the age (1 Cor. 15:24f; Col. 2:15) are overcome through the cross and resurrection (Phil 22-11). Our sins are forgiven and we are freed from fear of the forces of evil. While the sovereign ratin of God is a lordship of power, it is the reign of the cross. The sovereignty of the kingdom is manifest in the lowly service of Jesus and is not a lordship of domination. The Kingdom of God is the infillment of the prophecy to Israel (Isa. 11:1-11; Micah 4:3) of the stablishment of justice, righteousness and peace, God's will done on earth as in heaven. This kingdom is the kolmenta of humanity, the consummation of the communition of the saints. In this kindoms als landstors will come to the feast of the communition of the saints. In this kindoms also almost swill come to the feast of the communition of the saints. In this kindoms also almost swill come to the feast of the communities of the saints. In this kindoms also almost swill come to the feast of the communities of the saints. In this kindoms also almost swill come to the feast of the communities of the saints. In this kindoms also almost swill come to the feast of the communities of the saints. In this kindoms also almost swill come to the feast of the communities of the saints.

.

b) Resurrection
5. The forces of death are present throughout life. Death invades and destroys
5. The forces of death are present throughout life. Death invades and sets to separate us from our brothers and sisters and from God. Death is conquered in the cross and resurrection of Christ. In baptism (Rom. 6.3ff.) and throughout the Christian life, we participate in Christ's death and resurrection and receive his life-giving Spirit. We rise into the fullness of life God promises to his reservers.

6. The focus of our hope for life with God beyond death according to the New Testament (1 Thess. 4:13–18, Mart. 25:31ff.; 1 Cor. 15:3ff) and the Creed is the resurrection of the dead. We also affirm that those who have died with Christ now live in Christ.

Commentary: The status of the dead between death and the final resurretion has been understood in various ways. The idea of the immortality of the soul, important for much of Christian history, has been both affirmed and denied in recent years. Different beliefs about the status of the dead and their relation to the living lead to divergent beliefs and practices in relation to prayers for the dead, prayers by the dead, the invocation of the saints, and a purgational period after death.

7. Resurrection involves an encounter with the living God and his plagment of good and evil within personal and command life. God creates an accountage so the manning and will be faithful to his creation; all will be called to account in God's still open judgment. We affirm that it is not the eternal will of God to condenn and destroy the world he has created. In giving his Son he wants his world to be saved through his Son (both 3-17). The universal saving intention of God (1 Tim. 2) trackons with an outer darkness and the possibility of being east into it. Such a possibility will never be based on a lack of God's will to save.

Commutury: White some have defended universal salvation, most Christian thought has assumed that many will be lost to salvation and condemend. Apocatastasis or the assured salvation of all creatures has been rejected by official doctrinal statements of all churches except those who are demoninationally "universalist". Nevertheless, prominent, voices have recently suggested that only the possibility that some will be condemned need to extragate the contragate of the co

The judgment of God is passed through his Son, in unity with his Spirit and his church. "Chird will, come as the revelation of ruth and rightconeases. The ultimate judgment of the world is his, our assurance that the murderer will never ultimately triumph over the victim" (Bangalore*). Our judge is our Saviour, we are judged by the God who justifies.

c) New heaven and earth

8. We will rise in our full humanity, not as disembodied spirits. What was sown in perishability will rise imperhabiled (I Cor. 15:2). While creation will be transformed in ways that are still a mystery, no essential aspect of creation will be lost. In Christ Gode sets for this "plan for the fullness of irms, to unite all things in him, things in heaven and things on earth? (fligh. 1:10). Not only heavenly but also attriby things, the wholeness of creation, will find a place in the kingstom of God, arithly things in the oederation of God. In the new heaven and new earth (Isa. 65:17, Rw. 21:1), the communitation of reaching, in perfect optimess one to another, will praise God face to face (I Cor. 13:13), Cod will be all in transformed in the abiding reality of God's love (I Cor. 13:13). God will be all in all (I Cor. 15:28).

N. Living act our hope
9. In our hope we can live with confidence and trust in the promises of God in
9. In our hope we can live with confidence and trust in the promises of a world that seems closed in its future. Because the plant future is in the state hands of God, we need not be anxious for convorted (Our faith) are sure hands of God, we need not be anxious for convorted (Our faith) by the sure of the property of the pro

of the worth.

10. Affirming our hope for this world, we deny any escape from this world and its problems, either by losing ourselves in the accumulation of things or by an

otherworldly flight away from the neighbour's concrete needs.

— Affirming our trust in the future God has prepared for us, we deny any attempt to secure our future at the expense of the world, especially through the threat of

mass destruction.

Affirming the presence of the yet future kingdom, we deny any understanding of God's coming kingdom which either separates the kingdom from this world and God's coming kingdom which either separates the kingdom from this world and sparage of the kingdom with any historical reality or any

human action, not even any present occlesiastical structure.

Affirming God's faithfulness to his entire creation, we deny any impoverishment of our hope which binds us to the wholeness of God's redemption of society, of individuals, or of all creation.

Affirming that Jesus with his Spirit and church is God's word by which all is explained and judged, we deny that the powers that seem to rule history will finally determine its meaning and destiny.

In the face of a secularism which does not look beyond itself to God, our hope, from within this world while looking to the world to come, renews itself through worship, prayer, and liturgy.

114 One God, One Lord, One Spirit

11. In the face of despair over the world, our hope refuses to acquiesce in things

In face of growing hopelessness, our hope will declare no situation or person

In the face of oppression, our hope affirms that oppression will not remain

within our capacity, but remains in the power of God's surprising initiative. programmes, our hope affirms that the advent of the kingdom of God is not In the face of the use of the language of Christian hope to justify politica

hope affirms that Christ suffers with all who are hopeless. In the face of unbearable pain, incurable disease, and irreversible handicap, our

the Spirit opens to us ever new ways of being signs to the world of the comin In the face of our failure to live out our hope in the world, our hope affirms that

Amen. Come, Lord Jesus" (Rev. 22:20). age to age. "He who testifies to these things, says, 'surely I am coming soon' his hand. All that we hope for will come from his blessing. To God be glory from 12. In God alone is our trust. All that we have received, we have received from

 Cf. "The Flioque Clause in Ecumenical Perspective: Klingenthal Memorandum 1979" in "Apostolic Faith Today: a Handbook for Study", H.-G. Link ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 124, Geneva, WCC, 1985, pp.231ff.
Cf. "Our Credo — Source of Hope. Declaration by Participants of the Third European

Ecumenical Encounter, 1984", in "Apostolic Faith Today", op. cit., pp.188ff

Cyprian, De Orat. Dom. 23. Cf. "A Common Account of Hope: Final Document. V: The Church: a Communion of Ignatius of Antioch, Ad Smyrn. 8,12.

Hope", in "Apostolic Faith Today", op. cit., pp.88f.

Cf. "The Church of Christ and the World of God, V. The Church and the Kingdom of God", in The Second World Conference on Faith and Order, L. Hodgson ed., London

In "Apostolic Faith Today", op. cit., p.87 1938, pp.232f

Ibid., p.211.

Ibid., "Hope as the Invitation to Risk", pp.90ff.

The Prophetic Spirit, the Church

of the Creed as a Community and Living Our Hope Ecumenical Aspects of the Third Article

HANS-GEORG LINK

I. The Spirit who has spoken through the prophets

- Approaches
- Jürgen Moltmann's interpretation
- From the Chantilly discussion
- The first draft "explication"
- 5 Additions
- On the Berlin/Potsdam "explication" From the Stavanger discussion

II. Communion in the one body of Christ: the church

A Roman Catholic, a Lutheran and a Baptist view

Approaches

- From the Chantilly discussion
- Additions The first draft "explication"
- 7. On the Berlin/Potsdam "explication" From the Stavanger discussion

III. Living our hope

- Contemporary standpoints . Approaches
- From the Chantilly discussion
- The first draft "explication" Additions

7. On the Berlin/Potsdam "explication"

From the Stavanger discussion

now as the body of Christ are involved. Alongside the "marks of the church" one, holy, catholic and apostolic church. Both its foundation then and its form in it the theme which belongs peculiarly to the oikoumene is under discussion: the which constitutes the special ecumenical relevance of this article of the Creed. For Pentecost to the parousia, is included in this all-embracing perspective. It is this from the Father to the life of the world to come. The "age of the church", from The third article of the Creed covers a wide range from the Spirit's procession

even canonical solution to the filioque problem. Son") on the procession of the Spirit from the Father. Although theologians of Western church traditions: the Western addition of the filioque clause ("and the (notae ecclesiae) ethical standpoints are also in evidence few years,1 it is still not possible to speak of a theological, liturgical, spiritual or both traditions have come considerably closer to each other's position in the last Also related to the third article is the "classical" conflict between Eastern and

discussion to the "explication" as it now stands. and points of view, to give an outline picture of the road travelled from the initia Christian hope, and in this age of increasing despair, this is of special importance For each main theme I shall select one aspect from the multitude of problems Finally, the third article offers a pointer to the basis, content and aim of

I. The Spirit who has spoken through the prophets

epiklesis of the Spirit and generally in the Trimitarian spirituality of the East, which debate on the source of the Spirit. The fourth emphasis is on the Spirit's equality o this is where the East-West conflict in pneumatology reached its sad climax in the on the feminine element in the Trinity are today associated with these, and also Spirit is represented as the giver, or, as it were, the mother, of life; many reflections is at present being rediscovered by many churches in the West. rank, doxologically, with the Father and the Son; this is reflected in liturgical direct procession of the Spirit from the Father without the mediation of the Son. with Hebraic feminine representations of the Spirit. Stress is laid thirdly on the ity of the Spirit as the third Person of the Trinity is to be understood. Next, the personal quality, of the Spirit; this nowadays raises the question how the personal Holy Spirit is mentioned. The first item stressed is the lordship, and thus the briefly the fact of the Holy Spirit, the Nicene Creed2 has much to say as soon as the In comparison with the Apostles' Creed, which does no more than mention very

relation, on the one hand to the prophets, the Old Testament as a whole and the on the relation of Christians and Jews. Here the reference was to the Christian important for our understanding of modern prophetic awakenings in the Christian has ecumenically had least work done on it and is at the same time specially Christian confession of the Holy Spirit because it concerns one of the fields which prophetic manifestations in the church. I have chosen this last aspect of the past and present of Judaism, and on the other to the relation to present-day come to the 1948 declaration of the Dutch Hervormde Kerk (Reformed Church) explicit, positive reference to the Old Testament in a Christian confession till we more important since for more than fifteen hundred years it remained the sole spoke through the prophets". This pronouncement of the Nicene Creed is all the Finally, the Creed confesses the identity of the Holy Spirit with the Spirit "who

> addresses on the Holy Spirit. In it he made the following comments on the Spirit, 2. Jürgen Moltmann's interpretation "who has spoken through the prophets": Jürgen Moltmann, a Reformed theologian from Tübingen, gave one of the two

According to the self-understanding of the Old Testament prophetical writings, the prophets proclaim the word of the Lord in the Spirit of the Lord and the had already spoken through the prophets to Israel. And if he has spoken through the conversely, it means that the Spirit in whom Christ came and whom he sends to us, to us and whom he sends to us. This is what the Nicene clause affirms. But, Spirit who speaks to us through Jesus Christ (Luke 4:18ff.), in whom Christ has come Spirit of the Lord leads them to bear witness to the word of the Lord. It is the same Spirit with Judaism and to recognition of the people of God which today listens to the Holy Spirit.—the "Lord"—who has spoken through its prophets. statement of the Nicene Creed brings Christianity into the community of the Holy back Israel and the prophets into the pre-history of the church and put the church in the place of Israel in God's salvation history. The case is, rather, that the brief Israel into a pluperfect and say: "who had spoken through the prophets" so as to put to change the perfect tense of this speech of the Holy Spirit through the prophets of spoken through the prophets and continues to speak through them. It is not possible the churches, Judaism beside Christianity is the other form of the Holy Spirit who has prophets to Israel beside and outside the Christian church. In this respect Israel beside prophets to Israel, then he must also continue to speak through the writings of these Christians in the dawning messianic age. If, however, he first spoke through Israel's preserving, believing and taking seriously the words of the prophetical writings. The Israel, but also applies to that Israel beside the church which hears the prophets by prophets to Israel, this cannot be restricted to the "Old Testament" and pre-Christian Spirit who has spoken through the prophets" spoke first to Israel before speaking to

Thus for Moltmann there are three paramount aspects:

-) one and the same Spirit of God spoke out of the mouths of the prophets and of Jesus of Nazareth;
- 2) the Spirit spoke to Israel through the prophets before Christianity and continues to speak right up to the present to the Israel that hearkens to the prophets.
- it is therefore not possible for the church to make itself a substitute for Israel community with the Jews as another manifestation of the Holy Spirit. rather, the church is brought by this pronouncement of the Nicene Creed into alongside the church

3. From the Chantilly discussion

contrast to Reformed covenant theology. The differing treatments of the theme out something of the different attitude of the Orthodox tradition to Judaism, in give the follow-up address to Moltmann's. While both addresses had common Orthodox Christian, Frieda Håddad shared the experience of the bombardment of went also to indicate the very different situations of these two Christian theolomake through the prophets. This silence of hers is extremely telling, for it brings Spirit, there is not a single comment in Frieda Haddad's remarks on the Spirit who manew, dependable basis for the relation between the church and Judaism. As an gound in their reflections on the femininity and Trinitarian personality of the In Chantilly there were five aspects that had a part to play.

a) Frieda Haddad, the Orthodox theologian from Beirut, had undertaken to mae. Moltmann is trying as a German theologian living in West Germany to arrive

something of that special salvation history character in relation to the church. who are suffering from Israeli occupation to recognize in the Israel of today salvation history, it is correspondingly difficult for Christians in the Middle East German theologian has to point to the enduring significance of Judaism for background, regarding the Spirit who spoke through Israel's prophets. If today a role of victims to Israeli acts of aggression she can clearly say nothing, against that Beirut by Israeli forces in 1983/4; seeing herself and her Muslim neighbours in the

normative for their own preaching and living. other writings of the Old Testament, and hence the acknowledgment of these as them this included recognition of the divine inspiration of the prophetic and all identity of the Spirit of God in the prophets of Israel and in Jesus of Nazareth. For church, right up to the attempts in the (German) Third Reich to "dejudaize" the moreover has at various times brought one disaster after another on the Christian acquired and experienced independently of, or in opposition to, the Old Testament rejected a view of the Spirit, and of Christian existence in the Spirit as something Chantilly the stress was placed on two aspects. On the one hand, it was said, they Bible and the Christian faith. On the other hand, the Nicene fathers confessed the or at its expense; that is, they rejected Marcion's second century position, which b) What did the Nicene fathers have in mind with their credal statement? In

and confronts the established churches with difficult problems. critical attitude towards institutionalism, can be found in almost every continen being, first and foremost in the third world, and the charismatic movement, with it in the importance of prophetic movements. Pentecostalist churches have come into wing of the Reformation. Not till the twentieth century has there been a resurgence again caught public attention, as in the instances of Joachim of Fiore and the lef ecy was increasingly ousted from its place in the official church, although at times it ministry. At Chantilly, attention was specifically focused on the point that prophthe first time on a broad front, and was decided in favour of the authority of the between personal charism and institutionalized ministry was argued out here for second and third centuries into a full-blown ecclesiastical crisis. The conflic its first climax with the charismatic movement of Montanus which developed in the expounded the will of God to Christian congregations. Christian prophecy reached beginnings, there were wandering prophets who went from place to place and which every New Testament community is supposed to strive. In the church's standing in the prophetic tradition. Prophecy is one of the gifts of the Spirit, for prophet; and in the New Testament Jesus of Nazareth is likewise seen, in part, as sequence begins with the appearance of John the Baptist as a new, eschatological c) In the history of Christianity there were various forms of prophecy. The

itself, discerning the spirits is essential. While the Spirit is not to be quenched, it themes. As regards these elements and other forms in which prophecy manifests dramatic effect. Justice and peace are undoubtedly among the classical prophetic charismatic to the ecstatic and are at times overvalued and very liable to misuse for of the Spirit, than to accompanying manifestations, which may range from the greater significance attaches to the prophetic message of which the content is a gift oritatively God's will to God's people in a particular situation. For this reason, primarily, it was said at Chantilly, the task of a prophet is to expound authand likewise at the church can equally be indicators of a prophetic Spirit. But of the Spirit. Critical questionings directed at Israel's worship and social structure King Saul there has been a dynamic and even ecstatic element in prophecy as a gift d) This leads us to the question of the content of prophetic gifts. Since the days o

> coming Messiah which they have in common, in the light of the promises given to was nevertheless a good deal of agreement that one of the best ways of achieving God can be perceived only within the Christian church, or also outside it. There questions heatedly debated at Chantilly was whether the activity of the Spirit of with the identity of the Spirit of God in Jewish and Christian prophets. One of the It ties up both with overdue Christian reflection on the faith's Jewish roots and a new definition of the relation of Christians and Jews is needed; not just as a important of today's ecumenical tasks is to find criteria for discerning the spirits. must also be kept in mind that not every spirit is of God. One of the most rapprochement between Jews and Christians is to look at the expectation of the special German act of reparation but rather as a thorough-going ecumenical task e) Following the catastrophe which befell the Jews during the second world war,

both in the Hebrew scriptures.

credal statement under the sub-heading "The Spirit and the Prophets". This 4. The first draft explication discussion in two sections. The contents are thus structured as follows: initial draft explication groups the viewpoints brought together in the Chantilly the general heading "The Holy Spirit" paragraphs 9 and 10 discuss the relevant theme of the Holy Spirit generally follows the themes set forth in the Creed. Under The first draft worked out at Chantilly for an ecumenical explication on the

I. Theme: The Spirit and the Jewish people (Para. 9 with commentary)

- I heses: "Through the centuries" the Jewish people have heard and The same spirit was at work in the prophets of Israel and in Jesus of Nazareth.
- 3. There is hope that the continuing working of the Spirit wil responded to the Spirit.
- Commentary: 1. The church fathers rejected the separation of the God of the prophets from the Father of Jesus Christ. bring Jewish and Christian communities closer to each other
- Jews and Christians share the expectation of an eschatologica people is currently being reconsidered. The traditional attitude of the church towards the Jewish
- Theme: The Spirit and the Christian church (Para. 10 with commentary

Theses The Holy Spirit rested on God's anointed prophet Jesus in a

- Today too Christians receive prophetic gifts and bear prophetic Jesus transmitted the power of prophecy to his church definitive way
- Believers must practise discerning the spirits. witness.
- Commentary: 1. In church history there were various forms of prophecy. In the twentieth century the phenomenon of prophecy has appeared in the pentecostalist churches and the charismatic movement

ation and exegetical and historical support. As regards the Spirit that spoke new section with biblical and historical references which provided the interpreta) The Geneva revision of April 1985 added to the Chantilly explication a whole

through the prophets there were some additions dealing with the experience of the first Christian communities in relation to the working of the Spirit in the Old Covenant:

4. The first Christian generation actroweleged that the Spirit who was with Christ during his ministry from baptism on (cf. Matt. 3:16,4:1; Luke 3:12,4:1,4:14:ec.) and who was given by the risen Lord was already at work in the Old Covenant: speaking through the prophets, anomining the kings of the people, inspiring the prayers of the facts of

5. It is also clear from the New Testament witness that the same Spirit of God who was calling the people of God into one community was also the one who after the resurrection of Christ made of all the believers the body of Christ, a holy temple, the family of God.

6. The way in which the first Christian communities understood and proclaimed the event of pentecost shows that for them the Spirit poured out on them was the Spirit of the eschatological times already announced by the prophets of the Old Textoneout

7. While taking up in this way the Old Testament witness to the Spirit of God, the primitive detunct nealized, however, in the light of its disht in lesus Craits that the Spirit of Jahwe was not only an impresonal power active in listory, but also has personal features. In analogy to their understanding of the word of God (ogo) as the person of the logor made then in desure. Craits, they concissed that the Holy Spirit, together with the Father and the Son, is active as a person in the coonomy of salvation, (III, A.1).

b) The Crêt-Bérard draft of Inne 1985 expands the explication of the criterion for discerning the spirits with this sentence: "The confession of Jesus Christ, according to the Apostle Paul, serves as a criterion of distinguishing the spirit of God from other prophetic spirits (1 Cor. 12:3)" (III, A.III, 25).

6. From the Stavanger discussion

In Stavanger the discussion on the Spirit who spoke through the prophets focused on two species: the Christian relation to the Jews and prophetic or charismatic phenomena today.

a) On Christian-Jewish relations study group 3 remarks that

Originally the claim that the Spirit spoke through the prophets was inserted to combat Marcion, and we need to make reference to commonary forms of Marcionism. The reference to the prophets allows for comment on the relationship between Christianity and Judaism, but the discussion as it stands is insubpatue, since it in mittally implies a triumphalasic Judaism ("The Jewish people have not censed ... to listen and respond to Good's Spirit... "J, and since it last traces the commonality of instant and respond to Good's Spirit... "J, and since it last traces the commonality of white stands and dress to their shured Messaline expectations. Certainly many Jews which is the prophets unknowned on seets Messaline expectation. Messaline and next of contemporary Judaism does not stress Messaline expectation. The Messaline and next of contemporary Judaism does not stress Messaline expectation.

responsible for relations with the Jews, made the following four proposals:

 The Old Testament is inspired by the Spirit in the same sense and with the same consequences as the New. Therefore, it belongs with equal right to the faith and the worship of the church.
 All unfavourable comparisons with the Jewish religion should be explicitly

rejected as if the Christian faith were the "cult in Spirit" and Judaism "the worship in the flesh" or mere "subservience to the Law". The Spirit of God has not certainly

handoned the Jawish people, but slowly and mysteriously guides it through its final consummation. In such context, the present reavest relationships should be some a J. I would tune down the reference to the Messide here and insist more on the J. I would consume the service of the manadrial and the work for the transformation of the world).

4. The origin of the church in the Jewish matrix and therefore the continuity in the plan of God should be mentioned, this being the real theological basis for the new relationship.

b) On modern manifestations of prophecy, Harace Russell, the Baptist theologian from Jamaics, first made the general point that prophecy also plays an important part in Islam and African religious traditions too, and that within Christianity as a whole the charismatic movements merit greater attention in the discussion on the whole the charismatic movements merit greater attention in the discussion on the Holy Spritt. Dy Yeav Choo Luk from the Presbyterian church in Singapore ther made the following detailed comments on this:

Both the original text and the report of Group II.3 have not paid sufficient attention to the implications of the numerous charkmatic renewal taking place all over the world. Because of this, many from our supporting churches deeply involves with charkmatic renewals will be forced by us to adopt the Hodd-you-so position with charkmatic renewals will be forced by us to adopt the Hodd-you-so position with charkmatic renewals will be forced by us to adopt the Hodd-you-so position with the search a burned or Hotherians with held knowledge only.

win claminiant tenewas with the control of the cont

Many of our congregations are asking for help as many of our passions are the challenges and implications of chairsmatic renwals. For example, they are being compelled to re-examine spiritual gifts and how those charismata are being exercised compelled to re-examine spiritual gifts and how those charismata are being exercised in the congregation. Their seminaries have not prepared them for this task, in the congregation. Their seminaries have not prepared them for this task.

There is a dire need for our Faith and Order Commission to address itself to a spiritual phenomenon taking place in many of our congregations. This will, at least indirectly, make our material relevant to those involved in charismatic renewal and useful to pastors fooking for help in this area.

It appears that many third-world churches are confronted by charismatic and prophetic movements more directly than in the first and second worlds. In this context the report of the study group demands that "the criteria for distinguishing God's Spirit from other spirits should be set forth more fully" than has so fur impremed in the explication.

7. On the Berlin/Potsdam explication

3) As regards the relations between the church and the Lens, it is now said of the ament that it is "incoming the people of God in the Old Testament" and it is the same time the People of God of the New Covenant" (III, A.H. 21). While at this fundamental point there is a faller reference to the relation between lews and Christians, the recommendation at the end of the commentary is at the same time times general and more cautions in its working. "Christians and lews might be able to come nearer to each other by studying their respective exchaological expectors, and the properties."

by The "entrimulir renewal" is now explicitly mentioned among the gifts of problems and the topist of them its section comer into the broad stream of the pits of the Spirit (para, 23). At the same time an explanation is added the pits of the Spirit (para, 23). At the same time an explanation is added the control of t

step forward that in this explication the church and the Jews have been brought become evident as the discussion proceeds. At all events it is a great ecumenica ecumenical insight will contribute in increasing measure to overcoming all forms of Spirit speaking through these scriptures" (III, A.II,21). It is to be hoped that this through the centuries on the basis of their tradition to listen and respond to God's into a positive relation with each other. "The Jewish people have continued gifts of the Spirit and the criteria for discerning these from other spirits will have to Christian anti-Jewish attitudes Whether what has so far been said is adequate on the important problem of the

II. Community in the one body of Christ: the church

not have as its purpose only the sanctification of its members, but aims even more and a credible commitment of the churches in today's world dimension with cultural diversity? Apostolicity presupposes firm links with origins church, confronted as we are with many kinds of provincialism, and link that are we to regain today, especially in Protestantism, the catholic dimension of the at the display of signs of renewal and solidarity in a worldwide community. How into many confessions. In the ecumenical context the holiness of the church does give expression to the unity of the church over against the fact that it is torn apart breadth and not confessional narrowness. Connected with this is the challenge to Consequently the four marks of the church should be understood with ecumenical the Spirit but rather participates in the worldwide creative movement of the Spirit the Spirit. As an institution it has no life of its own independent of the working of The Creed refers to the one, holy, catholic and apostolic church as a creation o

diversity. Finally, the body of Christ as the fundemental model for the church has a has to be an explication of catholicity which avoids the hierarchical dangers of but will rather be the expression of a live fellowship or community. Here too there understanding of a unity which will not become a sterile, monotonous uniformity ecclesiology. Here it must be discovered whether we can develop an ecumenical positions; it plays a key role in the development of a common ecumenical the body of Christ has proved particularly helpful in linking together the various comprehensive ecclesiology is needed. In recent ecumenical discussion the model of sacramental and an ethical dimension too. Roman Catholicism and instead of this displays ecumenical breadth in cultural To make these four marks of the church effective again in the ecumenical age, a

A Roman Catholic, a Lutheran and a Baptist view

part Tillard develops his view of the church as the body of Christ God's gospel, (2) communion in the body of Christ. At the beginning of the second Chantilly: "God's Church in God's Plan". It has two parts: (1) God's church and a) The Canadian Dominican Jean Tillard gave the main theological address at

comes from the fact that all, which embraces each individual with his or her own body is not formed by the sum of the individual members; rather, it assumes into itsel unique qualities, are caught up in the one indivisible body of Christ the Lord. The "communion with the body of Christ" (10:16-17). The inner unity of the community discernment of that body (1 Cor. 11:17-34)? Because the bread that is broken is especially when it extends so far as to be displayed at the Lord's table, a lack of Why are Christians the one single body of Christ? Why is their lack of solidarity,

> conjunction of singularity and communion, in which the members retain their another-the function which saves them-takes place within the embrace of the individuality and yet at the same time are attentive to and concerned for one their multiplicity, and the Spirit of his Lordship welds them together in komonia. The body "of peace", "of unity", "of reconciliation", "of charity".

body of Christ. It places at the heart of its meditation on the church, whose Head is crucified body of Jesus, his "body of flesh" (Col. 1:26; cf. 2:11), perishable and mortal, becomes the place of reconciliation (Col. 1:22) because forgiveness has been destroyed the wall of separation, the hatred . . . His desire was to reconcile Jews and Christ, the Ruler of the whole universe (Eph. 1:20-23), the declaration that hatred, ecclesiastical tensions, is the key document with regard to this unitive function of the burden of salvation are revealed on this body given up to death for the sake of the accomplishment of God's gracious plan (the "mystery"), which had as its aim the Gentiles in one body by means of the cross: there he killed hatred" (2:13-16). The the blood of the cross: "You who once were far off have been brought near in the division, separation and alienation have been destroyed, slain, reduced to nothing by obtained through the bloodshed and murder of the cross (Eph. 1:7). The imprint and blood of Christ . . . What was divided he has brought into unity: in his flesh he has The letter to the Ephesians, which was probably written within the context of intra

Therefore, Christ's own personal body, in which the drama of pardon and reconciliation were lived out, is in truth the "meeting-point" of the new humanity, the of the Lord of glory. of the ecclesial body in the risen body is no more than the obverse of a fundamenta who has been glorified by the Spirit. The two cannot be separated. Yet this inclusion (1:23), "that without which Christ would not be complete, but with which he is complete" (J. A. Robinson). In the sense expressed by Paul in his letters to the and of chief. Thus, held fast in him, the ecclesial body appears as the fullness of Christ its head in the twofold sense of source of life (as in the medical theory of Hippocrates) Thus the resurrection of this body of flesh in a body of glory scals, in the power of the concrete expression of the nature of this "mystery", the blocs or factions of broker present, already complete in its very essence. In an admirable word which provides a specific place where koinonia (described by Paul as a body) becomes constituted and reunification of the entire universe. dependence: the Spirit who makes the former into koinonia comes to it from this body Corinthians and to the Romans, the body exists only in the body of the crucified One The ecclesial body springs to life in and with the risen body of the Lord (2:6), who is Spirit, the "recapitulation" or "return to unity" of all of humanity in Christ (1:10) humanity here and now find themselves "concorporated" (the sunsoma of Eph. 3:6)

Confession, Lønning took up in the third part of his address what Tillard had said: address to Tillard's. After self-critical comments on the Lutheran confession and an explanation of the Lutheran view of the church using Article 7 of the Augsburg Lutheran World Federation in Strasbourg, was responsible for the follow-up b) Per Lønning, a Norwegian Lutheran at the Ecumenical Institute of the

* however, that with the gratifying concord thus obtained we are not yet at the crucial churches. This I would be the last in the world to deplore. My observation is point of confrontation. If this could mean that the traditional Roman claims, as far as they have traditionally been propounded with the effect of disqualifying other exegesis, therefore, provides no justification of Catholic ecclesiological claims, such as distinctively "Catholic" (in the meaning of Roman) ecclesiological features. His perhaps three or four references to a terminology of the church as "sacrament" (which is not derived directly from the Bible), there is no reference in his paper to description of churchliness - which is extremely important per se. But apart from First what Father Tillard has presented seems to me to be a profound biblica

there remains a good deal of exegesis to be done before the hiblical evidence, which evidence, so much the better. But if these claims, or at least some of them, still persist they imply a disqualification of other churches, are given up in light of the hiblica this, would ohviously need a further justification. i.e. common to all Christians sharing the ecumenical credo. What might go beyond What Father Tillard has presented is the "Catholic" view in the meaning of catholic should lead to a real exchange on the "problem within the problem", is on the table

Does it really solve more problems than it creates? I doubt it . . . aberrant understanding of the church, hut because I wonder whether it is helpful question mark after the concept of the church as "sacramentum". I do this, however not because I see this notion as necessarily delusive or as intended to introduce some My second question may seem to contrast a little with the first. I have put a

risky. It tends to introduce confusion more than to provide clarification. wine (just to illustrate my point hy a concrete example) and the institutional visible elements, whereas the visible appearing of the church is constituted precisely by the sacraments as they are realized in literal practice. To speak of the church as such be conceptually placed on an equal footing with the sacraments given to, and givenness of church structures in general. For this reason I find this terminology a br the sacraments. It might also lead to equalizing the instituted givenness of bread and sacraments is something given, constituted by the sacramental event of word and of "sacrament" may therefore easily obscure her character as receiver and as spender of administered by, the same church. It is also the case that the sign character of the Concerning the word "sacrament", it may cause some confusion that the church as

To safeguard, underline and clarify the sign character of the church, I find a more

receiving the eucharistic hody and blood . . . the members of the celebrating point bomogeneous with the church of God; the fathers will not he sitate to affirm tha the eucharist appears as the sacramentum par excellence of the komonia. It is at this ministry, the proclamation of the gospel in word and in deed. As a Lutheran I could all the substantial elements advocated - and rightly advocated - hy Father Tillard together: the body whose members they are." community find themselves caught up in the very reality which fuses their komonia find no better way of phrasing this than by resorting to Father Tillard himself: "Here as a static structure, but as a live organism, visible in her central act of self-realization here the essential, indispensable sign is the church, not as an institutional complex no better protected against institutionalistic misunderstandings. It is clear, namely, that are at least equally contained in that formula, and at the same time it is considerably to theological reflection on unity, namely "the eucharistic vision". As far as I can see 'the eucharist constitutes the church'. In it is found all we have put forward. By The sacramentality of the church is none other than the sacramentality of her helpful concept is that which Vancouver brought to the fore as its main contribution

catholicity and apostolicity of the church. On unity he writes: much closer to a concrete situation Russell is in tackling the unity, holiness, third world, Horace Russell discussed the marks of the church. It is striking how c) Speaking from a Caribbean perspective and also as a representative of the

stimulated by the emergence of the Student Christian Movement in Jamaica and in that the issues of unity and, with it, the "oneness" of the church arose. This was is scant. At the same time, however, it must be observed that there is and has always growing awareness of the unity of the region in political and economic terms. It is a seriously. And coeval with this movement within the church there emerged also a the Latin islands which forced young people to take the unity of the church more been a close tie hetween religion, religious expression and politics great temptation to suggest that both events are inter-related but the evidence for this It was not until the 1930s (and the discussion gathered in strength until the 1950s)

> in councils or other joint agencies to face a common task . . . an expression of "oneness" it was only as the church and the churches came together acceptance of other Christians as Christians when you got to know them. If there was each January, united Bihle studies, joint services, "oneness" meant essential denominational oneness either in one island or across the region. At most it meant an Similarly, at the inauguration of the Caribbean Conference of Churches 1973 the Despite the attempts at discussion, the Week of Prayer for Christian Unity held

objective in this venture is clearly set forth in the preamhle to our accepted constitugreeting sent to the churches observed "We remind you, as we remind ourselves, and declare to all that our purpose and

liberation of our people and are committed to the achievement of social justice and ation and cooperative action. We are deeply concerned to promote the human Christ to join together in a regional fellowship of churches for inspiration, consulthistory, language, culture, class and distance, desire hecause of our common calling in tion now fully in effect: the dignity of man in society. We desire to build up together our life in Christ and "We as the Christian people of Caribbean separated from each other by harriers of

share our experience with the universal brotherhood of mankind" The letter goes on to spell out five themes of concern viz work, worship, reconcili-

of the New Testament. What we need today is to close our ranks and see how far we shame that our denominational system is flatly contrary to the Spirit if not the letter society but also to each local congregation, but it never uses the word church as Testament uses the word church as referring not only to the universal Christian as Bishop Percival Gibson in his booklet "Christ for Jamaica" observed: "The New churches assume their "oneness" to be an inner "oneness" related to the life in Christ in the concrete situation, as the church (the churches) face the need to witness. The Caribbean Conference of Churches' reports lay emphasis upon a unity (or solidarity) can cooperate in the name of our common Master Jesus Christ in the proclamation o referring to a diversity of Christian denominations. We are obliged to confess with ation, development and power . . . It will be observed that hoth the Jamaica Council of Churches' experience and the

3. From the Chantilly discussion

rather than to static and hierarchical ideas. anchored in the New Testament made this basic ecumenical consensus easier to a) It soon became clear that all taking part were able to accept the basic mode b) It was not disputed that as always there exist profound differences among the theve. Thus preference was given to an organic and conciliar view of the church the church as the body of Christ. Beyond doubt, the fact that it is clearly

churches. Lønning mentioned three points of controversy: the bishop of Rome as represents a factor that can divide the churches. difference it must always be asked how far the diversity is legitimate or how far it wholly compatible with the model of the body of Christ. In all today's points of differences in ecclesiological ideas, for instance between Paul and Peter; these are to say power, are involved. In New Testament times too there were also already appeal to divine ordinances on behalf of specific forms of the exercise of ministry in Peter's successor, the authority of ecumenical councils as against scripture and the the church. In all three instances, significantly enough, questions of authority, not

unity in the centre of the faith and makes its visible realization a matter of urgency eucharist. The one table of the Lord, to which all are called, firmly fixes Christian c) Finally, the unity of the body of Christ is sacramentally based in the one

for the first time-at Vancouver,4 take shape. priority. Only then can a full eucharistic vision of the church, such as was outlined The eucharistic meeting together of Christians round the same table is therefore a

idea of unity points to an ecclesiology which is democratically slanted and represents the visible unity of the churches: the priesthood of all believers. This deadening uniformity, but rather a live communion of all the baptized, that within the one body of Christ through participation and sharing. It is not a d) Ecumenical unity thus means communion of the many in their diversity

cated, there has to be a movement towards an ecumenical universality that will worldwide people of God consisting of Jews and Gentiles. As Moltmann expliraces and classes of the first, second and third worlds in the communion of a ethical and political implications. All Christians are called upon to embrace the the West's claim to have the last word, and an opening up to new centres of leave the imperialistic shadow of the past behind. This involves a departure from e) The unity of the churches through the communion of their members has

eschatological community of God's pilgrim people. This insight is a safeguard for the ecumenical movement against inappropriate triumphalism. f) The ecumenical community is on the way to the kingdom of God; it is an

Christendom in Africa, Asia and Latin America.

4. The first draft explication

community the second part provides a Trinitarian development of the idea of the eucharistic community, as testifying by diakonia to God's active and transforming of saints. Part three discusses the eschatological character of the church as a nature of the church as the people of God, the body of Christ and the communion interpretation of the four notae ecclesiae. presence and also as prefiguring the kingdom of God. Finally, part four gives an This consists of four parts. After the introduction on the local and the universal

The Geneva revised text again supported the explication with biblical and historical references. It related the ekklesia to the Old Testament kahal, clarified rooted in the working of the Triune God: how the church related to humanity and highlighted the point that the church is

"chosen generation, a royal priestinoud, a holy nation, a peculiar people", in applying it to the church and its calling to "thou for the praises of him who has called it out of darkness into his marvellous light" (I Pet. 2:5, 9; cf. Ex. 19:6), linking thus the are chosen to serve in their turn as agents of God's will for humankind as a whole . . . ekklesia of the new covenant with its forerunner and model the kahal of the old. Both 1. The New Testament takes up and elaborates the Old Testament concept of a

that in this way the church was not only the community which confesses the faith but the creative and redemptive power of the Spirit of Christ. They also realized that it a whole. For them what they called the "church" was that part of humanity seized by salvation of individuals but was intimately connected with the destiny of humanity as Christ the primitive Christian community realized that this gift was not only for the is also part of that confession (references) news of salvation was offered to all people till the end of time. It became clear to them was through the preaching and witness of the Christian community that the good 3. In reflecting on the richness and life-giving power of the grace of God in Jesus

cross and resurrection leads to the confession of the Holy Spirit who brings together and builds up the church on the foundation of the same redemptive act in cross and without proceeding from there to the church. Accordingly, the confession of Christ's proclaim and confess the Triune God and his mighty acts in creation and redemption 4. It was, therefore, impossible for the fathers at Nicca and Constantinople to

From the Stavanger discussion

and wanted reassurance that any impression of a fourth article of the Creed should gians were concerned that too much independence was being allowed to the church, plated approach and its Trinitarian development. But various Western theolofor the third article. All in all there was complete agreement on the communitye avoided: the church is wholly dependent on the Holy Spirit and no quality of a) Naturally enough, discussion of the church took most of the time available

that the Cret-Bérard draft put too much emphasis on the eucharist and did not try, more clarity was sought on the relation between church and sucraments. Is it the sacraments that constitute the church? Some participants had the impression yote enough attention to baptism, whereas in the Nicene Creed it is the other Against the background of the discussion on baptism, eucharist and minislependence attaches to it.

emphasis on the priesthood of all believers. The church is safeguarded in this way The charisms of the Spirit bestowed on each individual lead all in all to a stronger from elerical tutelage and the laity is allowed to have more say. that lives from the gifts of the Spirit, but also each individual one of its members. c) At Stavanger it was stressed that it is not just the body of Christ as a whole

prophetic signs — is indeed itself a prophetic sign. It is in the local church that these eracts should first become visible. For as Wolfhart Pannenberg (from Munich) of the church. It must be plain that, speaking horizontally, the church gives in a higher superstructure. says, the church catholic is present first and foremost in the local churches and not d) There was a reminder too in this context of the ethical and social dimensions

ung in the experience of the future. For Orthodox theologians this view is of ought also to be brought out more strongly. Here and now the church is already particular importance. Thomas Hopko and the Fitzgeralds from the USA have put e) Opinion in Stavanger was that the eschatological character of the church

 $6 {\rm kd}.$ We do not speak much—or clearly and emphatically enough—about how the much is "already" the kingdom. Faith and Order speaks much about how the church is "not yet" the kingdom of

Regmented; apostolic — and not unrooted or "charismatically wilful" . . . the church is one and not divided; holy and not sinful; catholic and not airgady and 'not yet' of the kingdom". We also need greater clarity and precision and depth in regard to how the church is "aiready" the presence of the kingdom; how Therefore we need not only "references to the dialectical tension between the

non as now under the sub-title "The Church, Reflecting the Trinitarian Commun-7. On the Berlin/Potsdam explication on. This makes the context of the theological argument plain. The Trinitarian a) The structural build-up of the explication has been tightened. The introduc-

of saints - and the eschatological elements are integrated with them. The intersequence of the explication is kept - people of God, body of Christ, communion been worked out. pretation of the marks of the church is a concise summary of what had previously

church: "According to the Creed, there is an indissoluble link between the work of as an independent entity. (III, B.24). "The church has its origin, life and unity in the communion with the God in Jesus Christ through the Holy Spirit and the reality of the church" Trinity" (III, B.34). This should finally dispose of the danger of treating the church b) As to content, the explication begins with the Trinitarian anchoring of the

praise in the name of humanity and creation and as a call to all people for service from the Chantilly draft and only partially present in the Crêt-Bérard draf communion. Here the eucharist is explicated non-sacramentally as the church's (para. 41). Baptism is now allowed a section on its own, which was wholly absent As the body of Christ, the church experiences its deepest reality in eucharisti

comments are in themselves enough to give force to the social and ethical dimension of the church as the body of Christ. At all events, the section of BEM dealing with "the Eucharist as communion of the faithful" does already go by the Holy Spirit. The body of Christ thus reflects the active presence of the all the faithful and the diverse ordained ministries serve God in the body of Christ Trinity in the world" (para. 40). It may be asked whether these explanatory d) On the priesthood of all believers the text now runs: "The royal priesthood o

explication of the church astonishingly close to the communio ecclesiology as God, with each other, with humanity and with creation brings this ecumenica developed in Rome at the end of 1985 by the Roman Catholic Synod of Bishops. in one eucharistic communion" (para. 47). The approach through communio with Christians needs to show itself visibly . . . , and this can be fully accomplished only within the one body of Christ continues to point the way foward. "The unity of all e) The explication of the unity of the church as a communion or fellowship

III. Living our hope

to two dimensions of Christian hope: the personal dimension, with the "resurrec towards the future and back into the past. As to content, the Creed addresses itself membrance of the past, in recognition of the present and in hope for what is to as to the one baptism, the Creed goes on thirdly to "we look forward", to give tion of the dead" and the general one, with the "life of the world to come" come. To have an appropriate grasp of the present it is essential to be able to look expression to the Christian hope. For being a Christian means living in re-In principle, all the main Christian traditions are in agreement in their eschato-After the "we believe", which is valid for all three articles, and the "we confess"

such as would divide churches; not even between Christians and Jews. Neverthenone the worse for a broadening of their picture of the future — which is frequently less, all the Christian traditions, and the Protestant ones in particular, may well be dead, there are here—thank God!—no controversies of a fundamental nature, or logical hope. Apart from differing views on points of detail, e.g. on the status of the

> our present-day life. How can we derive strength from hope in the last things for not simply the reason for the Christian hope and its content but rather its effects on pervasive resignation and despair all the way to nihilism. What is at stake here is now more than ever the Christian confession of hope has to contend range from a radical in calling in question the Christian hope. The big challenges with which » Both within and outside the church there are those today who are all the more of the overall aim which is the life of the world to come in the kingdom of God most pressingly under scrutiny. fiture be communicated in such a way that life today is already transformed? from this eschatological angle the credibility of Christian transcendence comes our life in the period that precedes them? How can the Christian hope for the restricted to individuals and to their own churches - so that they do not lose sigh

sources, to serve as an introduction to the discussion: who teaches in the Department of Theology at Durham, England, was willing at Chantilly summarizing Christian eschatology. In the end Ann Loades, an Anglican short-notice to put together some views from New Testament and contemporary 2. How contemporaries see it It was unexpectedly difficult to find someone who could present a talk at

Western industrial and post-industrial culture; it does not enhance production or serve the glory of the state, for example, but it does raise difficult and worrying "aquestions about the meaning of life, and where our trust ultimately lies." Hope for the future of this world and for the life to come is not given much space in

austere optimism that Christianity even at its most cautious and minimal invites us to embrace: to affirm, that is, the possibility of the reality that good can and will prevail over evil, or more precisely, that God brings good out of blackest evil, that there is a Our imaginations are filled with "worst-case scenarios". It is not easy to affirm the

Mushin Farrer's words about Christ's death, it was a deprivation of life exacted by "the world, but that thou shouldest keep them from the evil." Looking at what divine saving judgment for this world.

See John 17:5 where Christ prays: "I pray not that thou shouldest take them out of sthrough the breakdown of the body to the breaking of the mind, of which the last affering put beyond the possibility of recall, nailed up alive, immovable, dragged malice, accompanied by ignominy, executed with torture.8 Christ became a selfhappened to the person who prayed in that way, and to others who have staked their fragments and leavings were verses from the psalms.9

Hope affirms that "God leaves no factors out of his reckoning, nor does he plan for

save them from natural perishableness and unnatural perversity". 11 If we can believe vestige of his likeness, and "intervenes with incarnation and redemptive sacrifice to of his physical creatures and personally acted in and by that creature's life". 12 that God acts everywhere, by ceaseless creative energy and providential leading, in God's own act of self-justification. Almighty love gives his human creatures a an imaginary virtue we haven't got, he plans for the very men we are". 10 Hope believes may also say "that he added this above all, that he totally identified himself with one

acknowledge that language is being strained almost to breaking point. There are without being too individualistic or anthropomorphic about it (see for example Rom. 8:19-21; 2 Pet. 3:2-13 and Rev. 21:1-6)? Whatever we say here, we have to 316:1-9. Can the dead praise God as the dying man, and can we conceive of this mysteries we cannot absolutely penetrate, whatever the language we use—of re-surrection, immortality, eternal life, the communion of saints, the vision of God, The New Testament is in part responding to Psalms such as 115:15-18 and

right, our eschatological language will be even more of a problem . . . analogies we use to explain how we think about other people. If we do not get this bring good out of blackest evil, it will be mediated through the metaphors and incorporation into Christ etc. The point is that if we are to talk of what God does to

3. From the Chantilly discussion

On this theme five points in particular received special attention:

in God" and "Hope as the Invitation to Risk" broke new ground. ation entitled "A Common Account of Hope". 13 Chapters IV and VII, "Our Hope year study process which was rounded off at Bangalore in 1978 with the declar-a) From 1971 till 1978 the Commission on Faith and Order carried out a seven.

Frieda Haddad has said, even in the midst of the experience of death in Beirut the "last enemy", and is brought within God's creative power for life. Hence, as set to death. Finally, as Paul tells us in 1 Corinthians 15, even death is overcome, as not repressing the thought of death, for in the "resurrection of the dead" a limit is gift of personal identity beyond death. This means looking squarely at death and side, its slogan is "the resurrection of the dead". Here the hope is for the renewed b) Christian hope has a personal and a community dimension. On the persona

hope, for that is a safeguard against narrowness and egocentricity. It includes remembrance of the "cloud of witnesses": all who died in Christ are gathered together into the communion of saints. It also includes the "subsumption" of life of the world to come" will embrace not less but more than the transient world also the transformation of the earth and the cosmos in God's new creation. "The human history into the celebration of the kingdom of God. But within this there is Job's confession holds good: "I know that my redeemer lives" (19:25).

c) It is particularly important to develop the community dimension of Christian

it will embrace the whole creation, which will have attained to its fulfilment.

hope, but they must be recognized as distinct from the realization of that future analogical and metaphorical turns of speech. These give assurance about what is veiled from human experience, it is appropriate, and indeed necessary, to use the reality of God's future. As we are concerned here with a future sphere which is yet to come to people living today; they anticipate God's future in the power of kingdom of God" are metaphors, word-pictures that attempt to express verbally e) The Christian hope leads to a dynamic not of passive endurance but of active d) "The resurrection of the dead", "the communion of saints" and "the

and opposition to it, and extends through ever-widening circles to mark out a path lies an attitude of anticipation. This shows itself both in acceptance of the presen expectation. In the expectation of what is to come in what is already present there for hope in the midst of the sufferings of this present age

4. The first draft "explication"

This has four parts:

- . Jesus Christ—the hope of the world The church as a communion of hope
- The oneness and wholeness of Christian hope b) resurrection kingdom of God
- IV. Living out our hope c) new heaven and earth

5. Additions

the midst of our world under threat: tolic faith" and highlights them as adequate grounds for the "new perspective" in our hope" a section which summarizes some "constitutive elements of the apos-The April 1985 Geneva revision prefixed to the concluding part on "living out

Christian hope in the midst of a threatened and finite world. (III, D.14). good news of salvation until the end of history, to become through baptism for To believe in the life-giving and transforming power of the Holy Spirit, to be the koinonia of God's people in Christ's church, sent to all people to proclaim and live the involvement in the affairs of this world. This finds its central expression in our Christians also today an unshakable foundation and new perspective for their thereby the assurance of sharing with all creation in the life of the world to come - all the forgiveness of sins partakers of the new life of the risen Lord and to receive these are constitutive elements of the apostolic faith throughout the ages. They give

From the Stavanger discussion

to understand that. Thus scriptural loci need to be given" (C.I, 6). final section on the life of the world to come is rather weak. The churches will want approval. Study group 3 commented as follows on the Crêt-Bérard draft: "The a) As a whole the explication of the Christian hope did not receive undivided

consequence deriving from the death and resurrection of Christ. For the raising of ation" is not sufficiently highlighted. Like personal resurrection it is to be seen as a Jesus Christ from the dead embraces equally the personal and the cosmic dimenb) In connection with the kingdom of God it is noted that "cosmic transform-

even though it in no way endorses this. own church in England, the United Reformed Church, had produced a doctrinal e) The unqualified rejection of the idea of restoration (apokatastasis: "rejected statement which leaves open some possibility of believing in universal salvation Spitsque. The English-speaking theologian Martin Cressey commented that his d) As regards the consequences of Christian hope for life today, the opinion was official doctrinal statements of all churches") is felt to be inappropriately

consequences of Christian hope in the future for present-day life.. experience the world to come already in the present." The accent should lie on the being understood as God's future arriving in the present. "It is also possible to expressed that the world to come is relegated too much to the future instead of

God is a further consequence of eschatological living" (ad. §74) were being developed only personally, socially and politically. "Yet the imagery of numan destiny. Thus, our present participation in contemplative vision and joy in presents the enjoyment of God in the communion of saints as the fulfilment of our the eschaton — the banquet of the Lamb, the New Jerusalem, the vision of God e) Finally, it was complained that the effects of Christian hope for the presen

On the Berlin Potsdam explication

Spirit point forward to the final transformation of the cosmos" (III, C.II, para. 67). now made fuller and more open (in para. 69): it introduces the possibility of more sentence, "at the same time Christ's death and resurrection and the coming of the b) The commentary on the question of apokatastasis (restoration) of all things is a) It stressed the cosmic dimension of hope in the kingdom of God in the This took up the Stavanger critique at least in three respects.

God, beyond official ecclesiastical doctrinal statements which reject the idea: positive reflection on the future of all human beings and things in the kingdom of

possible. The tension between these different emphases in the biblical witness should indicating the openness of history. not be dissolved by rationalizing one way or the other, but should be taken as saving love, but also to the many New Testament warnings that eternal damnation is .. Christian-doctrine has to do justice both to the unlimited intention of God's

vision and joy of God in the communion of saints" (para. 76). for this life and this world is grounded in, and will find its consummation in the c) Joy has now found its place among the aspects of Christian hope: "Our hope

cope with the present challenges from our world under threat: una fiducia-una carıtas — unica spes. 14 and content of Christian hope and this inheritance has latent in it the power to make this much undoubtedly clear: we have a rich inheritance as regards the basis desired as regards the supporting biblical contexts. But the explication to date does the nature of Christian hope in the present life. There likewise remains much to be Here too we may once again ask whether this explication adequately brings ou

- 1. To date, the most far-reaching document relating to this rapprochment is the Klingen Study", H.-G. Link ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 124, Geneva, WCC, 1985 Paper No. 103, Geneva, WCC, 1981, pp.3-18. "Apostolic Faith Today: a Handbook for Ecumenical Reflections on the Filioque controversy", L. Vischer ed., Faith and Order Filioque Clause in Ecumenical Perspective", in "Spirit of God, Spirit of Christ thal Memorandum compiled in the Commission on Faith and Order and entitled "The
- V. supra. I use this term for simplicity and greater readability, always meaning the text of the Nicene-Constantinopolitan Creed of 381.
- V. supra. Cf. Gathered for Life, official report of the Sixth Assembly of the WCC, Vancouver 1983, David Gill ed., Geneva, WCC, 1983, pp.44f.
- "Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry", Faith and Order Paper No. 111, Geneva, WCC, 1982, Eucharist para. 20, p.14.
- Church—under the word—celebrates the mysteries of Christ—for the salvation of the world: esp. C. the church as "communio" and 7. ecumenical community.
- Saving Belief, London, Hodder & Stoughton, 1964, p. 103.
- Lord I Believe, London, SPCK, 1955, p. 93.
- Said or Sung, Faith Press, 1960, p. 19.
- Faith and Logic, ed. B. Mitchell, Allen & Unwin, 1957, p. 98.

 The Brink of Mystery, ed. C. Conti, London, SPCK, 1976, pp. 109-110.
- "Bangalore 1978: Sharing in One Hope", Faith and Order Paper No. 92, Geneva, WCC (IV) our hope in God; (V) the church: a communion of hope; (VI) shared hopes in the following sections: (1) thanksgiving; (II) voices of hope; (III) hopes encounter hopes: 1978, pp.1-11. "Apostolic Faith Today", op. cit., pp.84-92. The statement has the
- 14. One faith one love sole hope face of the common future; (VII) hope as the invitation to risk

Appendices

APPENDIX 1

The Participants

a) 14-22 November 1984, Kottayam, South India

Dr Roberta Bondi (United Methodist Church), Atlanta, USA Metropolitan Paulos Mar Gregorios (Orthodox Syrian Church of the East), Kottayam, Dr K.M. George (Orthodox Syrian Church of the East), Kottayam, Kerala, India Very Rev. Dr George Dragas (Ecumenical Patriarchate of Constantinople), Durham, Rev. Janet Crawford (Church of the Province of New Zealand), Dunedin, New Zealand Dr Moises Mendez (Baptist Convention), Mexico City, Mexico Dr Ulrich Kühn (Federation of Evangelical Churches in the GDR: Lutheran), Vienna, Kerala, India Miss Vimla Subaiya (Church of North India), Calcutta, India Rev. Dr Rienzi Perera (Church of Sri Lanka), Pilimatalaw, Sri Lanka Fe Jacob Kollaparambil (Roman Catholic Church), Kottayam, Kerala, India tr Joseph Koikakudy (Roman Catholic Church), Kottayam, Kerala, India Ms. Marianne Katoppo (Reformed Church), Jakarta, Indonesia Prof. V.C. Samuel (Orthodox Syrian Church of the East), Bangalore, India Prof. Dierrich Ritschl (Evangelical Church in Germany: Reformed), Heidelberg, FRG Metropolitan Mar Osthathios (Orthodox Syrian Church), Kottayam, Kerala, India Madurai, India ev. Dr M.J. Joseph (Mar Thoma Syrian Church), Kottayam, Kerala, India ev. Dr O.V. Jathanna (Church of South India), Balmatta, Mangalore, India ev. Dr B.H. Jackaya (United Evangelical Lutheran Churches in India), Kusavankundi

Faith and Order Secretariat

Prof. Rowan Williams (Church of England), Cambridge, England

Rev. De Ginther Gassmann (Evangelical Church in Germany: Lutheran)
Rev. De Hans-Georg Link (Evangelical Church in Germany: United)
Mrs Reining Sheghen

b) 3-10 January 1985, Chantilly, France

Prof. John Deschner (United Methodist Church), Dallas, USA President Edward Czajko (United Evangelical Church), Warsaw, Poland Prof. Torleiv Austad (Church of Norway), Oslo, Norway

Dr Hermann Goltz (Federation of Evangelical Churches in the GDR: Lutheran), Halle,

Ms Frieda Haddad (Greek Orthodox Patriarchate of Antioch and All the East), Beirut,

Prof. Sung-Hee Lee (Presbyterian Church of Korea), Taegu, Korea Rev. Prof. Thomas Hopko (Orthodox Church in America), Tuckahoe, NY, USA

Prof. Per Lønning (Church of Norway), Strasbourg, France Dr Ann L. Loades (Church of England), Durham, England

Dr Lauree Hersch Meyer (Church of the Brethren), Oak Brook, IL, USA Prof. Nicolas Lossky (Patriarchate of Moscow), Paris, France Prof. Werner Löser (Roman Catholic Church), Frankfurt/Main, FRG

Rev. Dr M.F.G. Parmentier (Old Catholic Church), Hilversum, Holland Prof. Jürgen Moltmann (Evangelical Church in Germany: Reformed), Tübingen, FRG

Prof. Janos D. Pasztor (Reformed Church in Hungary), Debrecen, Hungary

Rev. Dr Horace O. Russell (Jamaica Baptist Union), Kingston, Jamaica Dr Michael Root (Lutheran Church in America), Columbia, SC, USA Rev. Michael Putney (Roman Catholic Church), Rome, Italy

Ms Veronica Swai (Evangelical Lutheran Church in Tanzania), Moshi, Tanzania Prof. Evangelos Theodorou (Church of Greece), Athens, Greece

Dr Wolfgang Ullmann (Federation of Evangelical Churches in the GDR: Lutheran), Berlin, Rev. Fr Jean M.R. Tillard (Roman Catholic Church), Ottawa, Canada

Prof. Livery Voronov (Russian Orthodox Church), Leningrad, USSR Faith and Order Secretarias

Mrs Renate Sbeghen Rev. Dr Hans-Georg Link (Evangelical Church in Germany: United) Rev. Dr Günther Gassmann (Evangelical Church in Germany: Lutheran)

Vikar Dietrich Werner (coopted) (Evangelical Church in Germany: Lutheran), Oldenburg, Frère Max Thurian (Reformed Church in France), Taizé, France

c) 14-22 March 1985, Kinshasa, Zaire

Prof. Sigurd Daecke (Evangelical Church in Germany: Lutheran), Aachen, FRG Dr Dan-Ilic Ciobotea (Romanian Orthodox Church), Bossey/Geneva, Switzerland Rev. Fr John K. A. Aniagwu (Roman Catholic Church), Ibadan, Nigeria Rev. Dr Efete Elonda (Church of Christ in Zaire - Community of Disciples), Mbandaka

Fr Jonah Lwanga (Greek Orthodox Patriarchate of Alexandria), Nairobi, Kenya Prof. Alasdair Heron (Church of Scotland), Erlangen, FRG

> Dr André Mampila (Roman Catholic Church), Kinshasa-Gombe, Zaire Dr Yemba Kekumba (Church of Christ in Zaire-Methodist Community), Kinshasa Prof. Peder Nørgaard-Højen (Church of Denmark), Copenhagen, Denmark Rev. Dr Kjell Ove Nilsson (Church of Sweden), Uppsala, Sweden Mgr Monsengwo Pasinya (Roman Catholic Church), Kisangani, Zaire Prof. Geoffrey Wainwright (Methodist Church of Great Britain), Durham, NC, USA Prof. Günter Wagner (Baptist Church), Rüschlikon, ZH, Switzerland Mrs Mary Tanner (Church of England), London, England Prof. Owango-Welo (Kimbanguist Church), Kinshasa, Zaire Mrs Rosemary Nthamburi (Methodist Church), Nairobi, Kenya

Mrs Renate Sbeghen Rev. Dr Hans-Georg Link (Evangelical Church in Germany: United) Rev. Dr Günther Gassmann (Evangelical Church in Germany: Lutheran) Eaith and Order Secretaria

Rev. Heinz Birchmeier, Geneva Interpreters

Citizen Kampuna S., Kinshasa

APPENDIX 2

The Authors

Dr Dan-Ilie Clobotea
Romanian Orthodox Church
Professor at the Ecumenical Institute Bossey
Céligny/Geneva, Switzerland

Rev. Dr Günther Gassmann
Evangelical Church in Germany: Lutheran
Director of the Secretariat of the Commission on Faith and Order
Geneva, Switzerland

Rev. Dr Hans-Georg Link Evangelical Church in Germany. United Executive Secretary in the Secretariat of the Commission on Faith and Order Geneva, Switzerland

Prof. Dietrich Ritschl
Evangelical Church in Germany: Reformed
Professor of Systematic and Ecumenical Theology
Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany

APPENDIX 3

Eaith and Order Publications on "Apostolic Faith Today"

"Obwights Confusion of the Common Faith", P. Duprey and L. Vischer eds, Faith and Volar Tirgar No. 109, 1980.

Speni of God. Spirit of Cristi. Euromenical Reductions on the Filioque Controversy", visiter ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 103, 1981.

Conticting Our Faith Acoustic the World 1", C.S. Song ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 104.

Par Cinitedon Divide or Unité Trourds Convergence in Orthodox Christology.

Crégnies, W.H. Lazareth and N.A. Nissoits eds, 1981.

Tougrats Visible Unity Commission on Fath and Order, Linna 1982. Vol. I. Minutes and

Tougrats Visible Unity Commission on Fath and Order, Linna 1982. Vol. I. Minutes and

Ladresses." Section V. Towards the Common Expression of the Apostolic Faith Today,

Addresses." Section V. Towards the Common Expression of the Apostolic Faith Today.

W. Kmeamon ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 112, 1982, pp.90-100.
"Towards Visible Unity, Commission on Faith and Order, Linal 1982, Vol. II: Study Papers and Reports", Part Towards the Common Expression of the Apostolic Faith Today, W. Kmiamon ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 113, 1982, pp.3-119.
W. Kmiamon ed., Faith and Order Paper No. 113, 1982, pp.3-119.

The Roots of Our Common Faith: Faith in the Scriptures and in the Early Church", H.G. Link et., Faith and Order Paper No. 119, 1984. "Contessing Our Faith Around the World II", H.-G. Link ed., Faith and Order Paper W, 120, 1983.

N. 207 1983.
"Carlessing Our Fath Around the World III: the Caribbean and Central America", "Carlessing Cut. Fath and Order Paper No. 123, 1984.
1.5G. Link ed., Fath and Order Paper No. 123, 1984.
"Exceptible Fath Today. A Handbook for Study", H.-G. Link ed., Fath and Order Paper No. 124, 1985.

"Compessing Our Faith Around the World IV: South America", H.-G. Link ed., Faith and Olador Pager No. 126, 1985.